

POST GRADUATE DEGREE PROGRAMME (CBCS)

in

E N G L I S H

SEMESTER – I

COR – 103

**Restoration to the Age of Sensibility
Poetry and Drama (1660-1788)**

Self-Learning Material



DIRECTORATE OF OPEN & DISTANCE LEARNING

UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI

KALYANI-741235, WEST BENGAL

COURSE PREPARATION TEAM

1. Prof. Sarbani Choudhury
Professor, Department of English, University of Kalyani.
2. Smt. Priyanka Basu
Professor, Department of English, University of Kalyani.
3. Sri Sankar Chatterjee
Professor, Department of English, University of Kalyani.
4. Sri Pralay Kumar Deb.
Professor, Department of English, University of Kalyani.
5. Sri Suman Banerjee
Former Assistant Professor of English (Contractual) at the DODL, University of Kalyani.
6. Sudipta Chakraborty
Former Assistant Professor of English (Contractual) at the DODL, University of Kalyani.
7. Ms. Anwesa Chattopadhyay
Assistant Professor of English (Contractual) at the DODL, University of Kalyani.
8. Ms. Rajanya Ganguly
Assistant Professor of English (Contractual) at the DODL, University of Kalyani &
9. The Hon'ble Faculty Members of the Department of English, University of Kalyani

Directorate of Open and Distance Learning, University of Kalyani

Published by the Directorate of Open and Distance Learning, University of Kalyani,
Kalyani - 741235, West Bengal

All rights reserved. No part of this work should be reproduced in any form without the permission in writing from the Directorate of Open and Distance Learning, University of Kalyani.

DISCLAIMER: This Self Learning Material (SLM) has been compiled using material from several books, journal articles, e-journals and web sources.

Director's Message

Satisfying the varied needs of distance learners, overcoming the obstacle of distance and reaching the unreached students are the threefold functions catered by Open and Distance Learning (ODL) systems. The onus lies on writers, editors, production professionals and other personnel involved in the process to overcome the challenges inherent to curriculum design and production of relevant Self Learning Materials (SLMs). At the University of Kalyani, a dedicated team under the able guidance of the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor has invested its best efforts, professionally and in keeping with the demands of Post Graduate CBCS Programmes in Distance Mode to devise a self-sufficient curriculum for each course offered by the Directorate of Open and Distance Learning (DODL), University of Kalyani. Development of printed SLMs for students admitted to the DODL within a limited time to cater to the academic requirements of the Course as per standards set by Distance Education Bureau of the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India under Open and Distance Mode UGC Regulations, 2021 had been our endeavour. We are happy to have achieved our goal. Utmost care and precision have been ensured in the development of the SLMs, making them useful to the learners, besides avoiding errors as far as practicable. Further suggestions from the stakeholders in this would be welcome. During the production-process of the SLMs, the team continuously received positive stimulations and feedback from Professor (Dr.) Manas Kumar Sanyal, Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor, University of Kalyani, who kindly accorded directions, encouragements and suggestions, offered constructive criticism to develop it within proper requirements. We, gracefully, acknowledge his inspiration and guidance. Sincere gratitude is due to the respective chairpersons as well as each and every member of PGBOS (DODL), University of Kalyani. Heartfelt gratitude is also due to the faculty members of the DODL, subject-experts serving at the University Post Graduate departments and also to the authors and academicians whose academic contributions have enriched the SLMs. We humbly acknowledge their valuable academic contributions. I would especially like to convey gratitude to all other University dignitaries and personnel involved either at the conceptual or operational level at the DODL, University of Kalyani. Their persistent and coordinated efforts have resulted in the compilation of comprehensive, learner-friendly, flexible texts that meet the curriculum requirements of the Post Graduate Programme through the Distance Mode.

Director

Directorate of Open and Distance Learning
University of Kalyani

Restoration to the Age of Sensibility: Poetry and Drama (1660 - 1788)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Block	Unit	Topic	Content Writer	Credits	Study Hours	Page No.
I	1	1(a): Historical Background 1(b): Charles's Promiscuity 1(c): The Biblical Source: <i>Absalom and Achitophel</i> as an Allegory 1(d): The Advantages of using the Biblical Story	Sri Sankar Chatterjee			1-10
	2	2(a): Dryden's Views on Satire 2(b): As a Heroic Poem 2(c): Miltonic Echoes and Intertextuality 2(d): Dryden and the Heroic Couplet				11-18
	3	3(a): Political Purpose of <i>Absalom and Achitophel</i> 3(b): Political Intention behind the Poem 3(c): Dryden's Partisanship 3(d): Justice and Mercy 3(e): Dryden's Political Creed				19-24
	4	4(a): Dryden's Art of Characterization as Observed in the Different Portraits 4(b): The Portrait of David Charles 4(c): The Portrait of Achitophel-Shaftesbury 4(d): The Portrait of Zimri-Buckingham 4(e): The Portrait of Shimei-Bethel 4(f): The Portrait of Corah-Oates				25-34
II	5	5(a): Introduction to Alexander Pope 5(b): Alexander Pope and His Time 5(c): Critical Analysis of the Poem	Smt. Bijali Chatterjee			35-40
	6	6(a): Analysis of Different Character Portraits 6(a) i. The Character of Atticus 6(a) ii. The Character of Sporus 6(a) iii. The Character of Bufo 6(b): <i>An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot</i> as an Autobiography 6(c): A Note on Satire				40-42

	7	7(a): Oliver Goldsmith's Life and Works 7(b): Historical Background of <i>The Deserted Village</i> 7(c): Background of <i>The Deserted Village</i> 7(d): Dedication to Sir Joshua Reynolds	Smt. Kabita Mukherjee			42-54
	8	8(a): Theme of the Poem 8(b): The Pastoral 8(c): Pastoral Features in <i>The Deserted Village</i> 8(d): Critical Analysis of the Poem 8(e): Criticism				55-59
III	9	9(a): Introduction to <i>The Way of the World</i> 9(b): Objectives 9(c): William Congreve –Life and Works	Prof. Dr. Pralay Kumar Dev			60-68
	10	10(a): Congreve, the Comic Playwright 10(b): Epigraph on the 1700 Edition 10(c): Relevance and Appropriateness of the Title				68-73
	11	11(a): Plot Synopsis 11(b): Congreve's Art of Characterization 11(c): The Way of the World as a Comedy of Manners				73-78
	12	12(a): As a Comedy of Wit 12(b): As a Comedy of Social Criticism 12(c): Significance of the Proviso Scene				78-86
IV	13	13(a): Objective 13(b): Introduction to Moliere's <i>The Misanthrope</i>	Prof. Dr. Niladri Ranjan Chatterjee			87-88
	14	14(a): Brief Note on the Playwright 14(b): Brief Note on the Play 14(c): Brief Discussion of the Plot				88-92
	15	15(a): Aspects of the Play 15(b): Major Characters				92-94
	16	16(a): Comedy of Manners 16(b): Reflection of the Contemporary French Society				95-97

CONTENTS

BLOCK	SUBJECT	PAGE No.
Block-I	<i>Absalom and Achitophel</i> by John Dryden	1-34
Block-II	<i>An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot</i> by Alexander Pope & <i>The Deserted Village</i> by Oliver Goldsmith	35-54 55-67
Block-III	<i>The Way of the World</i> by William Wycherley	68-86
Block-IV	<i>La Misanthrope</i> by Moliere	87-98

SEMESTER – I

Restoration to the Age of Sensibility: Poetry and Drama(1660-1788)

BLOCK-I

***Absalom and Achitophel* by John Dryden**

CONTENT STRUCTURE

UNIT 1(a): Historical Background

UNIT 1(b): Charles’s Promiscuity

UNIT 1(c): The Biblical Source: *Absalom and Achitophel* as an Allegory

UNIT 1(d): The Advantages of using the Biblical Story

UNIT 2(a): Dryden’s Views on Satire

UNIT 2(b): As a Heroic Poem

UNIT 2(c): Miltonic Echoes and Intertextuality

UNIT 2(d): Dryden and the Heroic Couplet

UNIT 3(a): Political Purpose of *Absalom and Achitophel*

UNIT 3(b): Political Intention behind the Poem

UNIT 3(c): Dryden’s Partisanship

UNIT 3(d): Justice and Mercy

UNIT 3(e): Dryden’s Political Creed

UNIT 4(a): Dryden’s Art of Characterization as Observed in the Different Portraits

UNIT 4(b): The Portrait of David Charles

UNIT 4(c): The Portrait of Achitophel-Shaftesbury

UNIT 4(d): The Portrait of Zimri- Buckingham

UNIT 4(e): The Portrait of Shimei-Bethel

UNIT 4(f): The Portrait of Corah-Oates

Suggested Readings

Assignments

OBJECTIVES

Dryden wrote only three major satires – *Mac Flecknoe*, *The Medal* and *Absalom and Achitophel*.

Nevertheless, it is as a satirist that he is known to many modern readers. Some of his other poems, such as *Absalom and Achitophel* Part II and *The Hind and the Panther*, contain incidental satire, but *Absalom and Achitophel* is, by common consent, his best. This is a complex poem rooted in the politics of Dryden's time and making use of biblical story for polemical ends. In this module, therefore, we shall have to discuss the historical background, take a look at the Biblical story that Dryden has used for satiric purposes and then make a critical study of the poem.

Unit 1 (a): HISTORICAL BACKGROUND: THE POPISSH PLOT AND THE EXCLUSION BILL

It is impossible to fully appreciate the poem without some knowledge of the political issues involved, and since religion and politics were then almost inseparable - as they often unfortunately

The Earl of Shaftesbury was imprisoned for his role in leading the political opponents of Charles II's rule. The opposition to the king mainly manifested itself through two significant events in seventeenth century British politics — the Poppish Plot and the Exclusion Crisis. And the poem was timed to influence Shaftesbury's trial.

are even today - we must also try to understand the religious conflicts. In fact, the main political issue, as we shall see, turned on a religious question. *Absalom and Achitophel* was published in November 1681 when a leading political figure of the time, the Earl of Shaftesbury, was imprisoned in the Tower of London and awaiting trial. In his *Augustan Satire*, Ian Jack states categorically that the poem was "timed to influence Shaftesbury's trial." But a more recent commentator, James Anderson Winn, maintains that by the time Dryden's poem appeared, on or about

17 November, Shaftesbury's release was inevitable. During the preceding months, new publications for or against Shaftesbury appeared about every week, while during the same period Dryden was polishing his poem. Shaftesbury was a Whig and the Grand Jury, whose verdict would be crucial, consisted largely of Whigs. On 18 October, a Whig named Rouse had in fact been exonerated by the Grand Jury from the politically motivated charges brought against him. Moreover, Dryden's poem was published just a week before the beginning of Shaftesbury's trial.

Shaftesbury was imprisoned for his role in leading the political opponents of Charles II's rule. The opposition to the king mainly manifested itself through two significant events in seventeenth century British politics - the Popish Plot and the Exclusion Crisis. When Charles II was invited from his exile in France and the monarchy of England was restored to him, there was national rejoicing in that country. The 'Restoration' occurred in 1660 in the midst of widespread resentment against the strict Puritan rule of the last fourteen years, and Charles, whose father Charles I had been executed by the Puritan revolutionaries in 1649, took full advantage of the anti-Puritan and anti-Republican mood to launch repressive measures against Protestant dissenters, most of whom were Puritan. But the unpopularity of the Puritans did not mean that England, which adopted Protestantism as its official religion during the rule of Elizabeth's father, Henry VIII, would welcome Roman Catholicism. There were Roman Catholics in England of course, but they were in a minority. Besides, Protestant England had always regarded Catholics as politically dangerous. The suspicion of Catholics at home was intensified by distrust of the political designs of Catholic countries like Spain and France. There was great national jubilation as well as the sense of a mission accomplished when Protestant England under the leadership of Queen Elizabeth defeated the numerically superior Spanish forces in a famous naval battle at Cadiz in 1587. So far as English Catholics were concerned, there was a continuous ideological campaign against them by Protestant clergymen and theologians; the political campaign against the Catholics intensified with the discovery of the Gunpowder plot in 1605. The motive behind the plot was to blow up Parliament House with King James I, his Queen and many others inside the building. The leaders of the conspiracy were alleged to be Catholics. During the reign of James's grandson, Charles II, an equally sinister plot was supposedly hatched by Catholics to accomplish their religious and political objectives. This conspiracy came to be called the Popish Plot, after the Pope, head of the Catholic Church, and for Protestants, the symbol of false religion and sinister designs. England's powerful Catholic neighbour, France, which had now taken the place of Spain as the target of Protestant English nationalism, was also suspected to have instigated the plot. In *Absalom and Achitophel* the Popish plot is described as the "wished occasion" eagerly seized by the King's opponents, the Whigs. In fact, Shaftesbury, the leader of the Whigs, is said to have remarked on the Plot :

"I will not say who started the game but I am sure I had the full hunting of it". The game was actually started by Titus Oates, a disreputable Catholic who had fled to the Continent in 1675 to escape a charge of perjury. Oates returned to England in 1678 and declared that he had evidence of a Catholic plot to murder Charles II and overthrow the Protestant religion in England by French and Irish armies. Oates's revelations were almost wholly fictitious; but Parliament, dominated by the Whigs, adopted a resolution to the effect that "there has been and still is a damnable and hellish plot, contrived and carried on by Popish recusants [those who refused to attend services of the Church of England], for the assassinating and murdering the king, and for subverting the government and

destroying the Protestant religion". Between, December 1678 and July 1681 more than thirty people were condemned for being involved in the plot. Coleman, the Duchess of York's secretary, was arrested on the charge of possessing treasonable material, and since Charles's brother, the Duke of York, James, was a Catholic, he too was implicated in the plot. One incident in particular gives a fairly good idea of the political and religious hysteria generated by the discovery of the so-called plot. Edmund Berry Godfrey, the magistrate before whom Oates had made his depositions, was murdered. Though the Catholics blamed Oates for the murder, the Protestants regarded the murder as the prelude to widespread disturbances and uprising planned by the Catholics. There was widespread panic, many citizens went about armed, while Godfrey's death as treated was a tragic reminder of Catholic designs. Catholics were excluded from sitting in Parliament and officially ordered not to come within ten miles of London. Hundreds were arrested on Oates's false evidence. The Whigs exploited the newly intensified anti-Catholic mood, while Charles II shrewdly remarked that Shaftesbury and his party had "set on Oates, and instructed him".

The Whigs could exploit the anti-Catholic sentiment for political purposes because of the fact that the man who was to succeed Charles II as monarch of England was a Catholic. This man was

The Whigs chose the Duke of Monmouth, Charles II's illegitimate son as their leader not only because of his popularity but also because of the fact that the catholic James' legitimate heir had married William of Orange, a foreigner.

James, Duke of York, who was legally Charles's heir to the throne. Charles, notorious for his promiscuity, had a number of illegitimate children, but no legitimate issue. The law of England would not allow a bastard to inherit his father's title or property. The most famous of these illegitimate children was the Duke of Monmouth, who was also the King's favourite and whose attractive appearance and manners had already made him a

popular figure. He had also gained a considerable reputation as a soldier. The Whigs spread rumours that Charles had actually married Monmouth's mother, Lucy Walter. But Charles stubbornly denied such rumours and was moreover adamant that Monmouth, on whom he conferred several honours, could not be the next King of England. The Whigs chose Monmouth as their leader not only because of his popularity but also because of the act that the Catholic James's legitimate heir, his daughter Mary, had married William of Orange. This meant that in the event of James's death, a foreign monarch would effectively rule England. Shaftesbury also took into account the fact that Monmouth's disputed claim to the throne of England would make the young man dependent on his political support and would also effectively weaken the monarchy. Charles was requested to declare that Lucy Walter was his legally wedded wife, a request which he flatly turned down. So far as the succession issue was concerned, therefore, England had to choose

Page 8, a - Charles refused to yield the ground on the succession issue of Monmouth, since he himself harboured Catholic belief, but only to strengthen the Whig cause. The Whigs, enjoying a huge majority in Parliament, introduced the Exclusion Bill in No. 79. But the passage of the Bill was prevented by Charles's dissolution of Parliament.

between the Catholic James and the illegitimate Monmouth. Charles's sympathies were wholly with his brother, because Charles himself harboured Catholic beliefs. He was in fact secretly negotiating a treaty with the French Emperor, Louis XIV, by which he would join Louis in destroying the Protestant stronghold of Holland, declare his conversion to Catholicism, overthrow Protestantism in England and make it a Catholic country. To achieve these aims, Louis would assist Charles with French troops and a huge annual grant. Charles, therefore, refused to yield ground on the succession issue and in the process only strengthened the Whig cause. The Whigs claimed that they were protecting the King against Catholic conspiracies and Monmouth asserted that it was his love for his father which prompted him to oppose his uncle. The Whigs, enjoying a huge majority in Parliament, introduced the Exclusion Bill in 1679. As its very name indicates, the Bill sought to exclude James from kingship. But the passage of the Bill was prevented by Charles's dissolution of Parliament. The second Whig Parliament, elected in September, 1679, was prorogued by Charles. When Parliament reassembled in November, the Exclusion Bill was passed through the Commons, but its passage was blocked in the Lords mainly because of the determined opposition of the Earl of Halifax, who had been a supporter of Shaftesbury but whose belief in the principle of hereditary succession according to English law led him to oppose the Whigs. Parliament was again dissolved, but once again the Whigs were elected with a large majority and were this time more determined to turn the Bill into Law. They thought that Charles would capitulate because the Exchequer was exhausted and the King needed parliamentary approval for his budget. But Charles, shrewd as ever, summoned Parliament at Oxford, away from the stronghold of Whig power and popularity, the city of London. He then dissolved Parliament instead of submitting to Whig demands and could afford to do so because Louis XIV had secretly promised to pay him an enormous amount of money. Defeated in their constitutional battle, the Whigs began to adopt militant postures, but the King and his followers mobilised public opinion against the Whigs by arousing fear of civil war. The suspicion of a Catholic conspiracy also receded gradually and many of those who had earlier tried to implicate prominent Catholics in various plots began to confess that they had been instigated by their employers to give false evidence. Though Shaftesbury was acquitted by a jury of his own sympathizers in 1681, the political tide turned with the election of Tory sheriffs in London in 1682.

Shaftesbury went into exile in Holland in 1683 and died soon afterwards. Monmouth was arrested in 1682, later released on bail and went to live in Holland. But at the beginning of James's reign he led a rebellion against the king and was defeated and executed. Titus Oates was arrested on the charge of perjury and sentenced to imprisonment and torture. But he was released a few years later and after the Revolution of 1688, was even given a pension. Dryden's poem however, is not concerned with the ultimate fate of the principal figures; its main focus is the civil-war-like situation building in Charles II's England and the prominent personalities involved in the political conflict.

Summing Up

Absalom and Achitophel must be placed first in its specific historical context. The central issues in the poem are the Popish plot, in which Catholics were widely thought to be implicated, and the question of succession to the English throne. The Whigs exploited the so-called Popish plot to create an anti-Catholic mood among the people. Led by Shaftesbury, the Whigs also sought to ensure, through the Exclusion Bill, that King Charles II's Catholic brother, James, would not succeed his brother as King of England. Charles's illegitimate son, the handsome and popular Monmouth, was projected as the next King by the Whigs.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Write a note on the historical background of Dryden's poem "Absalom and Achitophel".

Unit 1 (b) : CHARLES'S PROMISCUITY

Charles II's licentiousness aggravated the political conflict. The King's promiscuity was an important issue in contemporary politics and Dryden's poem opens with the poet's own witty version of Charles's sex life; it was also an important issue in the Bible story used by Dryden. Charles was widely regarded as an adulterer who would have to pay for his sexual excesses. A schoolmate of Dryden's, Creighton, in fact went to the length of holding Charles's lechery responsible for the naval disasters suffered by England in a war with the Dutch in 1667. Creighton was a clergyman and based his sermon against the King on the very chapters of II Samuel which provided Dryden with the Biblical parallel for his narrative. Several other contemporaries of Dryden advanced political arguments in support of the prevalent view about the need to separate the King as man from the King as King. The chief political argument was that the King was a "public person" who could, in "his private capacity", only eat and drink, and perform "some other acts of nature". For any champion of the King, therefore, the most embarrassing and awkward aspect of Charles's character was his unbridled sexuality. But instead of brushing this fact aside, Dryden faces the issue squarely in the opening lines of the poem. First, he compares Charles's adultery with that of the Biblical David, thereby placing it in the context of "pious times". Secondly, he suggests that polygamy began to be considered sinful only after "priestcraft" disapproved of it. Dryden attacks the clergy because devout Churchmen consistently criticised Charles's unconcealed womanising. Thirdly, Dryden cleverly equates Charles's sexual vigour with his authority as a King, implying that the way Charles scattered "his Maker's image" (10) throughout the land was a defining part of his kingship. Finally, the lines eulogizing Charles's manly vigour so skilfully use the poetic resources of alliteration, stress, rhythm and word order that poetic fecundity becomes the equivalent of Charles's capacity to multiply. We shall see, however, that Dryden's praise of Charles is not without a hint of mockery directed against Charles's excesses.

Unit 1 (c): THE BIBLICAL SOURCE: ABSALOM AND ACHITOPHEL AS AN ALLEGORY

The standard justification of the use of allegory in a political composition is, as Ian Jack points out, to be found in the French author Barclay's Argenis, which was known to Dryden. Barclay declares in his Argenis: "I will compile some stately fable, in manner of a history." The fashion for allegory became so widespread in France in the seventeenth century that a political significance was sought in every work of fiction. The use of political allegory became common in England too after the Civil War (1642-1646) and the political controversies generated by it. Charles II and his courtiers also brought from France a taste for this kind of writing. Jack mentions two allegorical poems in English which exerted some influence on Dryden's Absalom and Achitophel.

One of these poems was Naboth's Vineyard; or, the Innocent Traytor. This poem was produced anonymously, but attributed to a rather obscure writer called John Caryl. Like Dryden's poem, Naboth's Vineyard is written in heroic couplets and describes contemporary events in terms of an Old Testament allegory. Another poem, whose relevance to Absalom and Achitophel is less often recognized, is The Progress of Honesty by D'Urfey. The political conflict described in this poem is the same one that Dryden allegorises - that between the King and his supporters, on the one hand and the Whigs led by Shaftesbury on the other. While the loyal followers of Charles II are given classical or Italianate names several followers of Shaftesbury are given names from the Old Testament. Shaftesbury is even called Achitophel and "chief Advocate for Hell" in that poem. Scholars have shown that sermons written as early as 1627 present Achitophel as the type of a wicked politician and that the names of David, Absalom and Achitophel were often used allegorically in a contemporary context concerning disloyal advisors. In her essay, "Absalom and Achitophel", Ruth Nevo points out that biblical analogy became more and more prevalent, largely in the hands of republicans and Whigs, as the shadow of the accession crisis darkened. In 1680 the Duke of Monmouth was finally identified as Absalom and Shaftesbury as Achitophel in Absalom's conspiracy, or The Tragedy of Treason.

Biblical allegorizing thus was frequent at the time of the Popish plot, though it was not steadily pursued. Dryden's distinction lay in the fact that in his hands the allegory was total, not merely confined to giving biblical names to one or two contemporary political figures. The parallel between seventeenth century England and pre-Christian Israel is sustained by Dryden throughout the poem. This parallel is indeed "the very root and heart of Absalom's success"; moreover "Dryden has chosen to pay the enemy in his very own coin" (Nevo). Biblical parallels were mostly cited by Puritans, most of whom were republicans as well, while the court culture displayed "classicizing, strongly Epicurean tendencies". Dryden thus adopts the strategy of subverting the position of the

republicans in terms of the very text which they considered sacred. Moreover, since reading the Bible was a much more widespread practice then than it is today, Dryden had the further advantage of conducting a political debate in a language available to all. But before considering the various advantages derived by Dryden from the biblical allegory, we must know what the Old Testament story is.

The story of Absalom's rebellion had already been applied to the Duke of Monmouth. At first sight the dangers of the story must have appeared to Dryden more considerable than its advantages.

In II Samuel of the Old Testament we find a story of sexual excess and the rebellion it leads to. A writer supporting the King had to recognize the fact that the parallel between Charles and David

A writer supporting the King had to recognize the fact that the parallel between Charles and David works both ways : on the one hand, it confers on Charles a godlike, prophet-like stature ; on the other, the parallel makes explicit Charles's resemblance with David in respect of sexual licence. But the emergence of Absalom as David's sexual rival is of no importance in Dryden's retelling of the tale. A more problematic aspect of the biblical story for Dryden was the fact that the David — Absalom conflict ended in tragedy.

works both ways : on the one hand , it confers on Charles a godlike, prophet-like stature; on the other hand, the parallel makes explicit Charles's resemblance with David in respect of sexual licence. As we saw, Dryden uses all the resources of his wit and poetic powers to overcome this potential weakness in the political cause he supports. In II Samuel, David, King of Israel, commits adultery with the beautiful Bathsheba and has her husband killed. As a direct consequence of these two acts of adultery and murder, David has a confrontation with his rebellious son Absalom, who compels his father to leave the capital city and treats his father's concubines as his own "in the sight of all Israel". But the emergence of Absalom as David's sexual rival, so crucial an issue in the Bible story, is of no

importance in Dryden's retelling of the tale. A more problematic aspect of the Bible story for Dryden was the fact that the David–Absalom conflict ended in tragedy. In II Samuel, the loyal Israelites flock to David and Absalom runs away from the battlefield. Despite David's strict instruction that nobody should hurt his favourite son, an over-enthusiastic soldier, Joab, pursues Absalom to the point where the latter's long hair is caught in the branches of an oak tree. Absalom is immediately killed by Joab and when the news reaches David, he is overwhelmed with grief for his son. David's lament for his son was frequently sung in English cathedrals. Dryden's preface to the poem unequivocally declares that he has omitted the tragic ending of the Bible story. It is clear from both preface and poem that Absalom should be treated with kindness and generosity. Dryden explains that he did not pursue the story to its tragic and "because, I could not obtain from myself, to show Absalom unfortunate". The frame of the picture therefore had to be cut not. Not all the names in Dryden's poem are taken from II Samuel; some of the more prominent political figures of the period are given names from other parts of the Bible. The Duke of Buckingham is called Zimri and Dryden probably had in mind two biblical Zimris : one was killed for adultery (Numbers XXV) and the other

killed King Elah, after making himself drunk, reigned for seven days and then committed suicide (1 Kings XVI). Slingsby Bethel, elected sheriff of London in 1680, is unflatteringly equated with Shimei, who cursed David and who does feature in II Samuel, as does Achitophel; but Corah, a figure with whom the disreputable Titus Oates is identified, is to be found as Korah in Numbers XVI. As for the other main biblical parallels, England is "Israel, London Jerusalem, Hebron Scotland, and France Egypt. The Protestants are referred to as Jews, the Roman Catholics as Jebusites and the Anglican clergy are called Jewish Rabbins. But it is not the particular places or individuals which make the allegory so effective; the power of the allegory derives mostly from the total, detailed and consistent analogy between England and Israel.

Summing Up

The idea of a political allegory on the contemporary political conflict between King Charles II and the Republicans or Whigs did not originate with Dryden; nor was Dryden the first writer to cast this allegory in the form of the biblical story of David, Absalom and Achitophel. What distinguishes Dryden, however, is the fact that his allegory is more consistent, more sustained and much more detailed than that of any other writer of the time.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider "Absalom and Achitophel" as an allegory.

Unit 1 (d) : THE ADVANTAGES OF USING THE BIBLICAL STORY

It should be clear by now that by using the biblical parallel Dryden gives a mythic quality to the political conflict which his poem narrates. We may in fact identify several major ways in which Dryden uses the Bible story to extend the appeal and significance of his narrative. Of course, we must always bear in mind the fact that for Dryden's original readership, the Old Testament story was well known. First, the Bible story gives him some archetypes which he can use selectively and manipulate for his own purposes. In other words, the story gives him a useful technical licence. Secondly by using the Old Testament story Dryden has been able to gain objectivity, or rather an illusion of objectivity, because he admits his own partisanship in his address 'To the Reader': "he who draws his pen for one party, must expect to make enemies of the other" (3-4). But he also believes that "if a poem have a genius, it will force its own reception in the world" (13-14). Absalom and Achitophel has forced its own reception by projecting a partisan political account as a neutral set of circumstances. The result is that his readers do not always feel deeply involved in the fates of the characters or in their political views. They may enjoy the story and the characters as fictional, as a fable, or as a drama that belongs to a remote past at the same time as it casts a great deal of light

on the present. Thirdly the Biblical names by themselves were capable of suggesting many personal moral and political traits to Dryden's readers most of whom were thoroughly versed in the Bible and for most of whom the characters had become types - Achitophel the type of the crooked counsellor, Zinnith the type of the fickle politician, David the all-powerful king rather too fond of women, Absalom the ambitious and vulnerable young man, liable to be tempted. Contemporary figures were then made to fit these types many of whom are seen to recur through history : for example, Achitophel is "A name to all succeeding ages curst" (151). Even before the readers find it appropriate that Shaftesbury is in fact like Achitophel they will concede that to Christians at least Achitophel is an already established type of evil counsellor. When the two-way process is complete, when in other words, Achitophel is recognized both as a biblical type and as a particular individual, the reader will still wonder how the historical individual could be visualised by Dryden as a name cursed to all later ages. It is as if the poet-narrator is guaranteeing a sort of immortality to a contemporary politician. Dryden reinforces this effect of immortality by achieving another effect, which has been called three-dimensional. This effect occurs because the narrative, by constantly switching back and forth between the mythic past and the actual present, suggests that all this happened before, is happening now and may happen again. Finally Dryden also uses throughout the poem Biblical metaphors which have great suggestive power, metaphors like a "second Moses", a "cloudy pillar" and a "guardian fire". Well-known biblical phrases and sentences and ideas are comically distorted to emphasize the meanness and corruption of contemporary politicians : Shimei "never broke the Sabbath but for gain (588)"; he "loved his wicked neighbour as himself" (600).

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What advantages did Dryden enjoy by using the biblical story in his poem ?

Unit 2 (a) : DRYDEN'S VIEWS ON SATIRE

Dryden is not only known primarily as a satirist, as “the father of Augustan satire”, but also as a shrewd commentator on the history and art of satire. His long essay on satire, “A Discourse concerning the Original and Progress of Satire”, is usually regarded as the best essay in English on the nature of satire.

Dryden considers Latin etymology of 'satire' from 'satura', which means "filled with food" or "sated" as the most appropriate. His comparison of Horace and Juvenal in the "Discourse" shows his admiration for both, but also makes it clear that Horatian satire comes closer to his ideal of satiric art.

Dryden says in his “Discourse” that the English word “satire” derives from the Greek word *satyra* and the Latin *satura*. The first is undoubtedly associated with the satyrs, creatures in Greek mythology, who are mainly of human form but with some bestial aspect, such as a horse’s tail or the legs of a goat. This association suggests that originally in ancient Greek literature

satire was a crude form of curse directed at evils like drought, worms, parasites and the forces of sterility; the curse was balanced, however, with praise of the sun and the rain, and the forces of fertility. Dryden considers the Latin etymology from *satura* as the more appropriate. The Latin word means “filled with food” or “sated”, and recall *satura lanx*, a festival platter filled to overflowing with finely chopped meats. Dryden refers to the Roman rhetorician Quintilian’s comment that satire is wholly Roman. Dryden admires most the Roman satirists Horace and Juvenal whose satires were mixtures of various subjects and examples, usually bound together by a single unifying theme. Dryden’s Discourse was in fact the preface for his translation of the satires of Juvenal and another Roman satirist, Persius. Dryden never wrote the primitive *satyra*

based on the curse and the medical and penal metaphors of cure and punishment, scourging and pillorying- the satire practised by Oldham in his Juvenal in the Discourse shows his admiration for both, but also makes it clear that Horatian satire comes closer to his ideal of satiric art. Indeed, the most famous passage of the “Discourse” conveys the essence of the satiric art of Horace, who “writ according to the politeness of Rome,

Dryden builds all his satires and panegyrics on the basic unit of the portrait or "character". Dryden bases many of his satirical portraits on the epic catalogues of heroes and on Milton's parody of these in his portraits of the rebel angels in Book I of "Paradise Lost".

under the reign of Augustus Caesar”. Dryden observes, “How easy it is to call rogue and villain, and that wittily! But how hard to make a man appear a fool, a blockhead, or a knave, without using any of those opprobrious terms! .there is still a vast difference betwixt the slovenly butchering of a man, and the fineness of a stroke that separates the head from the body, and leaves it standing in its place. A man may be capable, as Jack Ketch’s wife said of his servant, of a plain piece of work, a bare hanging; but to make a malefactor die sweetly, was only belonging to her husband”. Jack Ketch was an executioner, and we may apply the difference drawn between Ketch and his assistant to the difference between Dryden and some of his predecessors: an earlier satirist like John Oldham is the

slovenly butcher, while Dryden is the expert executioner. “I wish I could apply it to myself”, says Dryden, thinking of “the fineness of a stroke” that beheads, and yet leaves the head standing on the shoulders. Dryden then cites the portrait of Zimri (the Duke of Buckingham) in *Absalom and Achitophel*: it is “worth the whole poem; ’tis not bloody, but ’tis ridiculous enough. And he for whom it was intended was too witty to resent it as an injury”. Here is Dryden’s ideal: it is “fine raillery”, so well executed that it appears almost to be a backhanded compliment to the victim.

Satires upon the Jesuits, which appeared in 1680, shortly before *Absalom and Achitophel*. By using the example of the executioner Jack Ketch, Dryden suggests the punishment metaphor, but the beheading is done so sweetly that the victim is not immediately aware that he has been beheaded. This would also imply that Dryden prefers the politeness, the moderation and “the golden mean” which avoids extremes of every kind—qualities that characterize Horatian satire. Moreover, Dryden began as a poet of praise, whether of Oliver Cromwell or of Charles II. It had been argued earlier by William Davenant (in the 1650s) that the panegyric was a hallmark of the greatest of genres, the epic. While Dryden deliberately employs some epic devices in *Absalom and Achitophel*, as we

Dryden classifies his satire as varronian i.e. satirizing the follies of men in a serio-comic style, using a mixture of prose and verse. Though ‘Absalom and Achitophel’ does not mix prose and verse, it may be called varronian because of its use of a variety of narrative, satire, panegyric, epic and dramatic.

shall see, the panegyric gives him scope in the poem for contrasting portraits, such as those of David, Barzillai and the other “loyalists” set against the malcontents Achitophel, Zimri, Corah and their followers. Dryden builds all his satires and panegyrics on the basic unit of the portrait or “character”. The ancient Greek philosopher and writer, Theophrastus, was the first to introduce the type of writing known as “character” which offers a succinct summing up of a personality. Theophrastus’s *Characters* was a collection of thirty descriptive sketches of various types of character. Each illustrates some deviation from the proper norm of behaviour, exhibiting some failing, followed by examples of this failing. Dryden’s contemporaries like Halifax, Burnet and Clarendon followed Theophrastus’s characters as well as the portraits of historical personalities drawn by Plutarch, Greek philosopher, historian and biographer (c. AD 46 - c. 120). In *Absalom and Achitophel* the satirical portraits are to some extent like these “characters”, but Dryden bases many of these portraits on the epic catalogues of heroes and on Milton’s parody of these in his portraits of the rebel angels in Book I of *Paradise Lost*. Yet another epic quality of *Absalom* lies in its juxtaposition of the present and the past. Dryden learnt from Virgil’s *Aeneid* how in an epic the past can be used as an analogue to the present, with the emphasis more on the placing of contemporary society by the side of that of the past than on the story. By using the biblical story of David and Absalom Dryden also elevates the contemporary event.

In the *Discourse* Dryden classifies his own satires, *MacFlecknoe* and *Absalom and Achitophel*, as Varronian. Varro (116-27 Bc) wrote satires on the model of the Greek writer Menippus of the

third century BC. Menippus satirized the follies of men in a serio-comic style, using a mixture of prose and verse. Varro's satires were also in a mixture of prose and verse, some of them using dialogue or a semi-dramatic form. They had a wide range of characters and scenes, which were described in a vigorous and earthy language. Neither *MacFlecknoe* nor *Absalom* mixes prose and verse, though *Absalom* has a wide range of characters. It may be called Varronian also because of its use of a variety of narrative, satire, panegyric, epic and dramatic. Another prominent characteristic of Varronian satire is imitation or parody- the juxtaposition of ancient and modern texts. Varro often quoted lines from Homer and the great Greek tragic playwrights, turning their serious meaning into something ludicrous. *Absalom* combines biblical parody with seventeenth century English history and juxtaposes different characters and voices. It also often alludes to Milton's *Paradise Lost* and sometimes adapts lines from that epic to a different context. *Absalom*, like Varronian satire, is not formally satiric; in fact, Dryden calls it "A Poem". As for the verse, Dryden thinks that the decasyllabic couplet is the most suitable for satire of an elevated kind. The decasyllabic couplet, introduced into English poetry by Chaucer, came to be called the "heroic couplet" in the seventeenth century because of the frequent use of such couplets in "heroic", that is, epic poems. This verse form consists of iambic pentameter lines which rhyme with each other. Dryden found this verse form. On the contrary he criticized Samuel Butler for having used in his *Hudibras* the octosyllabic couplet. According to Dryden, this kind of couplet often produces the effect of doggerel and "turns earnest too much to jest".

Summing Up

Dryden's own views on satire expressed in his *Discourse* throw a great deal of light on *Absalom and Achitophel*, especially on its Varronian features, its juxtaposition of the past and the present, its epic quality, its Horatian characteristics and its "fine raillery". Dryden also justifies the use of the heroic couplet as the most suitable verse form for a majestic kind of satire.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Discuss "Absalom and Achitophel" as a satire.

Unit 2 (b) : ABSALOM AND ACHITOPHEL AS A HEROIC POEM

And the turn of heroic poetry". Alexander Pope praised the "long majestic march, and energy divine" of Dryden's poetry, and the verse of *Absalom* for the most part has these qualities. Dryden himself considered the heroic couplet much more dignified than the octosyllabic couplet employed by satirists like Butler. Then again, Dryden chose his words for their music as well as their meaning. To increase the harmony of his verse he adopted from the classical languages words having a sonorous quality, often approaching the musical quality of Virgil's poetry. The result was a brilliant heroic

idiom illustrated by lines like the following : “Or that his conscious destiny made way/ By manly beauty to imperial sway (21-22)”. The conscious heightening of style is most evident when the narrator introduces a speech, often in lines reminiscent of the classical poets and their modern followers, like Milton. As an example Jack cites the lines introducing Achitophel’s first speech to

Absalom and Achitophel possess a number of qualities that belong to a heroic poem — the aim is to present “Nature wrought up to a higher pitch.” ; the verse is marked by “the smoothness, the numbers, and the turn of heroic poetry.” ; presence of Miltonic echoes and so on.

Absalom : “Him he attempts with studied arts to please / And sheds his venom in such words as these(228-29)”. The Miltonic echoes in many of the lines, including inversions of the normal word-order of English, also mark the style as heroic. Yet another heroic quality of the poetic style has been described by Ian Jack in Dryden’s *sonnets*. In the preface to his long narrative poem, *Annus Mirabilis*, Dryden says that the proper wit of a heroic poem lies in “some lively and apt description, dressed in such colours of speech, that it sets before your eyes the absent object, as perfectly, and more delightfully than nature”. *Absalom* contains relatively few similes and metaphors; but Dryden uses elaborate and striking images to “amplify” the poetic effect, as when he uses a long simile to emphasize the effects of the Popish plot (134-41).

The heroic character of *Absalom* is particularly evident in the poem’s five speeches - two by Achitophel, two by Absalom and one by David. These speeches are modelled on the speeches in classical epic poetry and in Milton’s *Paradise Lost*. Ruth Nevo points out the Virgilian allusions in the panegyric passages which evoke the characteristics of the man of honour - magnanimity and the liberal profession of arts and arms. These qualities are most marked in the portrait of one of the King’s followers, Barzillai (James Butler), whose wealth was large and heart larger (826-28). The elegy upon his son (831-47) is “closely Virgilian and diametrically opposed to any mood of biblical elegiac in such a way as to place the maximum distance between the two ancient worlds so subtly employed by Dryden to focus and evaluate contemporary affairs”.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider “Absalom and Achitophel” as a heroic poem.

**Unit 2 (c): MILTONIC ECHOES: INTERTEXTUALITY IN
*ABSALOM AND ACHITOPHEL***

To be evident from the moment he tries to seduce Monmouth “with studied arts”, shedding his “venom” in appropriately chosen words. As David Hopkins has pointed out, here we are intended to remember Satan’s temptation of Eve in Book IV of *Paradise Lost* : Satan squats “like a toad, close at the ear of Eve”, “inspiring venom”. We find a similar allusion in the unmistakably Miltonic flavour of the lines introducing Shaftesbury’s second speech aimed at seducing Monmouth. The

latter has not been entirely convinced by the first speech, and has expressed his reluctance to rebel against his father. At this point the narrator observes, “Him staggering so when Hell’s dire agent found, / While fainting virtue scarce maintain’d her ground, / He pours fresh forces in ...”. The lines are Miltonic, first, because of the inversion which places the object rather than the subject at the beginning of the sentence, as Milton’s “Latinisms” often do; secondly, because of the phrase “Hell’s dire agent” which not only equates Shaftesbury with Satan but is also reminiscent of several very similar phrases used by Milton about Satan; and thirdly, because of the way the narrator’s comment guides our perception of Shaftesbury’s true nature and prevents us from being swayed by his speech, something that the narrative voice in *Paradise Lost* Book I does before each of Satan’s powerful speeches begins. That Dryden conceived of Shaftesbury’s influence on Monmouth in terms of the Miltonic theme of temptation and Fall is evident in the words used by him in his address “To the Reader”; “ ’tis no more a wonder that he withstood not the temptation’s of Achitophel, than it was for Adam, not to have resisted the two devils, the Serpent, and the Woman”. Another Miltonic analogy has been pointed out by Ronald Paulson. Describing Achitophel’s conception of his son, which is like the conception of rebellion (71-72), the narrator alludes to Satan’s “conception” of rebellion which shows him producing his daughter, Sin. Achitophel is typologically Satan because he tempts Absalom by telling him that he is the “Son”, Christ. (Typology is the doctrine or study of events and figures as types or prefigurative symbols, especially in the Bible; thus the Old Testament hero Samson is a “type” of Christ.) Paulson also suggests that the satiric fiction of *Absalom and Achitophel* derives from *Paradise Lost*: Achitophel is Satan, Absalom is Adam, and the crowd of unreliable Israelites or Englishmen are like the fallen angels. Indeed, the followers of Shaftesbury-Achitophel are explicitly compared with the fallen angels in *Paradise Lost*: “Some had in courts been great and thrown from thence / Like Fiends were harden’d in Impenitence (144-45)”. As already noted, Dryden sees the political crisis as a story of temptation and Fall, based on a lie, that is, the so-called Popish plot. The result of all this is chaos, an image which recurs through *Absalom* and which is reminiscent of Milton’s Chaos.

Thus *Absalom* frequently and deliberately alludes to Milton’s *Paradise Lost*, widening the poem’s heroic associations. The design of the poem, as Dryden himself points out, follows to a large extent the temptation theme of *Paradise Lost*, while Shaftesbury-Achitophel is obviously presented as a Satanic figure.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. How does Dryden allude to Milton’s “Paradise Lost” in his poem and for what purpose?

Unit 2 (d): DRYDEN'S USE OF THE HEROIC COUPLET

As we saw earlier, Dryden considered the decasyllabic or heroic couplet the most suitable poetic medium for majestic satire. One important reason for this was the fact that this kind of couplet was already associated with heroic or epic poetry and heroic tragedy, which Dryden considered a descendant of the epic. Besides, the couplet can achieve certain effects more easily than other

Dryden used heroic couplet for serving several purposes — it can acquire a concentration which makes it apt for aphorisms ; a couplet is the most suitable verse form for a forceful antithesis ; the conciseness of the couplet accounts for various features of Dryden's verse style like syllepsis, juxtaposition, puns and so on.

verse-forms can. It can acquire a concentration which makes it apt for aphorisms, as in the following lines : “So easy still it proves in factious times, / With public zeal to cancel private crimes (180-81).” Secondly, a couplet is perhaps the most suitable verse-form for a forceful antithesis. This antithesis can be found in the two halves of a single line of the couplet as here : “In friendship false, implacable in hate (173).” The entire couplet is often structured in the form of antithesis, as in these lines, each of which is antithetical: “Stiff in opinions, always in the wrong; / Was everything by starts, and nothing long (547-48).” Antithesis becomes a subtle instrument in the confines of a couplet, as when it is used to expose Shimei's hypocrisy : “And never broke the Sabbath, but for gain (588).” Sometimes the antithesis lies in only two words opposite in meaning : “He had his *jest*, and they had his *estate* (562).” More strikingly, the form of the couplet enables Dryden to use antithesis which combine all these characteristics : “Stiff in opinions, always in the wrong; / Was everything by starts, and nothing long (547-48).” As these examples show, the couplet expresses a characteristic way of thinking. W. Graham has shown that conciseness of the couplet accounts for three other features of Dryden's verse style in the poem. The first of these features is syllepsis, that is, the use of a word to govern two other words, one literally, the other figuratively : “As served it once for worship and for food (121).” Here the word “served” governs “worship” literally and “food” figuratively. The second feature is juxtaposition, or placing side by side words whose positioning in the sentence creates an effect of incongruity: “Was chemist, fiddle, statesman, and buffoon (550).” The same effect is achieved by Alexander Pope's more famous line in *The Rape of the Lock* : “Puffs, powders, patches, Bibles, billet-doux.” The concise nature of the couplet also makes it hospitable to puns : “His neck was *loaded* with a chain of gold (596).” Finally, the couplet's discipline and its demand for precision lead to some memorable combinations of adjectives and nouns: “necessary gold”, “wished occasion”, “all-atoning name”.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What advantages did Dryden enjoy by using heroic couplet in his poem “Absalom and Achitophel” ?

Unit 2 (e): DRYDEN'S USE OF TRIPLETS

The heroic couplet is universally recognized as both Dryden's favoured verse-form and as eminently characteristic of his poetic style. But his use of triplets has not been as often commented upon. As Christopher Ricks says in his brilliant essay, 'Dryden's Triplets', the "heroic triplet" is "neither a term that is in use nor an accomplishment that is much appreciated". Ricks, whose discussion of the triplet we shall closely follow now, refers to the definitions of both "couplet" and "triplet" in the *Oxford English Dictionary*. A couplet is there defined as "A pair of successive lines of verse, esp. when rhyming together and of the same length"; this meaning was already there in the English language in 1580. The triplet is defined as "Three successive lines of verse, esp. when rhyming together and of the same length"; this meaning did not exist before 1656. From this it is apparent that the development of the triplet marked another stage in the progress of poetry. An earlier critic Mark Van Doren, comparing Dryden's triplets with those of Pope, concluded that Dryden's were less organically related to the poems in which they occurred than in fact they were often "excrescences". But as we are going to see, this is a complete misreading of the triplets.

The first triplet in *Absalom and Achitophel* comes after seventy seven consecutive couplets and occurs in the portrait of Achitophel-Shaftesbury. Achitophel's boundless energy is contrasted with his frail, small physique: "A fiery soul, which working out its way, / Fretted the pigmy body to decay: / And o'er informed the Tenement of Clay (156-58)". Just as the soul of Achitophel overflows the limits of his body, so does this triplet overflow the body of the couplet, over-informing the tenement (room for living in) that is the couplet-form itself. The triplet here, effecting an irruption or disruption, "is a powerful reminder of one form that power may take". The narrator finds in Achitophel the power which can break the social bonds of tradition or convention, as well as the fierce energy that can overcome physical weakness. Achitophel is not being ridiculed for his "pigmy body"; the point is rather that the body is a small thing compared with the vastness of the soul. Like Milton's Satan, Achitophel cannot be slighted; he has to be resisted; something that Absalom fails to do. The over-informed verse-form, the eruptive triplet, is thus organic. In fact, none of the eight triplets in *Absalom and Achitophel* is an excrescence; all of them are organic "in their relation both to the tissue of the verse and to the issue of the poem". Dryden's attack on Achitophel widens from the latter's body to the greater body politic, larger than England. This will be clear if we examine another triplet, the one that refers to the Triple Alliance between England, Holland and Sweden against France in 1668. The triplet not only celebrates the Triple Alliance but blames Achitophel for wrecking it. Achitophel was "Resolved to ruin or to rule the State", and "To compass

Dryden's occasional use of the triplet is deliberate and part of his artistic design, for the triplets always serve an important purpose and are organically related to the poem. e.g. in line 156, 157 and 158, Achitophel's boundless energy is contrasted with his frail, small physique. Here the triplet, effecting an irruption or disruption, "is a powerful reminder of one form that power may take".

this the Triple Bond he broke; /The pillars of the public safety shook: /And fitted Israel for a foreign yoke (175-77)". "The triplet enacts the triplicity of which it speaks. It expands the lines' compass, being fitted to a different yoke from that of the couplet, a yoke foreign to the usual public safety...that is a covenanted verse-movement, the heroic couplet." At the same time this triplet is a tribute to a triple bond, rhyme being by its very nature a bond. Ricks then draws an illuminating comparison between the verse-form employed by Milton in *Paradise Lost* and that used by Dryden in his most Miltonic poem, *Absalom and Achitophel*. For Milton the choice of blank verse in his epic constituted a political and social achievement as well as an artistic one. As Milton himself says in "The Verse", a note before the beginning of *Paradise Lost*: "This neglect then of rhyme so little is to be taken for a defect though it may seem so perhaps to vulgar readers, that it rather is to be esteemed an example set, the first in English, of ancient liberty recovered to heroic poem from the troublesome and modern bondage of rhyming". For Dryden it was the heroic couplet that represented an ancient liberty recovered to the latest form which the heroic poem might take, the form of the mock-heroic. One other example of the triplet will be enough for our purposes here. This triplet occurs during Achitophel's first speech to Absalom, trying to persuade the latter to rebel against the King. One of the arguments used by Achitophel is that the King's popularity has declined substantially. At the Restoration, which took place twenty years ago, the joy of the people of England at having a King, after an interval of almost twenty years, knew no bounds. This overflowing joy apparently cannot be conveyed through a couplet, and the verse-form is expanded into a triplet: "He is not now, as when on Jordan's sand / The joyful people throng'd to see him land, / Cov'ring the beach, and black'ning all the strand (270-72)". "Over and above the call of duty had been the people's joy, and therefore over and above anything that the dutiful couplet could accommodate. The 'full' in 'joyful' proceeds to fill the couplet so that it has to spillover". As these three examples show, the triplet is more than a convenience for Dryden, more than a mechanical extension of the couplet by one line, and certainly more than a mere excrescence.

Thus Dryden employed the heroic couplet in *Absalom and Achitophel* because he considered it the most suitable verse-form for satire of an elevated kind. His occasional use of the triplet is deliberate and part of his artistic design, for the triplets always serve an important purpose and are organically related to the poem.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Cite some examples of Dryden's use of triplets in the poem "Absalom and Achitophel". For what purpose have they been used ?

Unit 3 (a): ABSALOM AND ACHITOPHEL: ITS POLITICAL PURPOSE AND CREED

Absalom and Achitophel is oftendescribed as the greatest political satire in the English language. The adjective “political” is apt for several reasons. First of all, writing the satire was itself a political exercise, for far from using his satire as a means to correct the follies of individuals or his society, Dryden had a clearly polemical intention. Dryden’s ostensible motive was to apply the sovereign remedy of reason to a “Hot distempers State”. But his more important intention was to denigrate the politicians who were locked with King Charles II in a fierce struggle for power. Secondly, Dryden does not even pretend to be objective or impartial. As he admits in his address ‘To the Reader’, “he who draws his pen for one party, must expect to make enemies of the other”. Thirdly, as Winn has shown, Dryden’s poem seeks to interpret the political drama in terms of a complex debate about justice and mercy, and the political wisdom and effectiveness of each of these two qualities. Finally, the poem upholds not only a particular political formation, but a political creed which is propounded as the unquestionably right and rational view.

Unit 3 (b): THE POLITICAL INTENTION

Absalom and Achitophel is Dryden’s contribution to the pamphlet war which accompanied the “Exclusion Crisis”. The exclusionists came to be known as Whigs and we saw earlier how their political campaign received fresh impetus from the alleged discovery of the so-called Popish plot. The Exclusion Bill was passed twice by Parliament, in 1679 and 1680, but on both occasions the King dissolved Parliament. After calling time. The King sought to justify his action in a Declaration which was ordered to be read from all the pulpits in England. When the Whigs sharply criticized the Declaration Dryden defended the King’s action in a prose pamphlet, *His Majesty’s Declaration Defended*. In July 1681, Shaftesbury was arrested on a charge of treason. There is reason to believe that Dryden was commissioned by King Charles himself to write the poem, though the intention definitely was not to influence Shaftesbury’s trial. (We saw earlier why it could not have been so.) Dryden’s real motive was to contribute to the propaganda war about the Exclusion question. He wanted to emphasize Charles’s justice, his compassion as well as his firmness during this political crisis. He also wished to underline the seditious and anarchic tendencies of Shaftesbury and his followers. Dryden presents the Whigs as pretenders to power. The succession issue was not, for Dryden and people of his political faith, simply a question of one ruler being followed by another; it had much wider economic and political implications. In *The Medall* Dryden characterizes the succession issue as a conflict between “Property and Sovereign Sway”. As Ruth Nevo shows, for Dryden the sway of property is anarchic and the Whigs represent the “Almighty crowd”, to use a phrase from *The Medall*. A further significance of the Miltonic allusions in *Absalom and Achitophel*

is political. Just as Milton's Satan is invested with royal splendour and presented as a royal tyrant who wants to wield sovereign power, Dryden's Satanic figure, Achitophel, stands for republicanism. The arguments he employs to influence Absalom are republican. Thus, the political conflict is presented by Dryden as a clash between two ideologies — conservatism and republicanism. It is in March 1681 at Oxford, Charles dissolved Parliament for the third and final

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What was Dryden's real political motive behind the writing of the poem?

Unit 3 (c): THE ROYALIST BIAS: DRYDEN'S PARTISANSHIP

The Whigs published a number of answers to the *Declaration*, including *A Letter from a Person of Quality*, a pamphlet which reiterated the fears of Popish plots and the arguments for Exclusion. The Tories, determined to put forward their side of the argument, published an anonymous pamphlet, *His Majesty's Declaration Defended*. James Anderson Winn treats this pamphlet as the work of Dryden. In the pamphlet Dryden speaks of the "many examples of moderation" in Charles's reign and emphasizes the "temperate and wholesome Constitution" of the English monarchy." Any impartial observer of the English political scene of the time would find many of Charles's actions against his political opponents bitterly vindictive. In *Absalom and Achitophel* one of the main problems faced by Dryden is how to reconcile the political necessity of vengeance with the Christian ideal of moderation. The assumptions of the poem are almost as partisan as those of the pamphlet. Both pamphlet and poem also strongly suggest Dryden's personal identification with King Charles, so that his defence of the king was a kind of self-defence too. Moreover, Dryden had often exercised the right to defend himself and even referred to himself in the *Discourse on Satire* as "naturally vindictive". He could therefore easily equate his many defences of his literary actions with Charles's recent defence of his political actions. Dryden's identification with Charles also leads him to present the conflict between Charles and Monmouth as one between age and youth. When Achitophel interprets David's mercy as the lethargy of old age and exalts the youthful vigour of Absalom, Dryden expects his readers to recognize the appeal of the argument but to reject it as misleading. Again, like many Tories, Dryden believed that the whole system by which property was passed down from generation to generation was threatened by the Exclusion Crisis.

As a firstborn son, he had very good reasons to want the system to prevail. Dryden perceived that the continuation of primogeniture, to which he owed his own privileges like the benefit of a University education, was inseparably linked with the laws of monarchical succession. Dryden's weakness for Absalom, leading him to present the young man as a victim of cunning manipulation, also derives from his identification with Charles, this time as father; as he says in the preface to the

poem, “David himself could not be more tender of the young man’s life, than I would be of his reputation.” Finally, Dryden’s partisanship is most evident in his treatment of the Whig leader, Anthony Ashley Cooper, Earl of Shaftesbury, the first English politician to realize that the basis of power in a democracy is political organization rather than factionalism and mob violence. The genuineness of his political beliefs is proved by his association with John Locke, who wrote the unfinished *Essay concerning Toleration* in close association with Ashley. Dryden, however, presents him as a crooked counsellor, a cunning manipulator and a Satanic tempter of youth. Though his accomplishments as a judge are magnificently praised, as a politician he is presented as unreliable, deceitful, ambitious, with anarchic tendencies.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Dryden’s defence of the King was a kind of self-defence too. — Substantiate.

Unit 3 (d): THE DEBATE ABOUT JUSTICE AND MERCY

Dryden’s partisan confidence in the royalist cause was based upon the increasing setbacks to the Whig position. Shaftesbury was imprisoned and possessed a document calling upon Protestants to take up arms to prevent a Catholic succession. But since the document was unsigned and not in Shaftesbury’s handwriting, it could not be used against the Whig leader. Another prominent political figure of the opposition, Stephen College, was put on trial but acquitted by a London jury handpicked by Whig sheriffs. But the King shifted the trial of College to Oxford, where a more cooperative jury sentenced College to death by hanging. Titus Oates, who testified on behalf of College, was thrown out of his comfortable house and his financial allowance was withdrawn. Charles was revealing his vindictive tendencies and Shaftesbury feared that he might be another victim of the King’s political vengeance. But Dryden says in the preface that he deliberately omitted from his poem the tragic ending of the Bible story: “The conclusion of the story, I purposely forbore to prosecute; because, I could not obtain from myself, to show Absalom unfortunate.” Dryden had thus stopped short of the “conclusion” and his declaration to this effect must have attracted attention in the context of the relentless and successful prosecution of Stephen College, and immediately before the unsuccessful attempt to prosecute Shaftesbury. The poem has abundant evidence of Charles’s fondness for Monmouth, and Dryden too appears as a father-figure reluctant to show Absalom “unfortunate”. Again we perceive an analogy between the poet and his monarch, an analogy which raises questions about the wisdom of a policy of mercy. Winn has rightly found in the poem a complex internal debate on the respective merits of justice and mercy. In the third edition of *Absalom and Achitophel*, published by the end of December, Dryden added to David’s final speech four extra lines expressing his readiness to

Dryden deliberately omitted the tragic ending of the Biblical story from his poem. since, he, like Charles himself had a fondness for Monmouth.

pardon Absalom. This was a surprising addition, because Monmouth had meanwhile done something fresh to provoke Charles's anger—he had offered to stand bail for Shaftesbury. Dryden is aware that Charles was more vindictive than him and therefore says in the preface that the position adopted by him will not “please the violent, on both sides”, referring to his own mercy as a fault: “The fault, on the right hand, is to extenuate, palliate, and indulge; and, to confess freely, I have endeavoured to commit it.”

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Justice and mercy to everything in the poem “Absalom and Achitophel”—substantiate.

Unit 3 (e): DRYDEN'S POLITICAL CREED

In his *Life of Dryden*, Dr. Johnson observes that “If it [*Absalom and Achitophel*] be considered as a poem political and controversial it will be found to comprise all the excellences of which the subject is susceptible.” Johnson’s remark indicates how keenly the contemporary readers enjoyed Dryden’s satire for its treatment of urgent matters and recognizable figures of topical relevance. Some more recent critics consider the poem’s chief achievement to lie in its creation of a coherent and unified “conservative myth”: Dryden has used all the resources of his poetic art to convince the reader of the rightness of the royal cause. But David Hopkins has pointed out a fundamental weakness in Dryden’s presentation of the royalist position. Dryden’s linking of contemporary and biblical events at times appears to be “more ingenious than inevitable.” This is most evident in the portraits of the “short file” of King Charles’s loyal supporters which precede Charles’s final speech. The portrait of Barzillai, or James Butler, is flawed by a monotony of movement and inertness of metaphor. “A sceptical reader might feel that some of the allegorical parallelism which is so central to the poem’s main design only has the desired effect if the allegory is being decoded by someone who already fundamentally shares the poet’s assumptions”. Hopkins identifies another basic weakness in Dryden’s poetic design as the vehicle of his political strategy. The assumptions and principles on which Dryden bases his allegory were not exclusive to the party of which he was the spokesman. Essentially the same allegorical scheme and political arguments were employed in Elkanah Settle’s *Absalom Senior*, a Whig reply to Dryden’s poem. In Settle’s poem it is the Tories who are seen as motivated by self-interest. The poem also presents parliament as a divinely appointed check on tyrannical kings - a point of view exactly the reverse of that of Dryden. Dryden is not of course advocating absolute power for the king; but he is in favour of putting the king in overall control of the state. In *Absalom and Achitophel* he applies a three-part scheme to political policy, when he offers the king a third option for dealing with his enemies. Since large-scale amnesty would lead to weakness, and absolutist vengeance might provoke a civil war, Dryden advocates a policy of firm punishment

for those who challenge such fundamental laws as the law of succession, but a general policy of moderation and tolerance, and a propaganda campaign to remove fears of absolutism and win support for Charles.

Dryden's exact political creed emerges when, in a sudden departure from the narrative of the progress of Absalom's conspiracy, he addresses England in a prophetic voice as "foolish Israel!" (753). He asserts that any interference with the line of succession will leave the people vulnerable and exposed to the sword of every "arbitrary Lord". In 761-62 he offers a spirited refutation of the ideas of contractual monarchy then being developed by Shaftesbury's secretary, Locke. The whole speech (753-810) is in fact a great contribution to the continuing political argument regarding the king's rights. To express the view that innovation is "the blow of fate" Dryden uses an architectural metaphor. If and when ancient buildings become weak and endangered, the best course is not to change the foundations, but to strengthen the wall and patch the flaws. The political innovator who attempts to change the foundations becomes a rebel. The full horror of the innovator's attempt is brought out by using the metaphor of the Ark. (The Ark of the Covenant was a chest or box representing the Deity, carried by the Israelites in their wanderings in the desert after the Exodus. The Ark was the holy of holies, symbolizing God's merciful promises to his people.) When the Ark was restored to Israel by a dancing David, a man who was trying to steady it in the oxcart was struck dead on the spot for touching it. That story, as Winn suggests, is intended by Dryden to provide a precise commentary on Whig ideas about government. Uzzah, the man struck dead, intended no harm or sacrilege, and yet "God smote him for his error" (II Samuel). Dryden means that even those Whigs, who have no quarrel with the institution of monarchy, become rebels when they wish to tamper with the succession. On the other hand, Dryden believes that arbitrary power is as dangerous as "lawless anarchy" is, though it is with the second danger that he is more fully concerned. In fact, the apparent impartiality of the lines on the dangers of absolute rule is offset by the poem's earlier assertion that David cannot be regarded as an arbitrary ruler. It is true that "Laws are vain, by which we right enjoy / If kings unquestioned. Can those laws destroy (763-64)"; but the "laws" have already been identified with the king's cause and therefore it is clearly implied that the warning does not apply to Charles's rule. The argument is further weighted against republican rule by the frequent association of the "public" with "lunacy" and by the reference to "Nature's state".

Dryden's exact political creed emerges when, he addresses England as "foolish Israel !" He uses an archetypal metaphor, i.e. that of the Ark. If and when ancient buildings become weak and endangered, the best course is not to change the foundations, but to strengthen the wall and patch the flaws.

This image is most lucidly explained by D.R. Elloway. Dryden refers first to the original contract by which people instituted government by giving away their "native sway", a phrase which recalls Dryden's contemporary Thomas Hobbes's "Right of Nature" that had to be surrendered in the interest of settled rule. Dryden recognizes the danger that it might lead to tyranny, but maintains, like

Hobbes, that the contract is binding on successive generations. Dryden also maintains that the original terms of the contract cannot be changed, though he makes it clear that he does not support absolute rule by monarchs. His justification of royal authority is based, not on theoretical principles, but on the danger posed by an unruly crowd and the necessity of a central power to protect individual rights. Dryden also uses Hobbes's argument, repeating the political theorist's very words, that if the king's prerogative to rule is seized by the people, both kings and "Government itself" will fall to "Nature's state". If people usurp the king's power, total chaos will reign: "For whatsoever their sufferings were before, / That change they covet makes them suffer more (797-98)".

Summing Up

Absalom and Achitophel is intensely political and makes no bones about its partisan views. It supports the king's cause as against that of the republican Whigs whose views are rejected and whose leaders are included. It is also political in the sense that it contains an internal debate about the political wisdom of justice and mercy. Since Dryden treats Monmouth with a fatherly indulgence, more than even his natural father did, Monmouth's rebellion is presented as the result of Shaftesbury's instigation. Dryden shows that his poem, unlike one of his pamphlets which it strongly resembles, is not merely polemical but results from a genuinely held and for him rationally valid political ideology—that of conservatism.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. For what purpose does Dryden use the metaphor of the Ark in this poem?

Unit 4 (a) DRYDEN'S ART OF CHARACTERIZATION: THE PORTRAITS

We have already seen that Dryden was to a large extent influenced by the type of writing known as "character" and that his satires are all built on the basic unit of the portrait or character. Since belief in Dryden's political creed is limited and since interest in the particular case of the struggle for power which prompted the poem is not universal, it is possible to suggest that *Absalom and Achitophel* retains a constant readership because of the poem's artistic qualities. Chief among these qualities is its art of satirical portraiture. The history of the critical reception of the poem shows that after its topical interest had worn off, Dryden's poem was usually remembered, not as a whole design, but for the excellence of its parts, especially its memorable portraits. Dryden himself seems to have thought that the chief appeal of the poem lay in its portraits, or at least one of its portraits. He said that "the character of Zimri in my *Absalom* is, in my opinion, worth the whole poem". The only reason why we may not agree with his view is that there are other portraits in the poem which are equally brilliant and memorable. We shall now look at some of these portraits.

Unit 4 (b): THE PORTRAIT OF DAVID-CHARLES

This is the shortest of the poem's many portraits, but may also be called the most lively. The poem begins with a witty depiction of the king and his infamous lechery. We have already seen that the creative fecundity displayed in drawing this portrait matches Charles-David's fertility. We shall now turn to some other aspects of the portrait. Traditionally a satirist has been seen as a demolition expert successfully carrying out the moral and polemical aims with which he begins his work. By exposing the follies and vices of his victims, the satirist traditionally wins our assent to his own moral, political or aesthetic values. Such a traditional view of the satirist's objectives would lead us to expect that Dryden would justify the king's every action and seek to prove the divinely sanctioned role of the Stuart monarchs. The satirist would also be expected to spare no pains to convince his readers of the complete villainy of the king's political opponents. Some of the portraits in the poem fulfil such expectations. But the more complex of the portraits, such as David, Achitophel and Zimri, cannot be seen in such black-and-white colours. Some recent theorists of satire have questioned the traditional assumptions about the satirist's intentions and practice. They detect in much great satire two contradictory strains existing simultaneously. There are, on the one hand, the satirist's corrective and reformist intentions; at the same time, often equally unmistakably, there is clear evidence that the victims partly arouse the satirist's sympathy and fascination. According to T.S. Eliot, both Dryden and Pope have the ability

Traditionally satirist, by exposing the follies and vices of his victims, wins our assent to his own moral, political, or aesthetic values. But all the portraiture of characters do not fulfil our expectation that Dryden would justify the king's every action.

to transform their real-life targets into fictive creations, so that the victims become merely the pretext for the poetry. While satire is generally destructive, Dryden's satire, says Eliot, creates the object it sets out to destroy. Dryden can do this because he has the supreme gift of "a certain divine levity". Dustin Griffin has shown that the satirist can be both repelled and attracted by the world of folly. The satirist not only seeks to persuade us, or denounce his targets, but provokes us to raise and consider questions about the subjects of satire, questions which seem to challenge the tone of approval or disapproval that appears to be dominant.

Some consider that by using ironies, Dryden is at the very beginning getting out of the way of the matters of Charles's lechery and Monmouth's illegitimacy, so that he can concentrate on the more

The confusion regarding the question of polygamy is the inevitable result of the inconsistency between the flippant opening lines about Charles's lechery and the solemn closing elevating Charles to a godlike stature. But some other critics think that the unity of "Absalom and Achitophel" lies in its acceptance of disunity.

important political issues in the rest of the poem. Others think that Dryden, in this way, sought to emulate his king by having at least one actress-mistress of his own. Our interpretation of the David-Charles portrait is to a very large extent determined by the ironies operating in the relevant lines (1 - 16), ironies which continually raised doubts about the narrator's attitude. Those who read the lines as a clear indication of the narrator's approval of the king's polygamy point out that by adopting this clever strategy Dryden is at the very beginning getting out of the way the

inconvenient matters of Charles's lechery and Monmouth's illegitimacy, so that he can concentrate on the more important political issues in the rest of the poem. Some others have suggested that the opening lines of the poem express the narrator's unmistakable approval of Charles's conduct and contain a plea for understanding. Those who endorse this interpretation point out how monogamy is disparaged in a cynical, man-of-the-world spirit, especially in the line: "E'r one to one was, cursedly, confined (4)". In this connection it is sometimes mentioned that Dryden himself sought to emulate his king by having at least one actress-mistress of his own. Another approach to the portrait is through the traditional belief according to which the king had two bodies, the one public, sacred and eternal, the other private, frail and mortal; the second is foregrounded in the opening lines, while the first occupies the centre-stage in the poem's action, especially its conclusion. This reading of the lines insists that Dryden is presenting Charles's promiscuity at the very beginning as an attractively virile sexuality, that the manifestly ironical tone of some of the lines does not have the effect of damaging Charles's authority and credibility, and that the underlying suggestion is that if, like the biblical David, Charles is susceptible to excessive sexuality, like the biblical prototype again, he is also God's representative on earth, as can be seen at the end when Charles's voice is reinforced by divine intervention: "He said. The' Almighty, nodding, gave consent (1026)". But one cannot ignore the many questions which the opening passage raises. Is the narrator seriously endorsing the notion of an ideal paradise in which unchecked sexuality was the rule rather than an exception? Does the

word “priestcraft” in the opening line suggest that priests are the villains of the piece because they put an end to polygamy and that the narrator reveals here an anticlericalism which distinguished the Whig politicians? (The word “priestcraft” suggests “deceitful priestly cunning”.) Does the narrator seriously believe that Charles’s promiscuity is “after Heaven’s own heart”? What would such a belief indicate about the nature of the Christian God? (Jeremy Collier asked the same question and came to the conclusion that Dryden was being blasphemous. As he wrote in 1698: “This is downright defiance of the Living God. Here you have the very essence and spirit of blasphemy”). Since the narrator refers to a time when polygamy was not a sin, does it follow that like Milton, Dryden had no inherent objection to the practice of polygamy? There are also some potentially subversive suggestions in the passage: that the divine right enjoyed by the king is the right to be lecherous; that sexual activity unsupported by law and morality is “natural”; that priests have nothing to do with piety; and that the confinement imposed by monogamy is a curse. Instead of taking these suggestions as teasing hints, some critics see them as unmistakable signs of ideological confusion on the poet’s part. The confusion is the inevitable result, according to such critics, of the inconsistency between the flippant opening lines about Charles’s lechery and the solemn closing lines elevating Charles to a godlike stature, an inconsistency due to the contradiction between a secular view of nature and society and a belief in the outmoded notion of a sacred monarchical order. But there are also critics not at all troubled by the poem’s transition from subversive humour at Charles’s expense to a solemn assertion of his divine authority. The unity of *Absalom and Achitophel*, according to this view, lies in its acceptance of disunity.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portraiture of David.

Unit 4 (c): THE PORTRAIT OF ACHITOPHEL-SHAFTESBURY

We find the same kind of complexity and ambivalence in the portrait of Shaftesbury-Achitophel, though here the tone is never flippant or even humorous. For Ian Jack, the description of Achitophel is a reminder that satire can exist without humour and without ridicule. But just as in the portrait of Charles-David a tone of approval is often overlaid by ironical questioning, Achitophel is not presented simply as a villain. With some of the king’s enemies Dryden displays a tendency to paint them in the blackest colours; their motives are uniformly despicable and they are treated with contempt or scorn. But in drawing the portrait of Achitophel Dryden often suggests a tone of genuine wonder,

The description of Achitophel is a reminder that satire can exist without humour and without ridicule. Dryden often suggests in describing him a tone of genuine wonder caused by the man’s boundless energy and ambition which almost overwhelm his pigmy body. Here dislike or distrust is modified by a recognition of true wit.

The wonder is caused by the man's boundless energy and ambition which almost overwhelm his pigmy body. Achitophel is a phenomenon who prompts the satirist to raise some fundamental questions about a type of human personality (165-68). As the questions indicate, Achitophel's restlessness is almost inexplicable in terms of commonly perceived motives such as malice, hatred, self-interest or envy. The motives which drive him arise from deeper "psycho-somatic regions". Shaftsbury had undergone an operation on a cyst of the liver, but the wound had not completely.

We saw in the portrait of Charles that approval is often modified, or even offset, by critical hints. In the portrait of Shaftesbury we see the reverse of this satiric strategy: here dislike or distrust is modified by a recognition of true merit. Shaftesbury's greatness as a judge dispensing justice without fear or favour is freely acknowledged, as are his zeal to redress the grievances of the poor, his speedy execution of his duties, his accessibility and incorruptibility. It is true that Achitophel is introduced as the leader of the king's enemies, the "Fiends" who are "harden'd in impenitence" because of "their Monarch's fatal mercy". Winn believes that the reference is to the Act of Oblivion of 1660, by which Charles forgave such offences as Shaftesbury's service on Cromwell's Privy Council. But the advocacy of vengeance is not the driving force behind the portrait. Like Milton's Satan, whose resemblance with Achitophel has been pointed out in detail earlier in the module, the King's chief adversary has fallen from greatness. He is "Sagacious, bold, and turbulent of wit" (153), "A fiery soul (154)", whose clean hands and discerning eyes are explicitly praised. Dryden even mentions the possibility that Shaftesbury's considerable talents might have been better employed. Thus in the portrait of Achitophel we detect a note of loss or regret caused by the recognition of exceptional talents dedicated to wrong ends.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portraiture of Achitophel.

Unit 4 (d): THE PORTRAIT OF ZIMRI-BUCKINGHAM

Dryden's characterization of Zimri, frequently referred to earlier in the module, is remarkable for other reasons, though here too we detect the curious mixture of fascination and condemnation that marks the portraits of David and Achitophel. It is different from the Achitophel portrait in being general rather than particular, while Achitophel is primarily a picture of an individual. The Zimri portrait has been called by Ian Jack as first of all "a humourous character of the Inconstant Man". It also differs from the satire on Shaftesbury in that it relies on humour and avoids the sombre tone of the Achitophel portrait. Dryden's reference in the *Dicourse* to the fact that the Duke of Buckingham, the original of the portrait, was amused rather than offended by the portrait, shows that he did not wish to provoke the Duke. The enmity between Dryden and the Duke was literary as well as

political. In his *Rehearsal* (1671), a parody of Restoration heroic tragedy, Buckingham had ridiculed the typical love-honour conflicts in Dryden's heroic plays. Buckingham in fact attacked Dryden for political as well as aesthetic reasons, provoking the latter to respond in kind. By presenting Zimri-Buckingham as a fickle person Dryden is suggesting that those who support the Whig cause are totally irresponsible. Since Buckingham was a much lesser political threat than Shaftesbury, Dryden adopts a tone of light banter in the portrait. Apart from the Zimris in the Bible, another original for Dryden's Zimri can be found in classical poetry. In his Third Satire the Roman satirist draws the portrait of a Greek who is an opportunist to the core. Hopkins quotes from Dryden's own translation of Juvenal's lines to demonstrate the similarity. The striking similarity between some of the most brilliant lines in the Zimri portrait and Juvenal's lines as translated by Dryden will be evident from just two examples from the latter: "Who bears a nation in a single man?" and "All things the hungry Greek exactly knows". Similarly, George Villiers, Duke of Buckingham, is "everything by starts, and nothing long". He is chemist, fiddler, statesman, buffoon, womanizer, painter, poet and "ten thousand" other things. Dryden is of course exaggerating, as the hyperbole ("ten thousand") shows; but historians have cited contemporary accounts of Buckingham's profligacy. In the Zimri portrait Dryden's principal satiric aim seems to be to single out the type of fickle and unscrupulous person who is a threat to the stability of a society. But as in the ambivalent portraits of David and Achitophel, in the Zimri portrait too we find traits which cannot be taken as straightforward condemnation. Hopkins has found an unexpected resonance in two of the most celebrated lines in the portrait: "A man so various, that he seemed to be/Not one, but all mankind's epitome". Juvenal's Greek shyster contains in his single personality an entire nation, but Zimri's comprehensiveness is much more impressive: he is the epitome of all mankind. In his magnificent tribute to Shakespeare's genius Dryden says in his *Essay of Dramatic Poesy* that "he was the man who of all modern and perhaps ancient poets, had the largest and most comprehensive soul". There seems to be only a thin dividing-line between the description of Zimri's comprehensiveness and the large and comprehensive soul of Shakespeare. Special attention should be paid to the adjective "various" in the lines from the Zimri portrait: the adjective usually qualifies plural nouns, but here a single man is said to be so various that he can be taken as all mankind in miniature. Another comment on Zimri similarly wavers between condemnation and something akin to approbation: "Blest madman, who could every hour employ, / With something new to wish, or to enjoy! (553-54)". As Hopkins says, "it is difficult to be quite sure whether Dryden's tone is closer to condemnatory scorn or delighted wonder". The tone of wavering, of wonder, is nicely conveyed by the jerky antitheses in the portrait, for example: "Stiff in opinions, always in the wrong;/Was everything by starts, and nothing long (547-48)". Zimri has a chameleon-like personality, capable of assuming different characters in quick succession. As Dryden describes these different characters or shapes, the verse has a tumbling quality (550-51). Not only do such lines indicate the satirist's huge delight in contemplating this "blest madman", but they are also a

comment on the “delightful absurdity of human perverseness rather than a polemical denunciation of a political enemy. They have an imaginative freedom that transcends their strategic purpose (Hopkins).”

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portrait of Zimri.

Unit 4 (e): THE PORTRAIT OF SHIMEI-BETHEL

The portraits of the two remaining figures of the political opposition, Shimei and Corah, are very different in tone and strategy. For both, the satirist adopts an attitude of contemptuous scorn, more evident in the Corah portrait. Jack has also detected in these portraits an indirectness of approach involving some degree of humour which makes them very different from the unsmiling indictment of Achitophel. Jack's explanation is that since commoners cannot be as dangerous politically as noblemen, Dryden can afford to treat Shimei and Corah with the kind of contemptuous humour which is totally absent from the Achitophel and Zimri portraits. Jack is right, since Dryden's class discrimination is evident in the way he ridicules Corah for his “base” birth. The “character” of Shimei begins with lines which employ unambiguously pejorative words: “worse”, “wretch”. The contemporary politician behind the biblical name is Slingsby Bethel, who represents, in the words of D.R. Elway, “that fusion of austere Puritan Nonconformity and tight-fisted commercial individualism that made the City an anti-Royalist stronghold... His name became proverbial for meanness”. He was elected one of London's two Whig sheriffs in 1680. In II Samuel Shimei cursed David, a fact recalled in the portrait by Shimei's readiness to join any group “gathered to declaim/Against the Monarch of Jerusalem (601-02).” The biblical Shimei is the archetype of hypocrisy and disrespect to the divinity that is supposed to be embodied by the king, and these are the keynotes of Dryden's portrait of Bethel. Bethel had written a book called *The Interests of Princes and States* in which he spoke about the ideal conditions for trade. The portrait therefore emphasizes the crass commercialism of the man, symbolized by the facts that “His neck was loaded with a chain of gold (596)” and that all his energy was spent in “heaping wealth.” His political bias was evident in the way he packed “a jury of dissenting Jews” whenever any of his “factious friends” was on trial. Dryden makes telling use of anti-climaxes to expose the real nature of the man behind his public appearance. Thus Shimei showed early promise of “Zeal to God,” but also of hatred to his king (from Dryden's royalist point of view the two are irreconcilable). Shimei never “broke the Sabbath”, but if he ever did, it was only for personal gain. He respected Moses's Laws, but only because they were the product of long fasting which appealed to his miserly habits. Thus even his refusal to indulge his appetites is presented as a flaw, an example of his parsimony. The devastating satire at the expense of Bethel is sometimes a result of cleverly placing a single word, as in the line: “Yet loved his wicked neighbour as himself

(600)". The noble biblical ideal of loving one's neighbour as oneself is grossly parodied in the single adjective "wicked". In fact, the entire portrait is intended as a travesty of the Christian ideal.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portraiture of Shimei.

Unit 4 (f): THE PORTRAIT OF CORAH-OATES

In the portrait of Shimei, but more so in that of Corah, we have come a long way from the fine raillery of the Zimri portrait or the ambivalence in the characterization of Achitophel. The Corah portrait is denunciatory satire at its sharpest. Dryden's scorn for this shady figure is vividly expressed in the lines directly addressed to the man and in the employment of the derogatory "thou" (632-33). The original of the portrait was Titus Oates, who has been already described earlier in the module. Since Oates was the son of a weaver, Dryden mocks his low birth. Graham has pointed out the close similarity between Oates and the biblical figure of Korah in Numbers, xvi. Like Oates, Korah created an atmosphere of doubt and suspicion when he "rose up before Moses with certain of the children of Israel, two hundred and fifty princes of the assembly, famous in the congregation, men of renown: And they gathered themselves together against Moses and against Aaron, and said unto them, Ye take too much upon you, seeing all the congregation are holy, everyone of them; wherefore then lift ye up yourselves before the congregation of the Lord?" Just as Oates's false evidence was responsible for a number of people dying, getting injured and losing their reputation, so innocent people "died about the matter of Korah". As in the portrait of Shimei, there is in the Corah portrait too skillful use of biblical allusion to denigrate the victim. The biblical Korah was a Levite, a member of the tribe entrusted with the care of the Tabernacle and therefore allowed some privileges. Oates also enjoyed privileges from the Whigs because of his "discovery" of the Popish plot. There is more biblical allusion in the lines: "Erect thyself thou Monumental Brass:/ High as the Serpent of thy Metal made (633-34)". Here the reference is to Moses's brazen serpent which saved the Israelites from the plague of fiery serpents. (Numbers, xxi). Oates likewise claimed that his testimony would save the English from Catholic conspiracy. Kinsley has pointed out that Dryden may also be recalling the Old Testament application of "brass" to a people hardened by sin. That Oates completely devalued the word "witness" is indicated by further biblical allusions: to the false witness who testified against the martyr St. Stephen and to other false witnesses mentioned in the Gospel of Matthew. Thus by the time Dryden has finished with the word "witness", it is thoroughly discredited along with the perjurer Oates. Another distinctive aspect of the Corah portrait is its delineation of an upstart who threatens to assume the proportions of a great political player. Oates's low birth is said to be responsible for his desire to seek fame, or notoriety. Dryden scornfully dismisses the ambition of the "weaver's issue" to become a "Prince's son".

Ruth Nevo sees the portrait of Corah as the crowning achievement of *Absalom and Achitophel*. She finds in the poem “two great rival systems of evaluation”, the classical and the biblical. (Zimri is both a biblical figure and modelled on one of Juvenal’s satiric targets). These two rival strains are simultaneously present in the exposure of this arch-hypocrite. “Classical monumental fame, Mosaic redemption, perjury and prophecy all combine to articulate the inimitable, densely packed scorn” of the lines describing Corah-Oates.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portraiture of Corah — Oates.

THE FOLLOWERS OF CHARLES

The portraits of the Royalists are of course not a part of Dryden’s satiric design and it will be entirely wrong to expect in them the ironies, sarcasm, denunciation and even ambivalence which mark the characterization of the Whig politicians. For the loyalists Dryden uses panegyric. This panegyric style is by no means undistinguished, however. In fact, Ruth Nevo has argued that the values against which the ironies in the satirical portraits have been set are also invoked in the portraits of the Royalists. These values can be generally labelled as Augustan and divided under the heads Church, King, and classical culture. However, it is classical culture which is most clearly woven into the texture of the verse. “In the panegyric passages, though these are kept within the framework of biblical reference, the Virgilian allusions are most marked, and the values evoked are significantly the familiar attributes of the life of the man of honour—magnanimity and the liberal profession of arts and arms”. These values are most strikingly present in the portrait of Barzillai. The biblical Barzillai sustained David during Absalom’s rebellion; the contemporary figure behind the biblical name is James Butler who served Charles faithfully. Dryden takes special care to mention the fact that the king’s supporters were few; for him their real distinction lies in the smallness of the group, because already he has associated numerical majority with madness. The contrast is emphasized by means of an antithesis: “Friends he has few, so high the madness grows;/Who dare be such, must be the people’s foes (813-14).” The portrait of Barzillai’s son emphasizes the latter’s filial loyalty and reliability, and is thus a contrast to the portrait of Absalom. Zadoc, who was the high priest of Israel during Absalom’s rebellion, in II Samuel, is Dryden’s name for William Sancroft, Archbishop of Canterbury. Sagan of Jerusalem was the high priest Zadoc’s deputy in the Bible; behind the allegorical name is Henry Compton, Bishop of London. Adriel, a name that has not been traced in the Bible, stands for John Sheffield, Earl of Mulgrave and “the author of much unreadable verse” (Elway). These are the main figures in the group of Charles’s loyal followers. It is undeniable that Dryden’s presentation of this group lacks the liveliness and comprehensiveness of the portraits of the king’s political opponents. Two explanations of this difference have been suggested. First, Dryden intends

a contrast between the Whigs' misuse of their own talents in pursuing unworthy ends and the Royalists' proper use of their abilities in the king's cause. As a result, the second group of portraits depends for its effect on its relationship with the first. Secondly, Dryden wants to emphasize the connection between numerical superiority and political irresponsibility and therefore he can afford to name only a few of the loyalists to suggest their political worth and good sense.

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Elloway, D.R., Dryden's Satire, London: Macmillan, 1966.
2. Graham, W., Absalom and Achitophel, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1964.
3. Hammond, Brean, Pope among the Satirists, 1660-1750, Devon: Northcote, 2005.
4. Hopkins, David, John Dryden, London: British Council, 2004.
5. Jack Ian, Augustan Satire: Intention and Idiom in English Poetry, 1660-1750, Oxford: Oxford University Press, Kinsley.
6. Kinsley, James and Helen, ed. Absalom and Achitophel, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1985 (All textual references in the module are to this edition).
7. Macey, David, the Penguin Dictionary of Critical Theory, London: Penguin, 2001.
8. Nevo, Ruth, Absalom and Achitophel. John Dryden: Modern Critical Views, ed. Harold Bloom, New York: Chelsea House, 1987.
9. Paulson, Ronald, "Dryden and the Energies of Satire", Cambridge Companion to John Dryden, ed. Steven N Zwickler, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
10. Ricks, Christopher, 'Dryden's Triplets,' Cambridge Companion to John Dryden.
11. Winn, James Anderson, John Dryden and His World, Yale: Yale University Press, 1987.

The author of the module gratefully acknowledges his substantial debt to the books and articles mentioned above.

ASSIGNMENTS

Short-answer type:

1. Why was king Charles II's sexuality an issue in contemporary politics?
2. What was the British convention regarding succession to the throne?
3. From which book of the Bible is the story of Dryden's poem derived?
4. Explain one advantage of using the Bible story in *Absalom and Achitophel*.
5. Who was Titus Oates? Why did he become famous/notorious?

6. What is the Popish Plot? What was its political impact?
7. What is the Exclusion Crisis? Briefly comment on its political importance.
8. How would you define a couplet and a triplet?
9. What is Dryden's attitude to Absalom? Why did he adopt such an attitude?
10. Mention some of the real people and places as well as the biblical names given to them by Dryden.
11. Who is Barzillai? Why is he important?
12. Who is Adriel?

Broad Questions:

1. Explain the topical issues of history and politics that lie behind Absalom and Achitophel.
2. Comment on Dryden's use of the Bible for allegorical purposes in Absalom and Achitophel.
3. What are the advantages of using a biblical story in Absalom and Achitophel?
4. Examine Dryden's views on satire with special reference to Absalom and Achitophel.
5. Why is Absalom and Achitophel called a heroic poem? Substantiate your answer.
6. What do you mean by "intertextuality"? Discuss the intertextuality in Absalom and Achitophel.
7. Trace the Miltonic echoes in Absalom and Achitophel and comment on their significance.
8. Comment on Dryden's use of the heroic couplet. Why does he sometimes use triplets? Give suitable examples.
9. Why is Absalom and Achitophel called a political satire? What was its political objective?
10. Is Dryden objective or partisan in his treatment of political issues? Discuss with reference to the text.
11. Expound Dryden's own political creed as you find it in Absalom and Achitophel.
12. Why is there a debate on justice and mercy in Absalom and Achitophel? How is the debate conducted?
13. Comment on Dryden's art of satiric characterization with special reference to any one of the portraits.
14. Comment on the portraits of the following figures as they are presented in Absalom and Achitophel:
 - (a) Charles-David
 - (b) Achitophel-Shaftesbury
 - (c) Zimri-Buckingham
 - (d) Shimei-Bethel
 - (e) Corah-Oates.

BLOCK-II

Alexander Pope: *An Epistle to Dr Arbuthnot* Oliver Goldsmith: *The Deserted Village*

CONTENT STRUCTURE

Unit 5 (a): Alexander Pope: A General Introduction

Unit 5 (b): Alexander Pope and his Time

Unit 5 (c): A critical analysis of the Poem

Unit 6 (a): Analysis of Different Character Portraits

i. The Portrait of Atticus

ii. The Portrait of Sporus

iii. The Portrait of Bufo

Unit 6 (b): *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot* as an Autobiography

Unit 6 (c): A Note on Satire

Unit 7(a): Oliver Goldsmith's Life and Works

Unit 7(b): Historical Background of *The Deserted Village*

Unit 7(c): Background of *The Deserted Village*

Unit 7(d): Dedication to Sir Joshua Reynolds

Unit 8(a): Theme of the Poem

Unit 8(b): The Pastoral

Unit 8(c): Pastoral Features in *The Deserted Village*

Unit 8(d): Critical Analysis of the Poem

Unit 8(e): Criticism

Annotations

The Poem

Suggested Reading

Assignment

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this block is first to give students an overview of Alexander Pope's life and literary works. Secondly, to initiate a detail discussion of *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnut*. The entire block is a comprehensive student friendly analysis of the text *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnut*. Along with the detail discussion of the text the block is focussing on critical understandings of the text in order to give students a comprehensive understanding of the text *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnut*.

Unit 5(a): ALEXANDER POPE - A GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Alexander Pope was born in May 1688 in London to an affluent linen trader and a Roman Catholic in religion. During the accession of William and Mary to the British throne, Roman Catholics were compelled to live outside London; several prohibitions were imposed upon them as a consequence of which the senior Pope had to leave London to settle in the tranquil environment of Windsor Forest. Being a Roman Catholic, Pope was deprived of an university education. Besides, a deadly tubercular disease in the spine made Pope a cripple. He was constantly in pain which he

refers to as “this long disease, my life” in *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot*. Though confined to the bed, Pope acquired a great knowledge of the classics. By and large a self-educated person, Pope started

Though confined to the bed, Pope acquired a great knowledge of the classics. By the time, Pope was twenty-five, his name as a great poet was already established in the literary world. His earlier works include “Pastorals”, “An Essay on Criticism” etc. But his literary genius lay in satires and mock-epics like “The Rape of the Lock”, “The Dunciad”, “An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot” and so on.

showing his literary talent at a very early age which he mentions in the poem: “As yet a child, nor yet a fool to fame, / I lisp’d in numbers, for the numbers came” (ll. 128-129). And by the time Pope was twenty five, his name as a great poet was already established in the literary world. His acquaintance with the Greek and Latin literature produced his first published work *Pastorals* in 1709. Two years later he published *An Essay on Criticism* which is an attempt to develop an aesthetics of poetry and criticism. In this poem Pope has used the heroic couplet almost to perfection. *Windsor Forest* extols the magnificent beauty of the landscape of the royal forest and the poet’s vision of a Utopian era of calm and peace. In 1714 Pope undertook an ambitious project of translating Homer’s *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. The subscription of this project made Pope financially independent. In 1725 his publication of the edition of Shakespeare saw him quarrelling with Lewis Theobald just as his translation of Homer soured his relationship with Joseph Addison.

But Pope’s genius lay in satires and mock-epics, the two dominant genres that represented the prevalent literary taste of 18th century England. In 1714, the enlarged version of *The Rape of the Lock* was brought out and immediately acknowledged as a masterpiece of mock-epic poetry, a delightful satire on the world of fashionable men and women. In this year of success, Pope co-founded with Swift, Gay, Joseph Spence and Dr. Arbuthnot the ‘Scriblerus Club’ and established a lasting friendship with these men of letters. Its aim was to ridicule all literary pretension, bad taste and corruption rampant in social life. *The Dunciad* is another specimen of the mock-heroic with Lewis Theobald as its hero. The poem is an invective, a merciless sizing down of literary giants into dwarfs or a bunch of ‘dunces’. The poem is a war against pretentiousness and pseudo scholarship. *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot*, published in 1735, is again a disparaging campaign against false criticism, evils of patronage and poverty-stricken scribblers as well as a defence of himself, his parents and friends, and his poetic career. The didactic note continues in *An Essay on Man* and it is taken up earnestly in the *Moral Essays* and *Imitations of Horace* (published in 1738). The *Imitations* is a modern adaptation of the Latin poet to contemporary situation. Pope’s poetic career came to a close with the publication of the revised version of *The Dunciad* in 1743 replacing Lewis Theobald with Colley Cibber as its hero. The satire reaches solemn heights and at times attains Miltonic grandeur. *The New Dunciad* was Pope’s last completed work.

After the publication of *The New Dunciad* Pope’s health deteriorated. The man who valued friendship more than anything else died surrounded by his ever-trusting friends on 30 May 1744, literally summing up Macbeth’s description of dead Duncan: “After life’s fitful fever he sleeps well”.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Name some representative literary pieces of Pope.

Unit 5(b): ALEXANDER POPE AND HIS TIME

Alexander Pope's life-span covered two different periods of English social, political and literary history, the end of the Restoration period and the beginning of the Augustan age. He was born in 1688, the year in which William of Orange and Mary acceded to the throne of England after King James II, a Roman Catholic had to flee to France because of his religious beliefs. In religion, the country was widely divided into two camps: Catholics and Protestants; Whigs and Tories in politics. The Parliament with royal support passed the Bill of Rights that curbed the royal power and barred Roman Catholics from being monarchs. The power of the monarch was no longer held to be sacred as divine power, The Toleration Act in 1689 made some provision for freedom of worship for Dissenters but the restrictions imposed on the Catholics were not waived. They were forced to live ten miles away from London. University education was denied to the Catholics. They could not enjoy civic rights and choose public offices. Pope and his family, being Catholics, were subjected to these restrictions. In spite of these severe restrictions and minority status, the Roman Catholics formed a prosperous, rich section of the population.

In 1701 Queen Anne succeeded William III and Mary who died childless. The reign of Queen Anne was marked by political turmoil inside the country and foreign invasions. But the reign of three successive Hanoverian kings, George I, George II and George III was comparatively peaceful. The two Jacobite uprisings in 1715 and 1745 were successfully thwarted by the Protestant monarchy of England. Another important event of the period concerned Robert Walpole's rise to power in the Court of George I and George II.

The political condition of England, the rivalry between the Whigs and the Tories, the Jacobite uprising had a definite impact on the literature of the period. Dryden, Pope, Swift, Addison, Steele were directly or indirectly involved in politics, though Pope was never a political man like Dryden. His sympathies were evidently with the Tories while Addison and Steele championed the cause of the Whigs. Though a Tory, Pope had many friends among the Whigs, a disposition he describes in 'The First Satire of the Second Book of Horace Imitated': "While Tories call me Whig, and Whigs a Tory" (1.68). He could not conceal his dislike for Robert Walpole and his policies in *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot* and *The Imitations of Horace*. Though not a member of the party, Pope registers his political antipathy for the monarchy supported by Whigs.

Alexander Pope represents the ideals and literary principles of the English Augustan age also called the Age of Enlightenment. The Neo-classical principles of 'correctness', perfection and

decorum were the 'rules' of literary composition. The Age of Enlightenment strives to identify man's proper place in the scheme of the universe, his relationship with God and the nature of things. Man is essentially an imperfect being created by God and placed in the centre of the 'Great Chain of being'. He is superior to birds and beasts, the vegetable world and the geological world by virtue of his rationality. Man may be the supreme creation of the Universe, but he is given to "chaos of thought and passion, all confused" (Epistle II, An Essay on Man). The poetry of Pope endorses and embodies all the intellectual and ideological developments of the age. The insistence on refinement in style, on 'correctness' as advocated by the Neoclassical period is registered by Pope in his *An Essay on Criticism, An Essay on Man, Moral Essays and Imitations of Horace*.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What was the political condition of England like during Pope's time ?
2. What are the salient features of Pope's poetry ?

Unit 5(c): A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE POEM

An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot is Pope's *Apologia pro sua satira*, a defence of his satires against the malicious attacks of his detractors. The poem a mosaic of several verses written on several occasions, may be divided into three sections. Lines 1-124 give an account of the poet's disgust with the vain literary aspirants and hack writers who pester him on all occasions and at every place seeking his favour. The poem has an abrupt, dramatic beginning with the poet-speaker ordering John Serle, his manservant, to shut the door to save him from these men. He is not spared even on Sundays or at church or at dinner time.

Muses from Parnassus have been let loose to "rave, recite, and madden round the world" (L.4).

Poetry and insanity are brilliantly juxtaposed in the reference to 'Sirius', the Dog-star, 'Bedlam, and 'Parnassus. Sirius appears in late summer, the oppressive heat of which is supposed to cause insanity and again, the season was sacred to the Romans of ancient times for poetry reading session. Whereas for Pope poetry is "a sane diversion", for the poetasters it is "a mad obsession". The favour-seekers include a parson, a madlin poetess, a rhyming peer, a clerk, all pretenders to poetry.

The poet wonders why he is held responsible for every offence committed by others. If a person like James Moore Smythe is found guilty of violating the laws of the country or if a frantic wife like Lady Walpole deserts her husband, the reason is attributed to Pope: "And curses Wit, and poetry and Pope" (L. 26). Exasperated with all this, Pope seeks some remedy from Dr. Arbuthnot, though he knows it is absolutely impossible to destroy the "flimsy lines" of the "cobweb" spun by the

scribblers. The comparison between poetasters and spiders is worked out brilliantly in lines 89-94. Pope states his personal opinion clearly: he is innocent and guiltless, frank and friendly, more a victim than an aggressor, never willing to hurt anyone. Yet, when Arbuthnot tries to dissuade him from naming anyone rich and famous, the exhortation seems to fa

Writing poetry was quite natural to him and it gave him pleasure to sustain him "thro' this long disease, my life," a tender reference to his life long disease, that made him a cripple. "But why then publish?" He promptly answers that he published his works because of the support and encouragement of his friends like Granville, William Walsh, Samuel Garth, William Congreve, Swift, Rochester, Henry St. John, Talbot, Somers, Sheffield and Bolingbroke. When he had so many friends at his side, he did not care for the "Burnets, Oldmixons, and Cooks":

"Happy my studies, when by these approv'd Happier their author, when by these belov'd
From these the world will judge of men and books" (143-145) Any critic who has "spirit, taste and sense" need not be afraid of his "modest satire;" it only aims at the dull, textual critics who are more concerned with "commas and points", spelling and meter rather than with the spirit of a work.

The aggressive onslaught on critics and plagiarists culminates in the Atticus and Sporus passage. While acknowledging the genius of Addison, Pope accuses him of jealousy and rivalry and likens him to a Turk who cannot bear any rival. The portrait of Bufo is a scathing-satire on self-flattering patrons. The poet refuses to depend on any patron for favours. He is happy to pursue his poetic vocation. This is followed by a searing portrayal of Sporus, namely, Lord Hervey. The ferocity changes into a tone of tenderness in lines 381-419.

In these lines Pope pays a touching tribute to his parents and Dr. Arbuthnot. Pope presents his father as an honest, wise and balanced person. A stranger to hypocrisy, he never "dar'd an oath, nor hazarded a lye" in order to enter public life. He was by no means a crafty person :

"Unlearn'd, knew no schoolman's subtle art/No Language, but the Language of the Heart" (II. 398-399). Pope also paints a happy picture of domestic bliss of his parents. The rest of the poem sees Pope in a role-reversal situation: he bestows blessings

on his dying mother and dying friend. Imaginatively he becomes a caring parent to his ailing mother. A mother is supposed to rock the cradle of her baby.

Here the role is reversed – the son wishes to "rock the cradle of reposing age". Imaginatively Pope replaces himself with Dr. Arbuthnot who treated him and longs to "preserve him social, cheerful and serene." With this blessing of a son and a friend, the vexed and savage tone of the satirist merges into a note of exquisite tenderness not expressed elsewhere in Pope's poetry, a restoration of calm of mind, all passions spent.

In the Atticus and Sporus passage, The aggressive onslaught on critics and plagiarists culminates. But at the end with the blessing of a son and of a friend, the vexed and savage tone of the satirist merges into a note of exquisite tenderness not expressed elsewhere in Pope's poetry.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Attempt a critical analysis of the poem "An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot".

Unit 6 (a): Analysis of Different Character Portraits

6(a) i: THE CHARACTER OF ATTICUS

An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot is celebrated for its verse portraits, notably those of Atticus, Sporus and Bufo. Lines 193-214 contain the portrait of Joseph Addison as Atticus. This passage

The Atticus passage contains satirical treatment of Addison. He wittily points to Addison's hating of a literary rival and to his fall as a critic. This passage is a masterly work of portraiture which strikes a perfect balance between appreciation and condemnation.

was written in 1715 and published in 1722. Later on it was incorporated into the Epistle after some alterations. Once friends, Pope and Addison soon turned into rivals over the latter's preference for Tickell's version of Homer to Pope's. This was enough to enrage Pope and his wounded pride finds an outlet in the Atticus passage. The Atticus passage begins with a praise of the 'English Atticus'. The original Atticus was born a Roman, but he was called "Atticus" because of his long stay in Athens and his profound knowledge in the Greek language and its literature. He was a close friend of Cicero with whom he exchanged many letters. But soon the panegyric moves into a sharp attack. Addison cannot tolerate any literary rival, a usual characteristic of a Turkish Sultan who hates to see a rise in power. The startling paradox "Damn with faint praise, assent with civil leer" wittily points to his failing as a critic. He can never praise a rival's work wholeheartedly; it is clear that his civility is a cover for his envious displeasure. Though he is never contemptuous, he encourages others to sneer. Though he is willing to wound, he lacks the moral courage to openly strike an opponent. He is extremely cautious in his condemnation. For Pope, it is really unworthy of a man like Addison to be fearful even of fools and be surrounded by flatterers. He pretends to be obliging without ever obliging anyone. The portrait in this way bristles with extensive use of analogies and antithesis that adds a sharpness to the portraiture. The comparison with Cato is sarcastic and witty. Addison is shown to preside over a small group of sycophants, his 'little senate'. After making him an object of ridicule Pope asks,

"Who but must laugh, if such a man there be? Who would not weep, if Atticus were he?" [213-214]

Thus, when if a person like Atticus stoops to folly, it is not simply unfortunate but tragic.

The Atticus passage is a masterly work of portraiture. It strikes a perfect balance between appreciation and condemnation. However, one may ask if Addison deserves such an attack from Pope. For it was Addison who first appreciated Pope's literary gifts. The latter also genuinely admired

Addison. Buttherift betweenthe two was more literary than personal. Considered from an objective

point of view, the portrait remains a witty piece of work, an argument against hypocrisy and a vindication of impartiality in criticism.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portraiture of Atticus.

Unit 6(a) ii: THE CHARACTER OF SPORUS

Lord Hervey and Lady Mary Wortley Montagu conspired jointly to publish *Verses Address'd to the Imitator of the First satire of the Second Book of Horace* in which they mercilessly attacked Pope's physical deformity and obscure birth. Pope retaliated with a fury and savagery quite absent in the Atticus passage. Arbuthnot tries to restrain him as Sporus is a vile, filthy, contemptible creature, a thing of silk, a white curd of a fass's milk, a butterfly and, therefore, Pope ought not waste his time on such a thing. But his friend's advice serves to intensify his anger. To him Lord Hervey is a gilded bug that stinks and stings, a well-trained spaniel that lacks the courage to bite its prey, an ugly toad and a serpent. Through a quick succession of animal imagery Pope savagely hits at Lord Hervey's effeminate features, his bisexuality and manner of speech. Sporus was a favourite eunuch of Emperor Nero of Rome whom he later married in a lavish ceremony. Like Satan, the tempter of Eve, Sporus is a manipulator. A sycophant in the court of Queen Caroline and a spokesperson of Robert Walpole, Lord Hervey spews venom in the ears of Queen Caroline. He is 'one vile Antithesis' of what is honest and graceful, an 'Amphibious thing' who acts in different roles: "Sporus is puppet and puppeteer, controlled and controller, deceived and deceiver":

In this passage, Pope retaliated against Lord Hervey and Lady Mary Wortley Montagu, who mercilessly attacked Pope's physical deformity and obscure birth, with fury and savagery quite absent in the Atticus passage. This portraiture is the most derisive of all Pope's character sketches.

His wit all see – saw between that and this,
Now high, now low, now Master up, now Miss.
Fop at the Toilet, Flatt'rer at the Board.

Now trips a Lady, and now struts a Lord" (II. 323-325) these lines present Sporus in the most reviling colour. In his case face is not the index of mind. Externally, Sporus may have, a "Cherub's face", but the rest of his body is that of a serpent. The final blow is dealt in the expression 'pride that licks the dust'.

The portrait of Lord Hervey as Sporus is the most derisive of all Pope's character sketches. Dr. Johnson concludes: "The meanest passage is the satire on Sporus". The devastating condemnation of Lord Hervey done through a series of successive animal images may raise the question whether

Pope was entirely fair in his depiction. But we need not go beyond what the text tells us. Personal animus may have motivated the Portrait of Lord Hervey as Sporus. But it is less about a contemporary than about an enemy with whom the satirist is always at war. The Sporus lines sum up, in the words of Maynard Mack, “in an *exemplum* the fundamental attributes of the invader in every garden: his specious attractiveness – as a butterfly, a painted child, a dimpling stream; his nastiness – as a bug, a creature generated in dirt, a thing that stinks and stings, a toad spitting froth and venom; his essential impotence – as a numbling spaniel, a shallow stream, a puppet, a hermaphrodite; and yet his perpetual menace as the tempter, powerless himself but always lurking ‘at the ear of Eve’, as Pope puts it, to usurp the powers of good and pervert them”. It is a war involving “the strong antipathy of Good to Bad”. Sporus has become a classic exemplar, a prototype of cringing obedience and vulgar sycophancy. Pope has “given Hervey a kind of immortality he never dreamt of”.

Unit 6(c) iii: THE CHARACTER OF BUFO

The portrait of Bufo is not as destructive as that of Sporus. ‘Bufo’ in Latin means ‘Toad’. In the *Epistle* he stands for a proud literary patron who bestows his charity to unworthy and undistinguished poets. Bufo is a blend of Charles Montagu, Earl of Halifax and George Bubb Dodington, a Whig politician. Pope describes him as a pretender with claims to taste and learning. He is as proud as Apollo to whom the poets in ancient time used to dedicate their poems. Similarly, his modern counterpart is “fed with soft dedication all day long”. His library is stuffed with busts and books of dead poets which he has hardly ever read. A stranger to literary acumen, Bufo doles out his charity among flatterers and pretenders to knowledge. But at times he can be miserly. Dryden was allowed to die in poverty, but he was given a lavish funeral, thanks to Bufo’s so-called generosity. The poet’s hatred for such an undiscerning patron culminates in the brilliant antithesis: “He help’d to bury whom he help’d to starve” [L. 248]. Pope thanks himself for not having such a patron. He is happy to have John Gay, his friend and inspirer. Bufo or the Earl of Halifax thus becomes an archetype of pretenders to poetry and taste, of flattery and partisanship.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the portraiture of Sporus and of Bufo.

Unit 6(b): AN EPISTLE TO DR. ARBUTHNOT AS AN AUTOBIOGRAPHY

Alexander Pope was born in an age in which the literary atmosphere was vitiated by battles waged between writers. The life of an author or a political person was no longer a private affair; public curiosity would dig up every secret of their personal life. Dryden, Swift, Gay and Pope had to

put up with such public intrusions, blatant lies and distortion of facts regarding their careers and relationships. Pope felt compelled at a certain point of his career to reply to all the allegations and present himself in a favourable light. *Imitations of Horace* gave him the much-required medium of self-expression. The *Satires* and *Epistles* are largely autobiographical: “The whole man pulsates in them – his intense nervous responses to nature and to man, his exquisite sensibility and lovely feeling for the music of the word and phrase, his generosity, his implacable enmity, his humour, his hatred, his warm friendship, and his deeply stirred patriotism.” An *Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot* is the most of all his poems, an *anapologia* for his personal life, literary career, friends and parents. They are a composite self-portrait of the man: George Fraser has observed that Pope is “always warm where he speaks with moral approval or gratitude; bitterly and excessively sharp to his foes when he thinks them, like Lord Hervey and Lady Mary, malignant; blandly severe, but balanced and just to a dead man like Addison, who was not a true friend or an open enemy, but whose gifts as well as his faults deserved recognition”.

<p><i>“The whole man pulsates in them— his intense nervous responses to nature and to man, his exquisite sensibility and lovely feeling for the music of the word and phrase, his generosity, his implacable enmity, his humour, his hatred, his warm friendship, and his deeply stirred patriotism.”</i></p>

The Advertisement frankly admits that the poet intends to launch a counterattack on those “Persons of Rank and Fortune” who had reviled his ‘person, Morals and Family’. He has clearly mentioned the names of these ‘persons of Rank and fortune’ Lord Hervey, Lady Mary Wortley Montagu and a host of others. Throughout the poem, Pope places himself in a lofty position from which he throws his shafts against his antagonists. The abrupt opening of the poem with an exhortation to his own manservant, John Serle, establishes the personality of a vexed autobiographical narrator desperately in need of relief from the pestering hack writers and flatterers. The personal note becomes all the more prominent from line 125 which establishes him as a paragon of virtue:

Why did I write? What sin to me unknown
 Dipp’d me in ink, my parents’, or my own?
 As yet a child, nor yet a fool to fame,
 I lisp’d in numbers, for the numbers came. (125-128)

He claims to have been “lisp-ing in numbers” since his childhood for poetry came to him spontaneously. He served poetry not to please any woman but to please himself, to help him “through this long disease, my life.” Poetic vocation was a great diversion in his miserable life. No poet, perhaps, has ever so poignantly expressed the story of a life dwarfed by an incurable disease of the spine within so few words. The question “But why then publish” is followed by a list of Pope’s lifelong friends whom he recalls affectionately and gratefully. They receive the new poet with ‘open arms’, inspire and encourage him; it is for them that world is a glorious place to live in:

“Happy studies, when by these approv’d!

Happier their author, when by these below’d.”

The portraits of Atticus, Sporus and Bufo are evidently motivated by deep personal rancour.

The autobiographical part of the poem takes away the spleen and spite of these passages. It demonstrates a compliment to his own virtues as contrasted with the vices of his enemies.

The poet’s righteous indignation against Addison, Lord Hervey and Earl of Halifax resonates through lines 193-248 and again in lines 305-333. The intervening lines are a projection of the self: “Poor guiltless I!” The autobiographical part of the poem takes away the spleen and spite of these passages. It demonstrates a compliment to his own virtues as contrasted with the vices of his enemies. He pictures himself as a man of humble desires :

“Oh let me live my own! and die so too!

(“To live and die is all I have to do”)

Maintain a poet’s Dignity and Ease” (U. 261-263)

Preoccupied, thus, with books and friends and humble affairs of life the poet is least interested in the great affairs of public life. Power does not attract him : “I was not born for courts”, he declares. He pays his debts regularly, says his prayers like any devout Christian and sleeps undisturbed never bothering about his next work or about critics like Dennis. The poem registers his unflinching devotion to his friends who are worthy and virtuous; but at the same time his poetry is like a scourge to those who pretend to be what they are not in reality :

A lash like mine no honest man shall dread,

But all such babbling blockheads in his stead. [303-304]

It is immediately followed by the severe ‘lashing’ (of Lord Hervey in the Sporus passage. The ferocity of the attack on Sporus subsides to a gentle tone in the subsequent stanza which describes the poet as a man of integrity and virtue in an age of intrigues and moral vices. This time the first person voice shifts into third person; the poet is “Not Fortune’s Worshipper, nor Fashion’s Fool. / Not Lucre’s Madman, nor Ambition’s Tool” (L. 335). He does not wander long in ‘Fancy’s Maze’.

The intimate tone of an autobiographical speaker is unmistakable throughout the poem, but all his claims about and barbs at some persons are not justified. But it is important to recognize that it is a dramatic projection as much as a construct.

He ‘Stoop’d to truth, and moralized his song.’ A tolerant man, Pope endures all abuses, libels against his name, his physical infirmities and his friends. He suffers much but never deviates from the path of virtue for which he is ever ready to die: “For thee, Fair Virtue! welcome ev’n the last!” (359)

This moral part of the poem soongives way to the most moving and tender passage recapitulating the virtues of his parents and the poet’s filial devotion towards them. His father wise, honest, homely. He is a “stranger to Civil and Religious Rage”. In other words, political and religious intrigues never interest him. A very

simple man, he knows no intricacies of the heart. He dies peacefully without any pain and the son prays “O grant me, thus to live, and thus to die”. Ironically enough, the son lives a life of prolonged suffering till death releases him in 1744. Pope protests his love for his mother; he wants to take care of her, to “smooth the Bed of Death”. In fact, she dies a few weeks after this poem is completed. The poem which begins with the voice of a vexed first person speaker rounds off with the same voice, but this time calm, restored to peace, with a final warm tribute to his dying friend, Dr. Arbuthnot.

The intimate tone of an autobiographical speaker is unmistakable throughout *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot*. The person projects himself in a position far superior to corrupt scribblers and flatterers.

However, in all fairness to Pope, it can be said that all his claims about and barbs at some persons are not justified. Critics like Theobald, Bentley, Addison were scholars and worthy men. The Earl of Halifax was a generous patron. His contemptuous jibe at the poverty of some poet-aspirants is unfortunate. In *The Dunciad* too, Pope severely condemns hack writers and makes fun of their poverty. Pope does not believe that he is mocking the ill-fortune of those who do not have the comfort

The ferocity of the attack on Sporus subsides to a gentle tone. Pope endures all abuses. He suffers much but never deviates from the path of virtue. This moral part soon gives way to the most moving and tender passage recapitulating the virtues of his parents and the poet's filial devotion towards them.

and solvency of his own life. On the contrary, he considered himself a defender of cultural and literary values which he felt were on the wane. To him, Swift, Gay and Arbuthnot were epitomes of literary as well as moral virtues. It is, however, important to remember that the poem must be read as it is and what it stands for. It upholds the virtues of genuine criticism, need for privacy in personal life and above all, good poetry. In it Pope has waged a war against inferior criticism and the tendency to malign one's reputation. Thus I.R.F. Gordon concludes,

“The poem's most interesting dramatic creation, however, is that of Pope himself. The besieged poet who speaks in the poem, and vigorously defends his life and art, is clearly, on one level, the voice of the actual, living poet, Alexander Pope. But the poet speaker comes to us through a series of filters. He is after all, only one of the poem's voices. Pope, the author, embraces the ‘Pope’ who speaks in it, as well as the ‘Dr. Arbuthnot’ with whom he speaks. The Pope who speaks in the poem is Pope as he would like the public to think of him; Pope without warts... The voice of the poet who speaks in *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot* comes as close as any in Pope's poetry to being an authentically autobiographical one, but it is important to recognize that it is a dramatic projection; just as much a construct, in one way, as that of Dr. Arbuthnot is in another.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the autobiographical element in the poem.

Unit 6(c): A NOTE ON SATIRE

The term 'satire' is derived from the Latin *satura*, meaning 'medley', a dish of mixed food items. Juvenal called it 'ollapodrida' meaning 'mish-mash', 'Satire' as a poetic genre developed, not in Greece but in Rome. Critics often confuse the Greek satyr plays with satires. It was in 1605 that the etymological confusion was cleared by the French scholar Isaac Casaubon. Satire as the Romans understood it is an artistic composition to hold up human vices, follies, moral failings for ridicule thereby striving to correct and reform them. According to Dryden, the aim of satire is the amendment of vices. Swift claims that satire 'is a sort of glass wherein beholders do generally discover everybody's face but their own.' For Alexander Pope, satire is "a sacred weapon", "sole dread of Folly. Vice, and Insolence" (*Epilogue to the Satires*). Satire, in the English literary context, owes its origin and inspiration to Horace and Juvenal who established the genre of formal verse satire. Their approach to the genre, however, was quite different. This led Dryden to identify the satires of Horace as 'comic' satire while those of Juvenal as 'tragic'. Horace's *Satires* and *Epistles* have the design of a 'medley', of *sermo* or conversation between persons covering a wide range of subjects. Pope found his affinity more with Horace than with Juvenal. However, while his *Moral Essays* and *Imitations of Horace* follow the Horatian example, *The Dunciad* imbibes the spirit of Juvenal.

An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot is modelled on Horace's use of *sermo* or chat and poetic autobiography. Like Horace, Pope has given a dramatized account of his self as a man and as a poet. The poem dramatizes the tension the poet feels being "cabin'd and cribb'd" on all sides by scribblers, unjust critics and people like Lord Hervey and Lady Mary Wortley Montagu in a conversation between Pope and Arbuthnot. It is notable for the dramatic cuts, swift surprises, interruptions and exclamations. The conversational design of the poem which begins with an address to the servant allows space enough to another participant. Thus what appears to be a dramatic monologue, a genre Browning would perfect later on, changes into a dialogue. The poem posits a thesis by launching an attack on vice and folly and an antithesis demonstrating the value of rationality and of the life of a good, well-meaning human being, as it has been explained by Maynard Mack. The readers do not fail to recognize the basically good-natured, tolerant, friendly man forced to write this *apologia*: "Difficile est saturam non scribere: "It is difficult not to write satire."

CONCLUSION

An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot incorporates satire, biography, a critique on the contemporary literary world, the evil influence of patronage and partisanship provoked by political differences. Pope is profoundly moral and didactic in this poem as he is in all his poems. But the poem does not read like a heavily-loaded didactic sermon because of its varying mood shifting from passionate

rage through a wistful and lingering gaze at the poet's past life to an affectionate musing on his parents and friends, the poem involves the use of precise and apt antithetical and epigrammatic verse, sharp and witty banter, and a pervasive use of animal imagery. As Jack Lynch observes *An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot* offers a study of Pope's "satirical principles – or, at least, how he'd like them to be interpreted".

ANNOTATIONS

Nequesermonibus Vulgidederis... tamen.

Taken from *De Republic*, VI, 23 by Cicero [Marcus Tullius Cicero, more popularly known as "Tully", and it means "You will not any longer attend to the vulgar mob's gossip nor put your trust in human rewards for your deeds; virtue, through her own charms, should lead you to true glory. Let what others say about you be their concern; whatever it is, they'll say it anyway."

ADVERTISEMENT

Persons of Rank and Fortune : A reference to Lord Hervey and Lady Mary Wortley Montagu, friends-turned-foes, who jointly brought out the scurrilous *Verses to the Imitator or Horace*. Lord Hervey wrote *An Epistle to a Doctor of Divinity from a Nobleman at Hampton Court* to which Pope sharply reacted with his prose reply called *A Letter to a Noble Lord*.

The learned and candid 'Friend' to whom it is inscribed refers to John Arbuthnot (1667-1735), a Scottish mathematician, physician to Queen Anne; an author and a co-founder of the famous Scriblerus Club with Alexander Pope, Jonathan Swift and John Gay. He was a friend of Pope to whom the poet dedicated the *Epistle*.

THE POEM

Good John: John Serle, Pope's manservant.

Knocker : The doorknocker was usually muffled if someone in the house was sick or haddied recently.

Dog-Star : Sirius, the dog-star, appears in late August. Its associations are with oppressive heat of the late summer supposed to cause madness and poetry-reading sessions in ancient Rome.

Bedlam or Parnassus : 'Bedlam' is corrupted from Bethlehem. It was originally called the hospital of St. Mary of Bethlehem, located in Bishopgate, London. Later on it became an asylum for the lunatics. Parnassus is a mountain in Greece, sacred to Apollo, god of poetry and therefore sacred to the muses.

- L.8 Grot : The underground grotto built by Pope in the garden of his villa at Twickenham. L.9
Charge : attack
- L.10 Chariot : a four-wheeled horse drawn vehicle.
- L.10 Barge : Pope's house at Twickenham was close to the River Thames and very often he would travel from here to London by water.
- Sabbath; A day of religious observance and rest, kept by the Jews on Saturdays and Christians on Sundays.
- Mint: A sanctuary for debtors. They were allowed to appear on Sundays without the threat of being arrested.
- L.15 Parson : a pun on the name Laurence Eusden who became poet laureate in 1718 and held the laureateship until his death in 1730. He was an object of Pope's scurrilous attack.
- bemused: Slightly puzzled.
- Maudlin: Sentimental, talking in a self-pitying manner especially when drunk. L. 18 Engross: Prepare legal documents.
- L. 21 TWI'NAM : Pope's home at Twickenham.
- L. 23 Arthur : Author Moore was a businessman and an M.P. His son James Moore Smythe was a minor poet and dramatist. He inserted some verses of Pope in his comedy *The Rival Ladies* and when the latter withdrew his permission to include them, he refused to do so.
- L. 25 Cornus : Derived from Latin *cornu*. meaning 'horn' the word refers to a cuckold. Cuckolds were traditionally imagined to wear horns. This may have reference to Lady Walpole who left her husband in 1734.
- L. 27 Friend to my life : John Arbuthnot, the poet's friend.
- L. 29 Drop or Nostrum : medicines.
- L. 40 "Keep your piece nine years" A reference to Horace's advice to aspiring poets in *Ars Poetica* to keep their manuscripts for nine years before publishing them.
- L. 41 Drury Lane : The name of a theatre district in London; the surrounding area was a notorious haunt for prostitutes and people of doubtful character. The speaker dwells in a garret here. That the poet lives in an attic with broken windows is suggestive of the 'high' life that he lives.
- Lull'd by soft zephyr : Induced to sleep by soft, gentle breezes.
- Termends : A legal term meaning the regular sessions of law court which often coincides with the publishing season.

L.49 Pitholeon : Pope's Note runs thus : "The name taken from a foolish poet of Rhodes, who pretended much to Greek." Pope may be referring both to Leonard Welsted, translator of the works of Longinus and to Thomas Cooke who translated several Greek poems. Both were Pope's bitter enemies.

Curll : Edmund Curll was a disreputable publisher guilty of publishing seditious and obscene literature. He was also accused of pirating Pope's works. He has been satirized in *The Dunciad*.

"He'll write a journal, or he'll turn Divine " : He will become a hackwriter in politics or religion. It may also allude to slanderous attacks on Pope in *The London Journal* and to Welsted's theological treatises.

L.61 Lintot : Bernard Lintot; published many of Pope's works.

L.65 go snacks : To share the profits.

L.69 Midas' ears : In ancient mythology, Midas, the king of Phrygia, was given ass's ears by Apollo after he awarded Pan the prize in a music competition between Apollo and Pan, thereby incurring the wrath of the former. In shame, Midas hid the ears under a headress. However, the secret was revealed by his minister, then by his barber and his queen who bursting with the secret, whispered it into a hole in the ground. Here Pope alluded to King George II, Queen Caroline and Robert Walpole.

L.74 coxcomb : A vain and conceited person.

L.74 Perksthem: flaunts them impudently.

L.79 Dunciad : A mock-heroic-poem by Pope satirising 'dulness' in general, but particularly an attack on Lewis Theobald who had criticised Pope's edition of Shakespeare in *Shakespeare Restored* (1726)

L.85 Codrus : A conventional name for a bad poet mocked by Virgil and Juvenal. L.87 Pit, box, and gallery : Different parts of a theatre.

Colley : Colley Cibber, playwright and poet laureate. Pope savagely attacks him in the final version of *The Dunciad*.

Free-masons Moore : James Moore Smythe was a member of the society of free Masons.

L.98 Bavius : A bad Roman Poet who attacked Horace and Virgil.

Bishop Philips : Ambrose Philips a pastoral poet, became secretary to the Bishop of Armagh. Pope and Ambrose Philips quarrelled over the relative merits of pastoral poetry. He was called "Namby-Pamby" by Pope, giving rise to the term.

Sappho : A seventh-century B.C. Poet from Lesbos in Greece. Here Sappho is the name of Lady Mary Wortley Montagu, once a friend of Pope, later a bitter enemy.

L.103 Twice as tall : A reference to Pope's short figure. He was only 4'6" tall. L. 111 Grubstreet : The traditional haunt for hack writers.

L.113 My Letters: Edmund Curll brought out a pirated edition of Pope's letters. L.117 Ammon's great son : Alexander the great.

L.118 Ovid's nose : Ovid's name was Publius Ovidius Naso; "nose" is derived from Latin *naso*.

L.122 Maro : Publius Vergilius Maro, familiarly known as Virgil. He wrote *The Aeneid*.

L.124 Homer : The Greek blind poet, author of the two great epics. *The Iliad* and *The Odyssey*.

L.128 Lisp'd in numbers : Pope claims that he has been speaking poetry from childhood.

L.132 This long disease : A reference to Pope's lifelong suffering from deformity caused by tuberculosis of the spine.

Granville : George Granville, Lord Lansdowne, a friend of Pope. He dedicated his *Windsor Forest* to Granville.

Walsh: William Walsh, an early friend of Pope.

Garth: Sir Samuel Garth, a poet and physician. He was the author of *The Dispensary*, the earliest specimen of mock-heroic poetry.

Congreve : William Congreve, chief exponent of the Restoration comedy of Manners.

Talbot : Charles Talbot, Duke of Shrewsbury.

L.139 Somers : John, Baron, Somers, Whig statesman and Lord Treasurer. He inspired Pope to write his pastorals.

Sheffield : John Sheffield, poet and politician. He was the Earl of Mulgrave.

Rochester : Francis Atterbury (1662-1732), the Bishop of Rochester and Dean of Westminster. He was a Jacobite and sent to exile in 1723.

St. John : Henry St. John, Viscount Bolingbroke, an important Tory statesman. As a friend, he was very close to Pope.

L.146 Burnets : Pope refers to them as "Authors of secret and scandalous History." All of them attacked Pope. Sir Thomas Burnet was a follower of Addison; he criticised Pope's on his translation of Homeric epics. John Oldmixon was a Whig politician and historian. He was accused of pirating Pope's poems in *Court Poems*. Thomas Cooke was a poet, translator and pamphleteer. He offended Pope and when he apologized, Pope refused to oblige.

L.149 Fanny: Lord Hervey, ridiculed as Sporus in the poem.

L.151 Gildon: Charles Gildon a poet and critic who attacked Pope's use of supernatural machinery in *The Rape of the Lock*.

- L.153 Dennis : John Dennis, a Whig critic and dramatist. He felt humiliated by Pope's comment in his *An Essay on Criticism*. Dennis' response created a bitter feud between the two.
- L.164 From slashing Bentley down to piddling Tibalds : Richard Bentley was a classical scholar and one of Pope's enemies. 'Tibald's' refers to Lewis Theobald, an editor and critic, became the object of Pope's savage attack in *The Dunciad* for his criticism of Pope's edition of Shakespeare.
- L.177 Casting-weight : Counterbalance.
The Bard : Ambrose Philips
Persian tale : Ambrose Philips, as Pope mentioned in his note, translated a book of Persian Tales for which he was given half-a-crown for each section; it also means the fee of a prostitute.
- L.187 Fustian: a high-flown, inflated language.
- L.190 Tate : Nahum Tate (1651-14715), a poet, dramatist and poet laureate. He produced *King Lear* with a happy ending. He also translated psalms. Pope has satirised him in *The Dunciad*.
- L.192 Addison : Joseph Addison, co-author of *The Spectator*, one of Pope's former friends, turned into an enemy for differences in literary and political issues. He has been satirised in the 'Atticus' passage of the poem.
- L.198 Turk : Addison accused Pope of literary jealousy and compared him to an Eastern monarch who could not stand rivalry. Here Pope responds to Addison's comparison by likening him to a Turk.
- L.209 Cato : The Roman Senator. Addison wrote a tragedy called *Cato* to which Pope, still a friend of Addison, contributed the verse prologue. Addison presided over a company of admirers at Button's coffee-house.
- L.211 Templars : Students of law at the Inner or Middle Temple.
- L.215-216 Rubric and claps : Booksellers advertised the title pages of books by pasting them like posters, known as 'claps', 'Rubric' means 'in red', a colour very often used on title pages.
- L. 222 Great George, a birth day song : George II, King of England. 'Birth day song' refers to the practice of writing poems in honour of the king's birthday. Pope here suggests that George II was contemptuous of such effusive odes.
- L. 230 Bufo : In Latin the word means a toad, here caricature of a literary patron. 'Bufo' is, modelled partly on Charles Montagu, Earl of Halifax and George Bubb Doddington.
- L. 231 Forked hill : The twin peaks of Parnassus.
- L. 236 Pindar stood without a head : Pindar was a distinguished Greek poet of 5th century B.C., notable for his odes. Pope here mocks at the fashion of collecting headless antiquaries.

L. 245 He help'd to bury whom he help'd to starve : The meaning is that the patron hardly did anything for Dryden as long as he lived. But after his death, Earl of Halifax proposed to build monument in his honour.

L. 246 Bavius : name for a bad poet.

L. 256-260 Gay : John Gay, a close friend of Pope, the author of *The Beggar's Opera*. He was a co-founder of Scriblerus Club. His patron was the Duke of Queensberry who paid for the construction of his monument in Westminster Abbey. Pope wrote the epitaph.

L. 276 Balbus : The name of a Roman lawyer. Here it refers to George Hay, 7th Earl of Kinnoull, a former friend of Pope.

L. 280 Sir Will or Bubo : 'Sir Will' is Sir William Yonge, a Whig politician, supporter of Robert Walpole, hated for his corrupt practices. 'Bubo' refers to George Bubb Doddington, notorious for his bad taste. In Latin 'Bubo' means 'owl' with a suggestion of 'booby' meaning a stupid, silly person. Both these men were Pope's political enemies.

L. 299-300 Cannons : A reference to estate of Duke of Chandos. In his 'Epistle to Burlington' Pope has satirized 'Timon's villa for his ostentatious looks and lack of taste. 'Dean and silver bell' refer to the chapel in the Timon's villa. Pope's detractors identified this estate with Cannons, the estate of the Duke of Chandos, one of Pope's well-wishers. Pope denied the charge.

Sporus : The name of a Roman eunuch, a victim of Emperor Nero's lust. Here 'Sporus' refers to Lord Hervey, a political adversary of Pope, noted for his effeminate features. He was known to be a bisexual and to have a passionate relationship with Stephen Fox, the young Lord Ilchester. He was a confidant of Queen Caroline.

Ass's milk : It was used as a prescribed tonic for the frail and the delicate. Lord Hervey often drank it.

L. 319 At the ear of Eve : In *Paradise Lost*, BK. IV Satan is described as squatting "like a toad, close at the ear of Eve". Here Eve stands for Queen Caroline.

Rabbins : Rabbis; Jewish priests.

Cherub's face : A reference to Hervey's feminine appearance and to the portrayal of the serpent with a beautiful human face.

L. 335 Lucre: money.

L. 353 Pictur'd shape : A reference to the cartoons drawn on Pope's deformed body, showing him as a hunchbacked ape with a human face.

Japhet : Japhet Crook, a notorious forger. His ears were cut off as a punishment for his crime.

Hireling : One who serves for money.

- Knight of the post : A person who is paid to give false evidence in court. L.369 Bit : duped or deceived.
- L.371 Friend to his distress : Pope contributed to a benefit performance held in 1733 to aid John Dennis.
- L.375 Welsted's lie : Leonard Welsted, a poet and translator. Welsted had hinted that Pope's poetry had caused the death of a lady and that he had libelled the Duke of Chandos.
- L.378 Budgel : Eustace Budgell was a cousin of Joseph Addison and a minor writer. Budgell was accused of forging a will in his favour. He held Pope responsible for this revelation in Grub Street Journal.
- L.380 Two Curlls : Edmund Curll, the publisher and Lord Hervey, the second Curll. Both of them were Pope's long-standing enemies.
- L.391 Bestia : Full name-Lucius Calpurnius Bestia, a corrupt Roman consul who took bribes to arrange a dishonourable peace treaty. Here Pope is perhaps referring to the Duke of Marlborough.
- L.397 Nor dar'd an oath, nor hazarded a lie : Pope alludes to the restrictions imposed on the catholics during the 18th century. Pope was born of Roman catholic parents and it was a compulsion for the catholic parents and it was a compulsion for the catholics to take oaths before entering public life or profession. In order to enjoy the civil rights, many catholics took oaths and lied. Pope and his father never evaded the restrictions because of their honesty.
- L.410 Mother's breath: Edith Pope, the poet's mother, died eighteen months before the *Epistle* was published.
- L. 415-417 My friend... Queen : Dr. John Arbuthnot, Pope's friend and associate, to whom the poem was dedicated. 'Queen' refers to Queen Anne whom he served as long as she lived as a court physician. After her death, however, Arbuthnot lost his position as he was a Tory. Nevertheless, his income from the court of king George who succeeded Queen Anne did not stop.

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Basil Willey, – **The Eighteenth Century Background**, Chatto & Windus.
2. Bonamy Dobree, **Alexander Pope**, Greenwood Press, New York.
3. Wain, John (ed.) – **Lives of the Poets**. Routledge & Kegan Paul.
4. Ian Jack, **Augustan Satire: Intention and Idiom in English Poetry 1660-1750**, Oxford University Press.

5. Peter Dixon, **The World of Pope's Satires** : An Introduction to the Epistles and Imitations of Horace, Methuen.
6. I.R.F. Gordon, **A Preface to Pope**, Longman.
7. Judith O'Neill(ed.), **Critics on Pope**.
8. Eighteenth Century Poetry. :An Annotated Anthology, ed. David Fairer and Christine Gerrard, Blackwell Annotated Anthologies.
9. **An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot**, ed. & annotated by Jack Lynch.
10. The Norton Anthology of Poetry, W.W. Norton Company. New York & London.

ASSIGNMENTS

11. Consider **An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot** as Pope's **apologia**.
12. Analyse **An Epistle** as an autobiographical poem.
13. Comment on the portrait of Atticus. Do you think that Pope is absolutely fair in his portrayal of Atticus?
14. Critically evaluate the *sporus* passage.
15. Assess **An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot** as a Horatian satire.
16. How far can **An Epistle to Dr. Arbuthnot** be read as a critique of contemporary literary scene.

BLOCK-II

Oliver Goldsmith: *The Deserted Village*

CONTENT STRUCTURE

Unit 7(a): Oliver Goldsmith's Life and Works

Unit 7(b): Historical Background of *The Deserted Village*

Unit 7(c): *The Deserted Village* : Background of the Poem

Unit 7(d): Dedication to Sir Joshua Reynolds

Unit 8(a): Theme of the Poem

Unit 8(b): The Pastoral

Unit 8(c): Pastoral Features in *The Deserted Village*

Unit 8(d): Critical Analysis of the Poem

Unit 8(e): Criticism

Suggested Reading

Assignments

OBJECTIVES

The objective of this block is to assess the contribution of Oliver Goldsmith in the temporal span of the eighteenth century. Besides, this block is focussing on the historical background of the eighteenth century against the backdrop of which Goldsmith's text *The Deserted Village* is based on. Besides this block concentrates on the minute discussion of the text *The Deserted Village*. The text has been analyzed critically in order to make the discussion of the text student friendly.

Unit 7(a): LIFE AND WORKS

Oliver Goldsmith was born on 10 November 1728, either at Pallas, County Longford, or Elphin, Roscommon, in Ireland, in a family of clergyman-farmers. His father, the Reverend Charles Goldsmith, was a clergyman of the Established Church. He had five sons and three daughters, of whom Oliver was the fifth child. In 1730, the family moved to a hamlet named Lissoy, in the county of Westmeath, where Oliver spent much of his childhood.

Goldsmith's education was varied. His first teacher was a relative called Elizabeth Dewlap, who was followed by the village schoolmaster, Thomas Byrne, who had earlier been a soldier and fought

against Spain. He was then admitted to school at Elphin, then to Althone and finally to

School life for Goldsmith was uncongenial, as his face was deeply scarred from an attack of small pox. When he joined Trinity College, Dublin, he was obliged to perform menial tasks in order to avail of his expensive education. In February, 1749, he received the B.A. degree. His desperate endeavour to obtain a profession was varied and chequered. His first poem was "The Traveller". Other works include "An Enquiry into the Present State of Learning in Europe", periodical essays like "The Bee", "The Citizen of the World".

Edgworthstown. But school life for him was uncongenial, as his face was deeply scarred from an attack of small-pox at the age of eight, which made him enormously self-conscious, and gave rise to the misconception that he was stupid, so that his companions at school harassed him unbearably.

At the age of seventeen, he joined Trinity College, Dublin, as a sizar or pocholar, where again he was made conspicuous by the special dress he was obliged to wear as well as the menial tasks he had to perform in order to avail of his expensive education. His tutor, Dr. Theaker Wilder, protracted his humiliation and distress. When, in May 1747, his father died, leaving a pittance, his circumstances became further straitened.

In February 1749, he received the B. A. degree and left the university.

Goldsmith now tried desperately to obtain a profession, but was repeatedly unsuccessful. His various attempts at a career in the church, the medical profession and the law, or his idea of emigrating to America, did not materialize. On one occasion he gambled away his money, on another, he rambled through Germany, Switzerland and Italy, playing on the flute to substantiate his income. Each time the funds provided by his considerate uncle Contarine saw him through, During this time, he sent his brother in Ireland a rough draft of *The Traveller*, the first poem he would write.

Among his other temporary pursuits, he now began a career as reader and corrector of the press to the famous novelist, Samuel Richardson, and also served as an usher at Peckham's Academy. Here he came under the observation of a bookseller, Griffiths, the proprietor of the *Monthly Review*, who invited him to try his hand at criticism. Even this, however, lasted a mere five months.

It was probably in 1759 that Goldsmith wrote his first important work, *An Enquiry into the Present State of Learning in Europe*, which increased his reputation, though not his financial circumstances. He was still a Grub Street hack, eking out a meagre living and staying at lodgings at 12 Green Arbor Court, Old Bailey. At this time he wrote his periodical essays, entitled *The Bee*, and was commissioned by Smollett to contribute to his new serial *The British Magazine* as well as by John Newberry for *The Public Ledger*, where he wrote the essays that constitute *The Citizen of the World*. This helped to relieve his economic problems to a great extent, and enabled him to shift his accommodation to Fleet Street, where Dr. Johnson visited him and became one of his closest friends.

Goldsmith now engaged himself in writing further works, as widely ranging as history, biography, novel, poetry, essays and reviews and even natural history, which kept him occupied throughout his

life. *The History of Mecklenburg*, Plutarch's *Lives* (abridged), *The Vicar of Wakefield*, *History of England*, *History of the Earth & Animated Nature*, biographies of Parnell and Bolingbroke, *The Good-Natured Man*, *The Traveller*-all these works, and others, followed in quick succession. His finances constantly vacillated, as he was inclined to spend the large sums of money he received for his writing in investing in newer and more lavishly decorated residences. But despite the financial problems constantly besetting him, which he warded off by further writing, he enjoyed life, partied and junketed at the clubs he now frequented, and also attended literary gatherings.

The writing of periodical essays in different magazines relieved Goldsmith's economic problems to a great extent. He then engaged himself in writing further works ranging as history, biography, novel, poetry, essays, reviews and even natural history

— *“The History of Mecklenburg”, Plutarch's “Lives”, “The Vicar of Wakefield”, “The Good-Natured Man” and so on.*

In 1768, the death of his brother Henry reawakened in him nostalgic memories of his childhood, and inspired the poignant reminiscence of *The Deserted Village*. He dedicated it to his friend Sir Joshua Reynolds, who was the President of the Royal Academy. It was published on 26 May 1770 and proved an unqualified success.

In 1772 Goldsmith wrote his last work, *She Stoops to Conquer*, or *The Mistakes of a Night* which time has proved to have been one of the most hilarious and popular plays ever staged.

In March 1774, the constant toil and stress of his work-laden life took its toll upon him, and brought on a nervous fever, of which he died on 4 April. He was only 46.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Name some of Goldsmith's periodical essays.
2. Name some of Goldsmith's works ranging as history, biography, novel, poetry and essays.

Unit 7(b): HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Oliver Goldsmith lived at a time when Augustan poetry had almost exhausted its possibilities, and Romanticism was yet to be born. He, together with other such poets such as Gray, Collins, Thomson, Smart and Cowper have been termed the Pre-Romantic poets, because their poetry, though influenced by the prevailing neo-classical spirit, bears distinctive marks of breaking away from the same and exploring other themes, using language more imaginatively, manifesting a sense of wonder at the creative and prophetic powers of the poet, and introducing a love of nature and religious fervour and a humanitarian consciousness that existed much before the French Revolution-features that were to be the hallmarks of Romantic poetry.

Augustan features can yet be traced in Goldsmith's poetry, in the epic similes, character-sketches, the use of the pastoral form, which is a classical convention, as well as the use of rhymed couplets, but Romantic traits are also subtly prefigured.

In *The Deserted Village*, Goldsmith speaks out against the injustice meted out to the peasants, as Gray retaliates against the tyrannical monarch in *The Bard*, Crabbe against the despotic methods of those in power, and Blake in *Songs of Innocence & Experience* distinguishes between these binary oppositions. Goldsmith's intrusion into the poem at the end to mourn the departure of Poetry equates poetry with liberty. The poem also prefigures the type of poetry, like Wordsworth's that is rooted in rural values which a commercial society renders vulnerable.

In the prefatory dedication, Goldsmith refers to "the poet's imagination," a concept that was to become the dominating concern of Romantic Poetry.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the historical background of Goldsmith's poetry.

Unit 7(c): THE DESERTED VILLAGE

BACKGROUND OF THE POEM

The poem articulates a serious concern with the after-effects of the Industrial Revolution, and, in particular, with the Enclosure Acts, which had been implemented in order to "enclose" or take away arable land from the hands of small proprietors and sanction the formation of extensive private parks or vast farmlands. This occasioned the displacement or evacuation of large numbers of yeoman-farmers or cottiers, who had been employed on this common land for generations. Their only alternative was to seek employment in the city or else emigrate to the distant, unknown territory of America.

The village of Lissoy, where Goldsmith had spent the happy years of his childhood, had been purchased by General Napper (or Napier or Naper), thus compelling the families which had so long dwelt there to seek rehabilitation elsewhere.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What do you know about the background of poem "The Deserted Village"?

Unit 7(d): DEDICATION TO SIR JOSHUA REYNOLDS

"I know you will object (and indeed several of our best and wisest friends concur in the opinion) that the depopulation it deplors is nowhere to be seen, and the disorders it laments are only to be

found in the poet's imagination. To this I can scarcely make any other answer than I sincerely believe what I have written; that I have taken all possible pains, in my country excursions, for these four or five years past, to be certain of what I allege, and that all my views and enquiries have led me to believe those miseries real, which I have attempted to display”.

Unit 8(a): THEME OF THE POEM

The Deserted Village depicts the picturesque and idealized life that existed in Auburn (the name by which Goldsmith refers to Lissoy) in the years preceding the Enclosure Acts, and compares it with the barren and unkempt condition of the village when the poet revisits it, as well as the imagined tragic and exacting conditions in which the villagers exist at present. The pastoral, idyllic beauty of the village is encapsulated in the first 34 lines, before it is brought to an abrupt halt. Thereafter, the poem vacillates between both extremes, expatiating on the charms of Auburn—its natural beauty and prosperity, the simplicity and artlessness of its inhabitants, with their innocent diversions and enduring human values, and singles out two characters—the schoolmaster and priest—for detailed illustration. The poet deplors the change and inveighs against the *degenerate times* with its attendant pursuit of luxury and commercial enterprise. He gives a graphic portrayal of the fears and constraints that now overwhelm the displaced villagers, and apostrophizes Poetry, which, like the rural virtues symbolized by the erstwhile populace, is leaving, as it is unable to withstand the indifference towards it that the remaining population manifests.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What is the keynote of the poem ?

Unit 8(b): THE PASTORAL

The pastoral (derived from the Latin word *pastoralis* meaning “concerning shepherds”) is a genre that was introduced in the 3rd century B.C. by Theocritus (316-260 B.C.), a native of Syracuse in Sicily, in his *Idyls* (in Greek, a little figure or picture), poems which described incidents in the lives of shepherds and shepherdesses, such as rural activities and contests, and their loves and sorrows. His poem on the death of a shepherd called Daphnis provided the prototype for a variation of the pastoral, called the pastoral elegy. He was succeeded, after his death, by Bion (c. 100 B.C.) and Moschus (c. 150 B.C.) and subsequently, by the Roman poet Virgil (70-19 B.C.) who used these features in his *Eclogues* (a collection of pastoral poems) as an artificial convention to evoke a prelapsarian golden age. In the late Middle Ages, the impact of Christianity laid its impress on the genre, with its equation of pastor with shepherd, and the concept of Christ as the shepherd looking after his flock, as exemplified in the 23rd psalm, *The Lord is My Shepherd, I shall not want*.

The Italian renaissance, with its renewed interest in the classics, evinced a flowering of the pastoral, diversifying its range and scope and producing hybrid genres such as the prose pastoral, as for instance, in Boccaccio's *Ameto*, which inspired Sannazaro, the creator of the modern pastoral, to write *Arcadia*, a pastoral romance. There was also pastoral drama such as Tasso's *Aminta* (1581) and Guarini's *II Pastor Fido* (1585). The pastoral traits were extended to include satire and personal comment, as in Petrarch's *Eclogues* and Mantuan's Latin pastorals.

In England, the chief exponents of the pastoral were Sidney, (*Arcadia*), Spenser (*The Shepherd's Calendar*) and Milton, who blended this genre with the ode (*L'allegro & Il Penseroso*), the drama (*Comus*), and the elegy (*Lycidas*). In the 18th century, it was popular as a beginner's feat in classical imitation, as in Pope's *Pastorals* or as burlesque "town-eclogues" as in Gay and Swift. By the 19th century, it was no longer a traditional form of poetry, and its range had reduced to include a handful of poems, such as Wordsworth's *Tintern Abbey* and *Michael*, Thomson's *The Seasons*, Shelley's *Adonais* and Arnold's *Thyrsis*. The 20th century witnessed a further decline, but there were some notable poems by Pound, Auden, MacNiece and in particular, R.S. Thomas.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What does "pastoral" mean? Write a note on the evolution of pastoral poetry?

Unit 8(c): PASTORAL FEATURES IN THE DESERTED VILLAGE

Oliver Goldsmith's poem *The Deserted Village* has distinct pastoral features, in that it deals with the idyllic life of the countryside, with its attendant joys and sorrows, its innocent and tranquil existence and its agricultural prosperity.

The opening lines of the poem abound in myriad picturesque description. *Sweet Auburn* is the *loveliest village of the plain*, and consequently *smiling Spring* is reluctant to depart from it. Every charm is etched on the poet's memory:

*The sheltered nook, the cultivated farm. The
never-failing brook, the busy busy mill,
The decent church that topped the neighbouring
hill, The hawthorn bush.*

The innocence and ease that characterizes the simple villagers contributes to their life of *humble happiness*, where pleasures are shared; the elderly watch while the young participate in sports on holidays; on workdays, after their tasks are over, the men seek entertainment and companionship over glasses of ale at the inn. Romance blooms covertly despite the strict chaperones keeping

watch; couples dance beneath the *spreading tree* that encompasses them, and happy, spontaneous laughter reverberates all over the place.

Two characters subtly dominate the scene. It is the village schoolmaster who guides the mischievous boys in the academic path, impressing them with his towering knowledge and skills, whose stern exterior conceals a kind and concerned heart. But it is the village preacher whom they find more endearing and approachable, as persons ranging from vagrant beggars to disbanded soldiers, irreverent fools to dying men, are uniformly consoled by his words. He is their spiritual guide, reminiscent of Chaucer's Parson, who "watched and wept, he prayed and felt, for all."

"The Deserted Village", though not a conventional pastoral, is a pastoral in its setting and character and concerns the trials and tribulations of rustic life. It blends romance with reality, idealization with blatant truth.

But *The Deserted Village* is a pastoral with a difference. It does not merely enumerate the pleasures of country life, it simultaneously recounts the tragedy of the villagers' later existence, and paints the desolation of Auburn in subsequent years. The tyrant's hand has effaced all the beauty and charm of Auburn, its people and sports, leaving the entire stretch desolate and barren.

The book, once never-failing and glassy, is now choked with sedge; instead of the carolling of the birds of spring, the bittern's ominous notes echo across the untenanted plain; the *footway* is *grass-grown* and difficult to traverse; the preacher's cottage is no longer identified as a place where the *garden smiled* but by the encompassing torn shrubs. There are *no cheerful murmurs* and *no busy steps* any longer; everything is bleak and sepulchral.

Those who have left for the city, hoping for a betterment of their position, have been faced with the glaring truth of the degradation which threatens to engulf them. They are soon reduced to penury, and starve at the gates of rich men who take advantage of their innocent and trustful natures. The plight of one such girl is described, a sweet and modest girl, who has been betrayed, and *with heavy heart deploras that luckless hour*. But those who have been exiled to *new-found worlds* have not fared any better. They have had to face *torrid tracts* and *blazing suns* and accost the *vengeful snake* and *crouching tiger* and numerous other unexpected hazards.

The Deserted Village is also not a conventional pastoral in the sense that it lacks some of the characteristics present, for instance, in Milton's *Lycidas* or Shelley's *Adonais*. The poet does not invoke the Muses at the beginning of the poem for inspiration. Nor are there nymphs or their equivalent, who are asked to account for their negligence. Nature does not mourn for the people concerned, nor is there a procession of mourners. Finally and most significantly, there is no abrupt change of tone towards the end of the poem, no transition from despair to hope.

And yet *The Deserted Village* is a pastoral in its setting and character, and concerns the trials and tribulations of rustic life. It blends romance with reality, idealization with blatant truth. The poet

is the solitary mourner, apart from the *wretched matron* who has no other recourse except to eke her solitary existence.

Goldsmith also introduces a characteristic of the later pastoral, namely, social criticism and personal comment. The poem is replete with such instances. The most incisive criticism is brought out through the contrast between Auburn and the city, which is echoed in the binary opposition between natural/artificial. *Simplicity, innocence, charm, happiness, and joy* are pitted against *luxury, profusion, pomp, splendour, prosperity* against *plenty, nature* against *nurture*.

In the manner of Wordsworth's *Michael*, which it anticipates, Goldsmith's poem does not mourn a death per se, but the destruction of values.

The didactic nature of the later pastoral is also evident in the apostrophe to Poetry, which is personified as a *Dear, charming nymph*, who is *neglected and decried* by the insensitive, mercenary, city-dwellers, and hence is faced with no other option but to exile herself from this uncongenial environment.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider *The Deserted Village* as a pastoral poem.

Unit 8(d): CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE POEM

The poem begins with an apostrophe to Auburn, the *loveliest village* the speaker has seen. He then proceeds to expatiate on her charms. Physical well-being and mental content, (*health and plenty*) keep the villagers cheerful and even Spring and Summer

The poem begins with an apostrophe to Auburn, the 'Loveliest village'. But the aftermath of the departure of villagers is portrayed with a suddenness that parallels the abruptness of the villagers' evaluation. His hope to return to the paradisiacal spot after all the tired and anguished experiences of his life is tinged with nostalgia.

show a definite predilection towards her, in the protraction of their stay. The speaker, whose youth has been spent here, points out each sheltered nook (*bowers of innocence and ease*) and each landmark (*the cultivated farm, the busy mill, the decent church, the hawthorn bush*) which contributes to her charm. On Sundays the entire populace congregates around the *spreading tree*, the young to participate and the aged to leisurely observe their pursuits from the vantage point of their seats.

Innocent activities, such as competitions and dances prevail, and young lovers take advantage of the occasion to pursue their amorous dalliance. To them, even their daily labour is not a drudgery but a pleasant occupation.

With a suddenness that parallels the abruptness of the villagers' evacuation, the aftermath of their departure is portrayed. The *tyrant* has rendered the entire plain desolate. The speaker's grief compels him to insert a few personal observations here. *Princes and lords* and their successes are

but transitory, but such a *bold peasantry*, once evicted, can never be replaced. However, the growth of tradesmen has altered human perceptions on what the prosperity of a country entails. Opulent merchants, with their *unwieldy wealth* and *cumbrous pomp* have usurped the land from the *hapless wain*, not recognizing in their folly and ignorance, the enormity of the wrong they have done.

As the speaker glances around the altered sights of Auburn, the *glades forlorn*, the *tangling walks* and *ruined grounds*, he recalls with nostalgia how he had hoped to return to this paradisiacal spot after all the tired and anguished experiences of his life. He had not only cherished it as a place of rest and solace, but also desired to regale the simple villagers with his experiences and impress them with his erudition or *book-learned* skill. In a Homeric simile, he compares himself to a hare, pursued by hounds, which eventually returns to the place from where it began its flight. The speaker had similarly wished to return home after his wanderings and die here. He believes that this would have given him a foretaste of heaven.

In the lonely, barren surroundings through which he now traverses, the speaker is reminded of the myriad sounds he had earlier heard here as evening drew to a close. The mingled harmony of the maid's song, the lowing herd, the gaggle of the geese, the children whooping with joy as school gave over, the baying of the watchdog, and the full-throated laughter of the happy villagers was wafted out to him in the intermittent pauses between the melodious notes of the nightingale.

The speaker now gives detailed portrayals of two of the most remarkable characters in Auburn, in the manner of the classical character-portrayals of Theophrastus, which he has done in earlier works (e.g. *The Man in Black* and *Beau Tibbs* in *The Citizen of the World*). The first is that of the village preacher, whose character was either derived from his father, the Reverend Charles Goldsmith, his brother Henry or his uncle Contarine, or a fusion of these characters. A true preacher and an *honest rustic*, in the manner of Chaucer's Parson, he was loved and held in great esteem by his congregation, as he prioritized preaching and giving the villagers spiritual sustenance, and was indifferent equally to ambition as to monetary concerns.

Those who gathered at his house included vagrants, beggars, *ruined spendthrifts* and battered soldiers, whom he would both chide and relieve of their problems, often talking late into the night, commiserating with their sorrow to such an extent that he would often forget their faults. His concern extended to all his flock, to whom he would minister comfort and ease, particularly to those on their deathbed, whose *despair and anguish* he would do his best to alleviate.

At church, his sermons proved so sincere and affecting that even unbelievers were moved to pray, and after the service, the villagers would gather around him, their children plucking at his robe,

Goldsmith gives detailed portrayals of the village preacher and the village schoolmaster in the manner of the classical character portrayals of Theophrastus.

to show their affection and esteem. He was always ready with a smile, as he held their cares and griefs very close to his heart, although his serious thoughts were turned to heaven. In a Homeric simile, Goldsmith compares him to a *tall cliff* which is surrounded by storm-clouds at the centre, whereas its peak is open to the sunshine. These clouds embody his worldly worries, whereas the *eternal sunshine* signifies his sublime absorption with the divine.

The other character in the village whom Goldsmith singles out for attention is the schoolmaster, whose original is undoubtedly Thomas Byrne, who had taught him in his childhood. He had been the quartermaster in Queen Anne's wars at Spain, and often regaled his student with these adventures. Here he is portrayed as a man of stern appearance and strict behaviour, though this severity is attributed to his excessive love for learning. The students have learnt to fathom his mood from a scrutiny of his face, and affect a pleasure at his jokes which they do not feel, in order to keep him in a good mood, as his frowns forebode ill for them.

The village schoolmaster was an extremely erudite man, and impressed the rustics with the vast extent of his learning. Not only could he write and cipher, he could also measure lands and presage the times when rents were due and even calculate the fluid content of vessels. But what awed them most was his ability to argue, using *words of learned length and thundering sound* and continuing even after he had been overcome. It was incredible to them that *one small head could carry all he knew*.

The speaker now passes a hawthorn tree, on whose branches hung a signpost, in happier times, bearing the name and picture of the village alehouse. Here *greybeard Mirth* once associated with

He gives graphic and romantic description of the Lawthorn tree, the village alehouse and so on. He justifies his preference for the simple pleasures and "spontaneous joys" over "all the gloss of art".

smiling Toil and discussed village matters in serious tones. The speaker fondly recalls the interior of the alehouse, with its *sanded floor* and *whitewashed walls*, the *varnished clock* and chest of drawers, the pictures of the twelve good rules and the broken teacups placed over the chimney. He mourns, with the knell-like anaphoric iteration *No more*, the passing away of their simple pleasures, such as the farmer communicating the news of his harvest, the woodman singing a ballad, the smith listening to them in silence and the innkeeper busy keeping the ale in circulation, after it had first been kissed by the barmaid, as was the custom.

The speaker now justifies his preference for these simple pleasures and *spontaneous joys* over *all the gloss of art*. The villagers' *simple blessings* are prompted by nature and innocent of evil, but the pleasures stimulated by art are showy and affected, like the *long pomp* and *midnight masquerades*, which are a blatant display of *wanton wealth*, and instead of making a person content, aggravates his desires further. Indeed, the speaker questions whether this emotion can truly be described as *joy*.

Agitated by the trend of his thoughts, the speaker now addresses the politicians, asking them to differentiate between a *splendid* and a *happy land*. He repeats an idea he has expressed in *The Citizen of the World*, that “*Too much commerce may injure a nation as well as too little.. and there is a wide difference between a conquering and a flourishing empire.*” He feels that prosperity should not be exalted at the expense of the future of the poor peasants; one man should not enjoy a vast estate alone if it deprives so many of their daily wages.

At this point the speaker indulges in another impassioned epic simile to drive home his point. The land, arrayed in *nature’s simplest charms*, is compared to a young girl, who possesses a youth and natural attractiveness that is becoming. When older, she loses this beauty, and resorts to artificial aids to enhance her appeal. Similarly, the land, which does not need any artificial embellishment, appears garish when palaces and lofty towers are built upon it, and its pristine beauty is spoilt. Therefore, considering the prosperity of the country without reflecting upon the well-being of its peasantry is tantamount to making it *a garden, and a grave*.

The speaker next ponders on the alternatives present to the villagers. Some opt for the city, but here they encounter a luxury from which they are exempted. The people who live here pamper themselves with profusion at the expense of these honest labourers. The contrast is glaring; the courtier *glitters in brocade* while the *pale artist plies his sickly trade*; and the ostentatious *long-drawn poms* of the wealthy are set against the formidable but familiar sight of the gibbet, because for the poor, the penalties are so stringent that many offences such as forgery, horse-theft and shop-lifting are punishable by death, and hence the gibbet is a familiar sight of the 18th century scene.

The land, arrayed in nature’s simplest charms, is compared to a young girl, who possesses a natural attractiveness that is becoming, and when older, she loses this beauty, and resorts to artificial aids to enhance her appeal. Then the speaker reverts to the day of their departure.

As he muses on the *richly decked* city dwellers in their chariots in the *blazing square*, the speaker comments, with scathing irony, *Sure, these denote one universal joy!*

The plight of one such maiden is then highlighted: a sweet, modest village belle who has aspired for a better life in the city, and since duplicity is foreign to her, has not hesitated to put her trust in a city-dweller. He however, has exploited this implicit trust and betrayed her, compelling her to a life of starvation or beggary, or even prostitution. If these villagers have been reduced to penury or worse, those who have chosen to emigrate have not fared much better.

The speaker imagines them venturing through *torrid tracts* under *blazing suns* with hesitant steps, as this is so different from the life to which they are accustomed. They pass through wild forests and *matted woods* which are frequented by snakes and tigers and also murderous savages; they experience tornados which turn the whole area into a *ravaged landscape*.

The speaker now reverts to the day of their departure. The *poor exiles* cast lingering looks at their cottages, hoping to resume their lives in a similar environment in the west, but loth to face the unknown country, they dissolve into tears. The *goodold sire* eventually summons courage for the sake of his family, and is the first to leave. His lovely daughter accompanies him, leaving her lover to his destiny. Her mother is the last to depart, crying and kissing her babies as she too bids goodbye to this beloved home for ever.

At this point the speaker intrudes again, apostrophizing luxury, and cursing it as it contravenes the age-old doctrine of plain living and high thinking. Kingdoms which thrive on luxury expand to a *sickly greatness* and resemble a *bloated mass of rank unwieldy woe*. Their strength is finally sapped and destruction follows. As the speaker stands amidst the ruins of Auburn, he can envisage *the rural virtues leave the land*. He pictures the *melancholy band* of villagers boarding the ship that will transport them away from their homeland forever, and with them all the virtues they represent, *contented toil, hospitable care, kind, connubial tenderness*, and above all, the sterling qualities of piety, loyalty and love. With them departs Poetry, as she is not cherished in this land any more, and leaves in search of a more hospitable environment. Being a poet, the speaker pays tribute to her as she encourages the *nobler arts*. She is the *source of all my bliss and all my woe*, since society is generally indifferent to poetry and does not requite the poet properly for his efforts, so the pleasure of composing poetry is its sole recompense. He bids Poetry farewell and reminds her that she also has a didactic purpose, not only an aesthetic one. Wherever she goes, to *Torno's cliffs* in Sweden or to the other hemisphere, to *Pambamarca's side* in Quito, the capital of Ecuador, her voice should speak out against the wrongs that are perpetrated and *teach erring man* to prioritize human values and not wealth. The poem ends with another simile which seeks to emphasize that an empire which has been built through commercial enterprise can one day be destroyed, just as the ocean can sweep away a breakwater which has been constructed with great labour. But those who depend on their own talent and ability can withstand the scourge of time, just as the rocks on the seashore are powerful enough to resist the constant pounding of the waves.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Attempt a critical analysis of the poem "The Deserted Village".

Unit 8(e): CRITICISM

Lord Macaulay; "It is made up of incongruous parts. The village in its happy days is a true English village. The village in its decay is an Irish village. The felicity and the misery which Goldsmith has brought close together belong to two different countries and to two different stages in the progress of society. He had assuredly never seen in his native island such a rural paradise, such a seat of

plenty, content and tranquillity such as his “Auburn.” He had assuredly never seen in England all the inhabitants of such a paradise turned out of their homes in one day and forced to emigrate in a body to America. The hamlet he had probably seen in Kent, the ejection he had probably seen in Munster, but by joining the two, he has produced something which never was and never will be seen in any other part of the world.”

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Basil Willey : *The Eighteenth Century Background*
2. Boris Ford : *Pelican Guide to English Literature Vol-4*
3. John Butt : *English Literature in the mid-Eighteenth Century*
4. G.S. Rousseau : *Goldsmith: The Critical Heritage*
5. J. R. Watson (ed. : *Pre-Romanticism in English Poetry of the Eighteenth Century* (Casebook series)
6. James Sutherland : *A Preface to Eighteenth Century Poetry*
7. R. Trickett : *The Honest Muse; A Study in Augustan Verse*
8. Raymond Williams : *The Country & the City*

ASSIGNMENTS

1. What is the role of Goldsmith’s “poetic self” in his poem *The Deserted Village*? Does it add to the tragic effect?
2. Comment on the blend of lyrical and dramatic elements in Goldsmith’s poem *The Deserted Village*.
3. Analyze *The Deserted Village* as a pastoral poem with a difference.
4. Discuss the theme of “Dispossession and exile” in Goldsmith’s poem *The Deserted Village*.
5. It has been observed that Goldsmith’s poem *The Deserted Village* is “Classic in form, Romantic in content.” Do you agree? Give reasons for your answer.
6. Critically comment on Goldsmith’s art of character-portrayal in *The Deserted Village*.
7. Discuss Goldsmith as Pre-Romantic poet with reference to *The Deserted Village*.

BLOCK-III

***The Way of the World* – William Congreve**

CONTENT STRUCTURE

Unit 9(a): Introduction

Unit 9(b): Objectives

Unit 9(c): William Congreve: Life and Works

Unit 10(a): Congreve - the Comic Playwright

Unit 10(b): The Epigraph of the 1700 Edition

Unit 10(c): Relevance and Appropriateness of the Title

Unit 11(a): *The Way of the World*: Plot Synopsis

Unit 11(b): Congreve's Art of Character-drawing

Unit 11(c): *The Way of the World* — as a comedy of manners

Unit 12(a): *The Way of the World* — as a comedy of wit

Unit 12(b): *The Way of the World* — as a comedy of social criticism

Unit 12(c): Significance of the Proviso Scene

Select Bibliography

Suggested Readings

Assignments

Unit 9(a): INTRODUCTION

This module introduces you to *The Way of the World*, the finest speedmen of the Restoration Comedy of Manners. Even after the passage of three centuries the appeal of the sparking wit, brilliant repartee and elegant prose of this comedy is undimmed. You need only to read the play for yourselves to be aware of its enduring charm. This module will assume that you are acquainted with the text.

Unit 9(b): OBJECTIVES

After acquainting you with the main facts of William Congreve's life and giving you an idea of Congreve's unique qualities as a comic playwright, the module discusses the play in detail. There is a synopsis of the plot, an account of the main characters and the way they are made memorable in one way or another, as well as, a detailed analysis of the play's most famous scene. The major aspects of the play such as the significance, of the little, its representation of Restoration manners, the nature of its wit, and its criticism of prevalent social conventions, are comprehensively discussed. You must now supplement the discussion with a sensitive reading of the text.

Unit 9(c): WILLIAM CONGREVE: LIFE AND WORK

The greatest exponent of the Restoration comedy of manners, William Congreve was born at Bardsey, near Leeds, on 24 January 1670, but spent his youth in Ireland, where his father served as a lieutenant in the English garrisons at Youghal and Carrickfergus. When Congreve was twelve years old, his father was transferred to Kilkenny, where he joined the Duke of Ormond's regiment. In April 1686 Congreve left Kilkenny College which gave him a secure grounding in classical languages and literatures and went to Trinity College, Dublin, to continue his studies. Jonathan Swift was one of his fellow-students in Trinity College, which was then at the zenith of intellectual excellence, but because of the political turmoil of the day, consequent upon the accession to the throne of the Catholic King James II, the College closed for a span in 1689. Congreve probably arrived in London about the middle of the year and entered the Middle Temple as a law student in March

Enthusiastically interested in a literary career, Congreve abandoned law and evinced a keen interest in two leading theatres—the Theatre Royal and the Lincoln's Inn Fields Theatre. He took up writing before long and published his four comedies within a period of only seven years. His works include 'Incognita', 'The Old Bachelor', 'The Double Dealer', 'Love for Love', 'The Mourning Bride', 'The Way of the World' and so on.

1691. He had, however, little or no interest in legal studies ; what he learned from his visits to Dublin's Smokey Alley Theatre proved to be of greater interest than the dry-as-dust letters of the law. Enthusiastically interested in a literary career, he soon gained the attention of the sixty-year-old John Dryden, lately poet Laureate, who still championed the cause of the emancipated socio-cultural ethos of the Restoration.

Congreve abandoned law for literature and evinced a keen interest in two leading theatres, the Theatre Royal and the Lincoln's Inn Fields Theatre. He took up writing before long and published his four comedies within a period of only seven years. In 1691 he published a short prose romance, *Incognita*, under the name of *Cleophil*, which he had written in Trinity College some two or three years ago. His first comedy, *The Old Bachelor*, was staged at the Theatre Royal in 1693 with brilliant success. The warm recommendations of Dryden and Southerne and the superb skills of Thomas Betterton and Anne Bracegirdle went a long way to establish the young comedy-maker (who was then only twenty-three) as an irrefutable master of comic dialogue, verbal wit, rhythm and movement of limpid spontaneity. That he was also a deep-searching analyst of feminine psychology was proved beyond

any shadow of doubt. Congreve followed his first comedy in 1694 with another comedy, *The Double-Dealer*, and in 1695 with *Love for Love*, produced by and starring again, Thomas Betterton and Anne Bracegirdle, which immediately won for him the highest accolades of the English theatre - world. Two years later, he had an almost equal popular success with his verse tragedy, *The Mourning Bride*, which was first performed at Lincoln's Inn Fields in February 1697. Unfortunately his next and best play, *The Way of the World* (1700), was not well received, partly because of the allegations of immorality and licentiousness brought against Restoration comedy by Jeremy Collier. Indeed, the best comedy proved to be the worst failure on the stage.

At the age of thirty Congreve retired to live the life of a non-political, non-interfering gentleman—a claim which irritated Voltaire when he visited him in 1726. His creative inspiration certainly flagged, but it did not altogether fail. Aside from the afore-mentioned works, Congreve wrote his masque, *The Judgment of Paris* (1701), his opera libretto, *Semele* (1710), his *Odes to St Cecilia's Day* (1701), his long poem, *Epistle to Lord Cobham* (written in 1728, but published posthumously), and his poetical tributes to the brother and father-in-law of Henrietta Godolphin, who had been his firm and sincere friend since the cessation of his attachment to Anne Bracegirdle around 1702 to 1703. During the last few years of his life Congreve became financially and materially successful because of his association with the Kit-Cat Club at the house of the publisher, Jacob Tonson. He obtained a new political host with effect from 1705 when he became Commissioner for wines at an annual salary of £200. But fortune really smiled on him with the establishment of the Whig government following the accession of George I in 1714. He was made Secretary to the Island of Jamaica at an annual salary of £700. His health was however, declining fast. Alone, suffering from gout and cataract, he could only undertake a sad trip down the memory lane, although Henrietta was with him to the last. Congreve died at his Surrey street lodgings on 19 January 1729.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Name the chief works of Congreve.

Unit 10(a) CONGREVE - THE COMIC PLAYWRIGHT

When Congreve started writing his comedies in the last decade of the seventeenth century, during the reign of William III, after the Glorious Revolution of 1688, the Bacchanalian orgy that broke out with the returning monarchy had already yielded place to weariness and even disillusion. There was hardly any comic playwright who could continue the tradition of the comedy of manners with vigour and singleness of purpose; Dryden's laurels were yet to be bequeathed. It was but natural that when Anne Bracegirdle appeared before the Drury Lane audience to speak the Prologue to *The Old Bachelor* (1693), she actually held a brief for the 'young author' whom she described as

civil and bashful, entreating the favour of his judges. The judges were all fascinated because the new

Congreve derived elements from various sources, both foreign and native, from Terence, Moliere, Jonson, Middleton, Marston, Fletcher and Beumont in particular, and forged a new comedic genre which would celebrate the grace and polish of an essentially urban civilisation. All that is best in the Restoration continuance of Elizabethan dramatic conventions may be illustrated in Congreve's plays.

venture brought the diverse elements of seventeenth-century English comedy into a delightful unity and offered a banquet of delicious, often epigrammatic, wit, while unfolding the pageant of a sophisticated society made ridiculous with the flourish of modish affectation. Indeed, Congreve became the darling not merely of the playgoers but also of Dryden who introduced his second comedy, *The Double Dealer* (1694), placing on record a tribute of ecstatic fervour: 'In him all beauties, of this age we see'—Etherege's 'courtship', Southerne's 'spurity' and 'the satire, wit and strength of Manly Wycherley.'

Dryden was certainly right, but we should also add that Congreve's alliance was not with Restoration comedy alone; that he derived from various sources, both foreign and native, from Terence, Moliere, Jonson, Middleton, Marston, Fletcher and Brome in particular, and forged a new comedic genre which would celebrate the grace and polish of an essentially urban civilization. In complex romantic intrigues and subtleties of dialogue and characterization he was indebted to Terence who reworked and developed the Greek comedy of Menander; Moliere was another influence of great magnitude, as has been shown by Dudley Howe Miles in his *The Influence of Moliere on Restoration Comedy*; and apropos of the influence of Jonson, or for that matter, of the whole of Elizabethan/Jacobean comic tradition on his work, we have authoritative corroboration from Bonamy Dobree, Kathleen Lynch and Ian Donaldson. Lynch has specifically averred that the most fundamental conspicuous influence in Congreve's comedy was the influence of the Elizabethan tradition. According to her, most writers of Restoration comedy fell back at times, with a sense of relief, upon Elizabethan plots and humours, but Congreve was, in this respect, the most conservative of them all. "All that is best in the Restoration continuance of Elizabethan dramatic conventions may be illustrated in Congreve's plays". (*The Social Mode of Restoration Comedy*).

The Old Bachelor with the 'humorous' excesses of Heartwell, Fondlewife and Captain Bluffe proved a resounding success, but *The Double Dealer* failed probably because Congreve tried to register in it a new idiom of moral seriousness and to satirize the shameless hypocrisy of his age in the persons of Lady Touchwood, Lady Froth and Lady Plyant who have no hesitation in cuckolding their husbands without, of course, ruffling the placid appearances of social decorum. Maskwell in the play is a villain bent on destroying the happiness of Mellefont and Cynthia, and he has been depicted, not

"Love for Love gave Congreve an opportunity to make a really impressive display of his gift for gay and witty comedy without rancour. Mild satire is sometimes perceptible in Congreve's routine hits at the usual and obvious targets, the pretending astrologer, the half-witted beau, the awkward country girl, but these hits are not sufficiently powerful to situate the play within the tradition of the realistic satirical in English comedy."

comically, but as a manifestation of calculating evil and a miracle of atrocious ingratitude. He is, in some sense, a descendant of Moliere's Tartuffe, but his love intrigue with Lady Touchwood does not compare with that of Tartuffe with Elmire: Lady Touchwood lacks dignity and elegance, where Elmire has a fineness of disposition, a reticent composure and a prudent understanding of things as they are. For once in his short dramatic career Congreve attempted a manifestly vigorous satire, but it was absolutely dissonant with the audience temper of his time. We must remember that Cibber's *Love's Last Shift* was staged in 1696, just two years and a few months after the production of *The Double-Dealer*. English people were thirsting for their cups of weeping comedy.

Congreve's third comedy, *Love for Love* (1695), however, hit the jackpot, so to speak. It was a triumphant success. It gave Congreve an opportunity to make a really impressive display of his gift

In "The Way of the World", Congreve, while envisioning some of the basic qualities of Shakespeare, Jonson, Massinger, Fletcher, Dryden, and Etherege, made an eclectic impression, part romantic, part critical, but above all, marked by intellectual acuity and emotional depth.

for a witty comedy without rancour or recrimination. There is not much of Moliere's influence in Congreve's plot pattern or art of characterization; the romantic plot resembles that of Fletcher's **The Elder Brother** and the 'humourous' characters, particularly those of Foresight and Ben have a direct ancestry in Jonson, while Valentine is a surprising variation on Shakespeare's Hamlet. Mild satire is sometimes perceptible in Congreve's routine hits at the usual and obvious targets, the pretending astrologer the half-witted beau, the awkward country girl but these hits are not sufficiently powerful to situate the play within the tradition of the realistic-satirical in English comedy. Some critics have, however, found in it elements of sentimentalism, especially in Valentine's self-fulfilment that originates in his knowledge of moral goodness and spiritual freedom. Norman Halland, for example, says, in **The First Modern Comedies**, that the action of **Love for love** perfectly exemplifies the last phase of restoration comedy. The hero who rehearses from the social world of deception and illusion to a personal haven of emotional security 'discovers the heart behind the mask.' We wonder whether the popular appeal of the play resides in its interpretation as a comedy of almost transcendental affirmation or in its representation of rollicking zest that enlivens a romantic plot.

Congreve's last and best comedy, *The Way of the World* (1700), written in the immediate aftermath of Jeremy Collier's searing criticism in *A Short View of the Immorality and Profaneness of the English Stage* (1698), did not prove a success when it was first produced. It revealed his social realism and ethical consciousness; it affirmed his intellectual resourcefulness and 'purity of style'; it reflected his ability to enrich the comedy of manners with a delicate poise and a creative idealism; and yet the theatre-audience found the play impossible to appreciate. The reason perhaps was that Congreve tried a fresh comedic genre which, while envisioning some of the basic qualities of Shakespeare, Jonson, Massinger, Fletcher, Dryden and Etherege, made an eclectic impression, part romantic, part critical, but, above all, marked by intellectual acuity and emotional depth. *The*

Way of the World has often been regarded as a comedy of wit; and the whole of the proviso-scene in Act IV as the supreme triumph of Congreve's intellectual dexterity. It bears witness to Congreve's passion for falling upon fine phrases like a lover, his 'command of dancing words' and his power to regale us with his 'streams of conceited metaphors and the bewildering flights of his fancy' (Allardyce Nicoll). The play has also been considered as a comedy of social criticism in which attack has been made not only on affected forms of wit or artificial modes of politeness but also on the decadent social order, represented by Lady Wishfort suffering from an 'indigestion of widow-hood' that requires to be replaced by a new social order symbolized through the love of Mirabella and Millamant, the young, enlightened hero and heroine.

It is true that Congreve's power lay, not in the fashioning of dramatic incident, but in his mastery of intellect-dominated verbal wit and in his exploration/rendition of contemporary social ethos. Verbal wit and social realism are however, not the ultimate realities in Congreve's comedy which often draws on the intricate matrix of human experience. Sometimes like Bernard Shaw, Congreve gives vent to his suppressed emotions; sprightly wit and acute tragic sense enter into a curious artistic complex. Ann's cry for the father of the Superman and the litany at the end of *Saint Joan* produce a kind of music that palpitates into profound melancholy. Mrs Fainall, the cast mistress of Mirabella in *The Way of the World*, also leaves an impression of unmitigated pain. She has a passion for Mirabella, her former lover, and she is loyal to him in every possible way, but her heart aches at not being loved by her husband. On one occasion she says, 'He [Mr. Fainall] has a humour more prevailing than his curiosity, and will willingly dispense with the hearing of one scandalous story, to avoid an occasion to make another by being seen to walk with his wife.' The affectation of lightness in the remark only deepens the anguish and bitterness the way of the world is strewn with.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider Congreve as a comic playwright.

Unit 10(b): THE EPIGRAPH OF THE 1700 EDITION

The epigraph found on the title page of the 1700 edition of *The Way of the World* contains two Latin quotations from Horace's *Satires*. In their wider contexts they read in English:

"It is worthwhile, for those of you who wish adulterers no success, to hear how much misfortune they suffer, and how often their pleasure is marred by pain and, though rarely achieved, even then fraught with danger."

"I have no fear in her company that a husband may rush back from the country, the door burst open, the dog bark, the house shake with the din, the woman, deathly pale, leap from her bed, her complicit maid shriek, she fearing for her limbs, her guilty mistress for her dowry and I for myself." The

quotations offer a fore-warning of the chaos to ensue from both infidelity and deception. According to Brian Gibbons, the central theme or didactic intent of the play is indicated by the epigraph: the fate of adulterers and the fears of a guilty woman for the loss of her reputation.

Unit 10(c): RELEVANCE AND APPROPRIATENESS OF THE TITLE

Like other comedies in the history of world drama, *The Way of the World* deals with the theme of love, assimilating, in its fable-structure, an interplay of erotic instincts. There is much romance in the comedy, but this romance is controlled and rational rather than exuberant and disruptive of logical propriety. In the ultimate analysis, however, Congreve's comedy is not a romantic comedy, but a critical comedy that attests to Congreve's social solicitude and ethical consciousness. It belongs to the realistic-corrective tradition of English comedy and seeks to cure the existing society of its oddities and flaws, excesses and affectations. Congreve makes a satirical exposition of the social manners of his day - the 'way' in the title of his play refers to contemporary manners, habits or modes of patterned elegance, and the 'world' implies the society where these manners are represented or manipulated.

In choosing the title of his play, Congreve not merely presents or analyses the society of his age but also brings out the element of irony in this society's deceptive/affected code of conduct. Towards the end of the play Mirabell uses the phrase 'the way of the world', while mocking at Fainall's 'confusion' as the latter reads the parchment with the inscription: 'A deed of conveyance of the whole estate of Arabella, Languish, widow, in trust to Edward Mirabell'. Mirabell, in fact, turns the tables against Fainall and saves Lady Wishfort's prestige and Mrs Fainall's property; the last laugh is his; and what he emphasizes by referring to 'the way of the world' is obviously the triumph of Mrs Fainall over her husband who has always tried to play her false. This is the 'most unkindest cut' of irony in a society that is doubtless patriarchal. *The Way of the World* is an ironic title, despite Brian Gibbons's view that 'Mirabell imposes on the cynically realistic way of the world the more generous vision of the art of comedy.'

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. How far is the title of "The Way of the World" relevant and appropriate?

Unit 11(a): THE WAY OF THE WORLD: PLOT SYNOPSIS

Act I is set in a chocolate house where Mirabell and Fainall have just finished playing cards. A footman comes and tells Mirabell that Waitwell (Mirabell's male servant) and Foible (Lady Wishfort's female servant) were married that morning. Mirabell tells Fainall about his love of Millamant and is

encouraged to marry her. Witwoud and Petulant appear and Mirabell is informed that should Lady Wishfort marry, he will lose £6000 of Millamant's inheritance. He will only get this money if he can make Lady Wishfort consent to his and Millamant's marriage.

Act II is set in St. James's Park. Mrs Fainall and Mrs Marwood are discussing their hatred of men. Fainall appears and accuses Mrs Marwood (with whom he is having an affair) of loving Mirabell. Meanwhile, Mrs Fainall tells Mirabell that she hates her husband, and they begin to plot about tricking Lady Wishfort to give her consent to the marriage. Millamant appears in the park, and angry about the previous night (where Mirabell was confronted by Lady Wishfort) she lets him know her displeasure in Mirabell's plan, which she only has a vague idea about. After she leaves, the newly wed servants appear and Mirabell reminds them of their roles in the plan.

Act III, IV and V are all set in the home of Lady Wishfort. We are introduced to Lady Wishfort who is encouraged to marry 'Sir Rowland' - Mirabell's supposed uncle - by Foible so that Mirabell will lose his inheritance. Sir Rowland is, however, Waitwell in disguise, the plan being to arrange a marriage with Lady Wishfort, which cannot go ahead because it would be bigamy, and Mirabell will offer to help her out of the embarrassing situation if she consents to her marriage. Later, Mrs Fainall discusses this plan with Foible, but this is overheard by Mrs Marwood. She later tells the plan to Fainall, who decides that he will take his wife's money and go away with Mrs Marwood.

Mirabell proposes to Millamant and with Mrs Fainall's encouragement, Millamant accepts. Mirabell leaves as Lady Wishfort arrives, and she lets it be known that she wants Millamant to marry her nephew, Sir Wilful, who has just arrived from the countryside. Lady Wishfort later gets a letter telling her about the Sir Rowland's plot. Sir Rowland takes the letter and blames Mirabell of trying to sabotage their wedding. Lady Wishfort agrees to let Sir Rowland bring a marriage contract that night.

By Act V, Lady Wishfort has found out the plot, and Fainall has had Waitwell arrested. Mrs Fainall tells Foible that her previous affair with Mirabell is now public knowledge. Lady Wishfort appears with Mrs Marwood, whom she's thanking for unveiling the plot. Fainall then appears and uses the information of Mrs Fainall's previous affair with Mirabell and Millamant's contract to marry him to blackmail Lady Wishfort, telling her that she should never marry and that she is to transfer all the money over to him. Lady Wishfort tells Mirabell that she will offer consent to the marriage if he can save her fortune and honour. Mirabell calls on Waitwell who brings a contract from the time before the marriage of the Fainalls in which Mrs Fainall gives all her property to Millamant. This neutralises the blackmail attempts, after which Mirabell restores Mrs Fainall's property to her possession and then is free to marry Millamant with the full £6000 inheritance.

[Source : Wikipedia]

Unit 11(b): CONGREVE'S ART OF CHARACTER-DRAWING

The total impression that we receive from Congreve's world of comedy is one of a mingled pattern where individual eccentricities are coupled with fashionable affectations. The comic characters

Congreve's characters are individual eccentrics featuring fashionable affectations. They are brought into a clear focus as aspects of a single humanity and suggest telling dimensions in their association with, and absorption into, the social ethos. They belong mostly to the stock-types of the age—man and women amorously inclined despite their years, fops, would-be-wits and so on.

he depicts are brought into a clear focus as aspects of a single humanity and suggest telling dimensions in their association with, and absorption into, the social ethos. As Clifford Leech observes in his essay 'Congreve and the Century's End' (in *The Dramatist's Experience*):

His characters belong for the most part to the stock-types of the age—men and women of wit and fashion; harmless eccentrics like Foresight and Heartwell; men and women amorously inclined despite their years, like Sir Sampson Legend and Lady Wishfort; unpolished intruders into London society, like Ben and Sir Wilfull Witwoud; women of light virtue; fops and would-be wits— but he so contrives his plays that the characters are not isolated targets but are seen in relation to one another and to their society as a whole.

It is by presenting his dramatis personae against the backdrop of resplendent but artificial social conventions that Congreve lays out the design of his critical comedy.

The *Way of the World* accommodates, in its cast, an interesting variety of male characters - Witwoud (reminiscent of Jonson's Sir Politic Would-be), a would-be or would-have-been wit who, as Mirabell says, 'so passionately affects the reputation of understanding raillery, that he will construe an affront into a jest; and call downright rudeness and ill language, satire and fire', Petulant, whose name is indicative of his temperament, a choleric man who professes perpetual animosity and turns out to be an indecent quarellor, and Sir Wilfull Witwoud, a country bumpkin with his loam-footed honesty and endearing warmth, who manages to disgrace himself by

Mirabell, the most fully worked out of all Congreve's male characters, though somewhat devious, manipulative, even amoral is capable of balancing out 'enlightened self-interest with consideration for others'.

becoming embarrassingly drunk. The hero of Congreve's play, Mirabell (whose name derives from the Latin *mirabilis* meaning 'wonderful'), is of course, the most important male character admired by all the ladies around him. Indeed, the most fully worked out of all Congreve is male characters, Mirabell, though somewhat devious, manipulative, even amoral (having a prodigal past) is capable of balancing out 'enlightened self-interest with consideration for others' (David Thomas). The 'admirer of female beauty' has been contrasted from the very beginning with Fainall (who feigns all), the villain, the shamelessly self-seeking power-hungry fortune-hunter, who only repels or shocks us. An outsider in the true sense of the word, who has no sense of family responsibility or social obligation, Fainall embodies the odious cruelty of Hobbes's man in the raw state of nature.

Congreve excels in his delineation of female characters. The many-faceted Millamant (whose name derives from the French mille [thousand]+amant [love]-surrounded by a thousand lovers) apart, the other female characters are also, drawn no less insightfully. Bonamy Dobree regards Mrs Fainall as a figure of 'intense realism' - her husband has married her only for her wealth, but he is in love with Mrs Marwood, and she has accepted her loss and defeat with quiet dignity. Moreover, she herself is a victim of an almost Chekhovian sense of sadness (Cf *The Seagull*) because she still loves Mirabell, yet has to encourage Millamant and assume airs of generous approbation

Congreve excels in his delineation of female characters. Bonamy Dobree regards Mrs. Fainall as a figure of intense realism. Lady Wishfort is indeed a sort of wish of carnal appetite.

of her match with him. Arguably the most poignant character in *The Way of the World* is Lady Wishfort who is constantly deceived, abused and exploited by all those around her. She is on the wrong side of fifty - precisely fifty-five - but she seems to experience the passions of robust sexuality. She is indeed a sort of wish - of carnal appetite and in her conduct she is always dishonest. She may be styled a 'humorist', but her humour is not of one particular shade. She contains 'multitudes' in her humour: luxurious lust (of an Epicure Mammon), sullen anger (of a Morose), vituperative malevolence (of a Face) gross rancour (of an Ursula) and, above all, the glaring pretension and hypocrisy, characteristic of all Restoration coquettes. Another female character is Mrs Marwood who is bent on marring the happiness of others. With her selfish lusting, after Mirabell - and her schemes and conspiracies - she challenges comparison with Fainall; both are motivated by appetite, greed and envy.

The most important female character in the play is doubtless its heroine Millamant, who combines wit and womanliness in equal proportion. From the very moment of her arrival in Act II, scene I - 'faith full sail, with her fans spread and her streamers out' - she is charming and lovable, an embodiment of the freshness of spring, 'the incarnation of happiness or at least of the desire for it.' (Bonamy Dobree) Millamant is a young girl of changing moods and fancies, sometimes a little impish, but she also upholds the principles of decorum and honour. She 'herself tends, a vestal virgin, the patrician

Millamant combines wit and womanliness. She is world-wise, yet romantic; practical yet passionate; far-sighted, yet emotional.

flame of Caroline honour', and by winning Mirabell back to the ways of Caroline honour, 'she makes their love no mere excrement of whim and chance, but the lasting affirmation of the traditional decencies; and the union of two minds within a shared culture.' (Donald Bruce, *Topics of Restoration Comedy*) She realizes the importance of controlled passion and organized logic in a man-woman relationship, as is evident in the proviso scene of the play. She is worldly-wise, yet romantic; practical yet passionate; far-sighted, yet emotional. She sets great store by the logicalities of life, but she possesses and dwells in a wonderland of airy vitality, hiding her feeling behind the only too necessary artifices of her sex. Once assured of her love, she divests herself of her armour and shows a perfect candour: 'If Mirabell does not make a good husband, I am a lost thing.'

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on Congreve's art of characterisation in the play "The Way of the World".

Unit 11(c):

THE WAY OF THE WORLD — AS A COMEDY OF MANNERS

The comedy of manners is the dominant and characteristic type of comedy in the history of world drama - from Aristophanes and Terence to Ibsen, Shaw and Brecht. It is the achievement of the sense of comedy of existence through a humour-slanted critical presentation of social life and patterns of human behaviour, an intellectual and rational discrimination of values. But, as Allardyce Nicoll rightly says in his *History of English Drama (1660-1900)* Vol. I, when we say that Congreve's comedy is a comedy of manners we are using the word in its Congrevian sense, betokening something brilliant about a man or a woman, not a humour, but a grace or a habit of refined culture, something that looks "a little je ne-say-quaysh" (to borrow the phrase from Lady Froth's speech in Act II, scene I of *The Double Dealer*). The manners in Congreve's comedy are, by no means, the behaviour of humanity in general but the affectitious and cultured veneer of a highly developed and self-conscious group towards the close of the seventeenth century. The society it represents is artificial - a powdered and rouged society; it is indeed artificiality which provides the unity of atmosphere for a narrow, comic world where 'the true voice of feeling' (to use a Keatsian phrase in a different context) has been stifled in the bantering levity of fanciful and aristocratic high-ups - the beaux and belles who only assume affectations.

Congreve's comedy of manners presents a gallery of entertaining comic characters with sundry whims and fancies in the boudoirs and coffee-houses, in the Hyde Park, the Piazza, or the Mall, and these characters are made to spin, as it were, to a gay tune with all the formal discipline of a ballet choreography. The dancing figures of Edgar Degas seem to come out of the delicate haze of impressionism and breathe in the modish trivialities of a highly sophisticated age. Congreve's comic characters owe their liveliness to the world of manners to which they belong, and sometimes we are made to see even the most fantastic excesses of the manners they assume - the wayward flutter of a fan in the hand of a moody heroine (Millamant) or the riding dress of a much-travelled boor (Sir Wilfull Witwoud). In his delineation of manners, whether elegant or absurd, Congreve acknowledges the mingled pattern of the individual and the social and seeks to continue the tradition of critical comedy by exploiting its traditional material, the oddities and affectations of individuals in society.

Congreve's comedy of manners presents a gallery of entertaining comic characters with sundry whims and fancies in the boudoirs and coffee-houses, in the Hyde Park, the Piazza, or the Mall, and these characters are made to spin, as it were, to gay tune with all the formal discipline of a ballet choreography.

The comedy of manners is also the comedy of wit; and it has been customary to cite *The Way of the World* as an illustration of the brightest variety of Congrevian wit. Comments like ‘friendship without freedom is as dull as love without enjoyment, or wine without toasting’, ‘A wit should no more be sincere, than a woman constant. One argues a decay of parts as t’other of beauty’, and ‘the falling out of wits is like the falling out of lovers - we agree in the main like treble and bass’ (all made by Witwoud, though a false wit) are too interesting to miss. Congreve’s ‘purity of style’ and ‘perfection of dialogue’ certainly remind us of a Frenchman, not, however, of Moliere, but of Gustave Flaubert, author of *Madame Bovary*. The proviso-scene in which Mirabell and Millamant put forward certain terms and conditions before they get married witnesses to the central values of urban civilization. Congreve deals with the exuberance of youthful love, but his comic vision is such as to acknowledge all that is morally significant in its representation in an upper-class society. The norms of love and marriage that Congreve formulates in his comedy vindicate his socio-ethical consciousness and attest to a lasting affirmation of the traditional decencies. Wit, the splendid glory and grace of urban civilization, the rare quality of mind that gives the right direction to a pragmatically free society, not only permeates the whole of the proviso-scene but also enriches the style of the whole play itself. Congreve’s style is such as to solidify the dramatic structure and to distinguish between one character and another on the basis of rhetorical rhythms and dictional singularities. It ranges from one peak to another from the stinging cynicism of Fainall to the dulcet melancholy of Mirabell, from the diaphanous charm of Millamant to the abusive rage (the Meredithian ‘boudoir Billingstate’) of Lady Wishfort from the fashionable affectation of Witwoud to the raucous boorishness of Sir Wilfull Witwoud.

“The Way of the World” is an illustration of the brightest variety of Congrevian wit. Congreve’s ‘purity of style’ and ‘perfection of dialogue’ certainly remind us of a Frenchman. Congreve deals with the exuberance of youthful love, but his comic vision is such as to acknowledge all that is morally significant in its representation in an upper-class society.

s, of course, polite and amiable, immune from rancour and indignation, and there are reasons to subscribe to the view that he anticipates Sheridan whose *The School for Scandal* continues and embellishes the tradition of the comedy of manners and invites comparison with his *The Way of the World*. Like the poet of the “Rope of the Lock”, he cannot be a devastating critic but he can think of certain values of traditional ethics which impose a pattern on the ridiculously absurd mode of living in the society of his age that aspired to be civilized in every respect. Yet ever since the non-jurying Anglican priest, Jeremy Collier, flung his fulminations into the stronghold of English drama, it has been the habit to regard Comedy as licentious, immoral, obscene and dissolute. The violation of moral decorum in Restoration comedy certainly strikes the attention of even a casual reader and makes him reflect on what the real function or purpose of literature ought to be. It may however be noted that the comic playwrights of the Restoration period, were eager to draw the picture of a society free from the conventions of feudalism and chivalry. They thought of launching a

move towards greater justice between man and woman. While presenting their love-relationship in a dramatic form, they drew a line of demarcation between passion and affection. Nevertheless, we are shocked when Lady Wishfort shouts at Foible, her maid-servant, and uses a language of unmatched foulness, or when Waitwell playing the role of Sir Rowland engages in an amorous interview with Lady Wishfort and pays handsome compliments to her 'adorable person'.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider "The Way of the World" as a comedy of manners.

Unit 12 (a): THE WAY OF THE WORLD - AS A COMEDY OF WIT

Restoration comedy is primarily organized on the basis of wit, which is obviously its most outstanding feature. Almost all the characters in *The Way of the World* engage in an exercise of wit thus testifying to the dazzling brilliance of Congreve's intellectual dynamics. Dr. Johnson styles Congreve's characters 'intellectual gladiators' who are made to produce an unceasing salvo of Verbal Wit. Leigh Hunt says that Congreve presents 'a set of heartless fine ladies and gentlemen, coming in and going out, saying witty things at each other and buzzing in some maze of intrigue'. Hazlitt describes how Restoration comedy, the 'Corinthian capital' of polished elegance spotlights the "conquest over dullness." Meredith expresses the view that Congreve 'hits the mean of a fine style and a natural in dialogue.' Dwelling on the seminal quality of Restoration comedy Whibley observes that in point of concision Congreve's style is still unmatched in the literature of England. The Verbal style of *The Way of the World* is obviously characterized by intellect-dominated Wit, but we are also fascinated by the technique that Congreve adopts to draw a line of demarcation between true Wits and false Wits. Thomas Fujimura in *The Restoration Comedy of Wit* speaks of the characteristics that mark the true wit off from the false Wits. The true wits are sensitive, imaginative and decorous, while the false wits thrive on the superficialities of urban civilization. The former sharpen their emotions upon their wits while the latter are affected and pretentious and capitalize on elegant absurdities.

Integrity of feeling and stability of faith - the rich beauties of unalloyed human emotions have been denied Fainall. Brian Gibbons, in his introduction to *The Way of the World*, speaks of the differences of degree among the false wits in the play. He observes a strict hierarchy from Fainall to Witwoud and thence to Petulant, and arrives at the conclusion that this hierarchy of false wits is indicated 'by the order in which characters appear in Act I, so that the audience has the opportunity to measure each in turn against Mirabell, the true wit, and to compare relative degrees of folly. Witwoud and Petulant are obviously false wits in the play. They are, in the words of Norman Holland in *The First Modern Comedies*, 'ridiculous, all manner and no substance, as empty as balloons, and blown by whatever random stimulus come their way and suggest preposterous idiosyncrasies on the plane of

social existence. Wit would churn out fashionable and extravagant similitudes and Mirabell describes him as 'a fool with a good memory, and some fine scraps of other folk's wit'. Pelulant is nearly all vapour and tends as a consequence to be highly explosive, thus betraying his Elizabethan lineage. Lady Wishfort who provides broad fun verging on the farcical is another false wit. Mirabell says that she 'publishes her detestation of mankind; and full of the vigour of, fifty-five, declares for a friend and *Ratafia*. What is however interesting to note is that some of the false wits sometimes make observations or pass remarks which are too full of wit to escape our attention. Wit would, for example, says about Pelulant on one occasion, 'His want of learning gives him the more opportunities to show his natural parts'. Even the maid-servant Foible is capable of resorting to wit. To Lady Wishfort she says, 'A little art once made your picture like you and now a little of the same art must make you like your picture. Your picture must sit for you madam.'

The best variety of Congrevian wit has however, been exemplified and illustrated in the wit-combats between Mirabell and Millamant, the true wits in the play. Mirabell's '... beauty is the lover's gift; 'tis he bestow your charms: your glass is all a cheat' is a comment which is not merely mellifluous in its sonic texture but also poetic in its power of evocation. The proviso scene in the play reveals the most scintillating aspects of Congrevian wit. Congreve's hero and heroine who are experts in the art of social survival desire a kind of marriage which must be compatible with the notion of wit and embody the most cherished values of urban civilization, those of finesse, grace and decorum, which form the nucleus of a consistent ethical code in world of appearances. In the proviso scene marked by organized reason and controlled passion, Mirabell and Millamant liberate themselves from the follies and affectations of the society they live in and base their romantic priorities both soberly and realistically upon the logicalities of life. John Barnard is perfectly right when he says: The proviso scene is not negative; it enfranchises the lovers and is the essential preparative to giving themselves to one another while reconciling the competing demands of wit (in the sense of judgement, and love it is a worldly attitude but neither despicable nor unrealistic.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider 'The Way of the World' as a comedy of wit.

**Unit 12(a): THE WAY OF THE WORLD: AS A COMEDY
OF SOCIAL CRITICISM**

'Documentary' critics of the Restoration comedy of manners express the view that comic playwrights like Etherege, Wycherley and Congreve present a faithful picture of contemporary social ethos. Indeed, close fidelity to actual life has been observed in their comedies. In *The Way of the World* Congreve provides us with a vivid and dependable picture of the national metropolis that

brims with pastimes and follies at it Jame's Park, the New Exchange and the World's End. Contemporary London comes to life with Will's Coffee-house, Pall-Mall and Covent Garden, Locket's Eating-house at the Charing Cross, Duke's Place, Rosamond's Pond, Bridewell, the house of Correction for vagabonds and loose women, and Ludgate, the debtors' prison, supposed to be crowded with the starving and diseased (as imagined by W.H. Van Voris in *The Cultivated Stance*). Congreve's world is a great deal too real, and what Macaulay says about the realism of Restoration comedy in general holds good for Congreve's comedy in particular: 'Here the garb, the manners, the topics of conversation are those of the real town and of the passing day.' (Critical and Historical Essays, Vol II) What Macaulay does not consider is that the realism itself of Restoration comedy (or, for that matter of Congreve's comedy) is largely a compound of art and artificiality and reveals a social structure which has no foundation in the authenticity and truthfulness of natural emotions. Congreve is deep-searching in the realm of refined intellect whose life becomes an art and art an aesthetic of artificiality. He is a faithful transcriber of the realism that comes full circle and is complete by being artificial. We may not agree with Charles Lamb when, in his essay on 'The Artificial Comedy of the Last Century', he postulates with ingenious sophistry that the Fainalls and Mirabells and Lady Touchwoods - all creatures of sportive fancy - figure in 'a speculative scene of things' and get but of 'the Christendom into the land... of cuckoldry - the Utopia of gallantry - where pleasure is duty, and the manners perfect freedom.' But there is no reason why we should not appreciate the significance of the word 'artificial' he has used in the title of his essay. The Restoration comedy of manners is indeed artificial in its avoidance of the promptings of nature and of the pressures of passion, but Congreve's comedy is artificial in the sense that it is a realistically vivid portrayal of an artificial society where only the aristocratic high-ups flaunt their intellectual resources, strike attitudes and vie with one another for posing in the social mirror. It is of this artificial society that Scandal says in Act III, scene III of *Love for Love*: 'I know no effective difference between continued affectation and reality.' In his *Comic Characters in Shakespeare*, John Palmer observes: 'In the comedies of Congreve ... we are no longer men; we are wits and a peruke. We are no longer women; we are ladies of the tea-table.' Palmer's observation is significant especially in the context of the social ethos, as portrayed in *The Way of the World*, where the surface is all suggesting the artificial/assumed norms of urban sophistication. It is only Mirabell and Millamant, the true wits in the play, who turn against the currents of the time and seek to control the society around them. Philip Roberts rightly says that in the proviso scene Mirabell and Millamant relinquish their current social positions while giving up what the fops would give them all for. Indeed, they go a stage further than other comic central figures and 'in so doing they unavoidably breakdown the incredibility essential to the artifice.' Congreve has of course ridiculed the artificial social set-up of the Restoration period. But as K.M.P. Buxton says in *Restoration Literature*, 'However much the individual dramatist protested in prologues and epilogues that he was castigating the vices and follies of the age there was a general

atmosphere of overlooking faults, and a confused moral attitude governing the structure of the play.' Congreve wields his sword of common sense to prune off the excesses of affectation in contemporary social conduct but he is at best mildly critical in his aims and objectives. Congreve is by no means a satirist as stern and fierce as Jonson but he is capable of giving us something more than mere amusement. Ian Donaldson, in *The World Upside-Down*, speaks of the values embodied in *The Way of the World* and points out that the play 'moves firmly to an endorsement of the forms and conventions of civilized society.' He believes that its 'values are, in all senses of that word, those of *urbanity*.' Congreve examines the values of a town society, 'the London *beau monde*, artificial in character, yet celebrating the norms of practical wisdom and controlled logic and presents them in a subtle way by' means of his well-poised thematic explication. What is really interesting is that the 'affected' characters in his comedy highlight the genuineness of these values by turning them upside down or by exaggerating them to the point of the most fantastic kind of caricature. The courtship of Lady Wishfort and Waitwell masquerading as Sir Rowland in Act IV, scene XII in *The Way of the World* is a laughing crusade against the absurdity and extravagance of a decadent social culture. Lady Wishfort's affectations, her use of rhetorical language as she awaits or meets the disguised Waitwell when seen in a critical perspective,' may offer a clue to a saner human relationship and suggest a way out of the spurious mode of living. Congreve's purpose is not to flay vices or persecute follies, but his focus is on a social ethos purged of all manner of illogical and ridiculous excesses.

Congreve is a stern and fierce satirist, but he is also capable of giving us something more than mere amusement. His play 'moves firmly to an endorsement of the forms and conventions of civilised society.'

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Consider "The Way of the World" as a comedy of social criticism.

Unit 12(c): SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROVISIO SCENE

The proviso scene had been invented by Honore D'Arfe (*L'Astree*) and Congreve borrows verbally from Dryden's *Secret Love* for his own proviso scene in Act IV, scene I of *The Way of the World*. There are other echoes of Dryden's *Marriage à la Mode* and *The Wild Gallant*, and there is a general debt in structure and technique to Etherege's *The Man of Mode*. But Congreve's proviso scene attests to the rare acuity and strength of his critical perception. His satirical barbs are aimed at the absurd excesses of manners in contemporary society and the ethical norms he envisions and formulates refer to the traditional

Congreve's proviso scene attests to the rare acuity and strength of his critical perception. His satirical barbs are aimed at the absurd excesses of manners and the ethical norms he envisions and formulates refer to the traditional decencies associated with the ideal of love and marriage.

decencies associated with the ideal of love and marriage. Mirabell and Millamant seek to strike a bargain before their marriage, putting forward certain terms and conditions, because they want to extricate themselves from the follies and affectations of their society and retain their composure and wit, their measure of control and sense of decorum. The diverse demands of logic and passion have been reconciled in the view of marriage they propose. Congreve seems to suggest that in the cynical, profligate and deceitful ways of the world it is only love that can act as a saving grace, but this love, which finds fulfilment in marriage, must be perfect, integrated and prudent.

The proviso scene begins as Mirabell completes the couplet from Edmund Waller's 'The Story of Phoebus and Daphne, Applied'. Millamant recites the first line of the couplet, 'Like Phoebus sung the no less am'rous boy,' and Mirabell completes the couplet by reciting its second line 'Like

Congreve's hero and heroine who are experts in the art of social survival, 'manage to control the society around them'. The proviso scene reconciles the competing demands of wit and love and 'enfranchise's them, revealing the union of two minds in the sophisticated content of urban culture.

Daphne she, as lovely and as coy'. Mirabell desires that 'the chase must end, and my pursuit be crowned', but Millamant does not desire a state of inglorious ease, where she runs the risk of being 'freed from the agreeable fatigues of solicitation.' She hates the lover 'that can dare to think he draws a moment's air independent on the bounty of his mistress'. 'There is not so in prudent a thing in nature as the saucy look of an assured man, confident of success,' she says. The apprehension of disillusion after marriage is so pervasive in the prevalent social ethos that Millamant declares, 'I'll be solicited to the very last, nay, and afterwards', meaning that she must be wooed even after her marriage although she is deeply in love with Mirabell. Indeed Millamant in the proviso scene resembles Shakespeare's Rosalind whose affection 'hath an unknown bottom, like the Bay of Portugal'. (As you Like It, Act IV, scene I), but she indulges in a deliberate exaggeration of her caprices and declares her 'will and pleasure'. She cannot bid farewell to her 'dear liberty', 'faithful solitude' and 'darling contemplation'. She does not want to be called names like wife, spouse, my dear, joy, jewel, love, sweetheart, and the rest of that nauseous cart in which men and their wives are so fulsomely familiar'. She tells Mirabell, 'Let us be as strange as if we had been married a great while and as well-bred as if we were not married at all.'

To this brilliant gambit Mirabell retorts with a number of stipulations, showing his concern for his reputation as a husband and for the health/figure of his future son. He will not allow Millamant to use masks and cosmetics (made of 'hogs' bones, hare's gall, pig-water, and the marrow of a roasted cat'), drink filthy, strong waters, toast follows, entertain bawds and courtesans, or to wear any tight-fitting garments at the time of her pregnancy. The proviso scene comes to an end with acceptance of the basis of social survival by the gay couple, attesting, incidentally to an amalgam of passion and logic in their loving relationship. Indeed, Congreve's hero and heroine who are experts in the art of social survival, 'manage to control the society around them' (Harriett Hawkins, *Likenesses of*

Truth in Elizabethan and Restoration Drama). The proviso scene reconciles the competing demands of wit (in the sense of judgement) and love and ‘enfranchises’ them, revealing the union of two minds in the sophisticated context of urban culture. As regards Mirabella and Millamant, Donald Bruce says, ‘Enlarged and Baroque they cleave the blue air side by side like immortals on a polychrome ceiling at Hampton Court, bound not for an illusory Cythera but for a sky where Honour is the sun.’ ‘Baroque’ cannot be the most appropriate word to describe Mirabella and Millamant who are at once refined and sincere, inventive and practical. Throughout the play they do not ignore good sense or good manners; each discovers the way to a pragmatically free society.

Congreve in the proviso scene of *The Way of the World*, certainly breaks new ground by making Mirabella and Millamant, who are exquisitely well matched, stand on a sure footing of equality in what still appears to be a largely patriarchal society. Both of them have a steely foresight and are extremely intelligent and well-read. The hero is somewhat sententious, the heroine deliberately provocative but both are keen that they should obtain the remainder of Millamant’s fortune in Lady Wishfort’s possession to ward off the financial hazards of city life. For Millamant, however, personal liberty is more important than financial security within a framework of marital commitment, and Congreve seems to have envisaged a stable future life for the gay couple, disengaged from the moorings of feudal conservatism. David Thomas is guilty of no exaggeration when he says, ‘In assenting to Millamant’s provisos, Mirabella has actually agreed to renounce most of the accepted signs of patriarchal control over his wife.’

Mirabella and Millamant stand on a sure footing of equality in what still appears to be a largely patriarchal society. ‘In assenting to Millamant’s provisos, Mirabella has actually agreed to renounce most of the accepted signs of patriarchal control over his wife.’

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Discuss the significance of the proviso scene.

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

Works

1. *Comedies by William Congreve*, ed. Bonamy Dobrée
2. *The Way of the World*, ed. Brian Gibbons

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Bruce, Donald, *Topics of Restoration Comedy*
2. Dobree, Bonamy, *Restoration Comedy*
3. Fujmuga, Thomas, *The Restoration Comedy of Wit*
4. Holland, Norman, *The First Modern Comedies*
5. Loftis, John (ed.), *Restoration Drama*
6. Lynch, K.M., *The Social Mode of Restoration Comedy*
7. Muir, Kenneth, *The Comedy of Manners*
8. Morris, Brian (ed.), *William Congreve*
9. Miner, E. (ed.), *Restoration Dramatists*
10. Nicoll, Allardyce, *A History of Restoration Drama*
11. Palmer, John, *The Comedy of Manners*
12. Thomas, David, *William Congreve*

ASSIGNMENTS

13. Discuss *The Way of the World* as a perfect example of the Restoration comedy of manners.
14. Assess *The Way of the World* as a comedy of social criticism.
15. Write a critical note on *The Way of the World* as a comedy of wit.
16. Bring out the significance of the proviso scene in *The Way of the World*.
17. Comment on Congreve's presentation of Millamant in *The Way of the World*.
18. Examine Congreve's art of plot structure in *The Way of the World*.
19. Indicate the importance of *The Way of the World* in the history of English comedy.
20. Show how Congreve makes a satiric exposition of '*The Way of the World*' in a morally loose and artificially fashionable society.
21. Compare and contrast the characters of Mirabell and Millamant in *The Way of the World*.
22. Comment on the appropriateness of the title of Congreve's play, *The Way of the World*.

Block – IV
***The Misanthrope* – Molière**

CONTENT STRUCTURE

Unit 13(a): Objective

Unit 13(b): Introduction

Unit 14(a): Brief Note on the Playwright

Unit 14(b): Brief Note on the Play

Unit 14(c): Outline of the Story

Unit 15(a): Aspects of the Play

Unit 15(b): Characters

Unit 16(a): Comedy of Manners

Unit 16(b): Reflection of Contemporary French Society

Suggested Reading

Assignments

Unit 13(a): OBJECTIVE

In this module we shall study one of the best plays written by one of the most celebrated French playwrights. We shall learn about the type of comedies written in France in the seventeenth century and about how this playwright managed to write a play that not only amused but also criticized contemporary French aristocracy. We shall try to understand why the playwright needed to write such a play and what this play means to us today. There will also be a list of books and websites you could consult to acquire more information about the playwright, his work, and his times. You could try answering some short and some essay-type questions to see if you have properly understood the play.

Unit 13(b): INTRODUCTION

Molière's *The Misanthrope* is one of the best plays written by the actor-playwright and is one of the most frequently performed. After its first performance on 4th June 1666 it became a big both

in the court and in literary circles success. European critics and writers of great importance, such as Boileau, Lessing and Goethe considered this play to be the best among Molière's which and his comedies are regarded as his highest achievement.

Unit 14(a): BRIEF NOTE ON THE PLAYWRIGHT

Molière (pronounced "Maw-li-air") was born Jean-Baptiste Poquelin (pronounced "Jon Bahpteest Poklan") on 15 January 1622. His father was one of eight men who were responsible for

Jean — Baptiste, going against his family will, decided that theatre would be his livelihood and also decided to change his name to Molière, perhaps to spare his father of the embarrassment. He formed a theatre group "l' Illustre Théâtre" and started writing plays, opposed to the plays of Racine and Corneille.... they performed "The Love - Sick Doctor" in the royal court of king Louis XIV and it was a success. They were accepted by the court and were given their own theatre house.....

Moliere's plays include "The Pretentious Ladies", "The School for Husbands", "The School for Wives", "Tartuffe" etc. In 1660 the King gave Moliere the theatre of the Royal Palace.

King Louis XIV's furniture and upholstery. So, young Jean-Baptiste had a very comfortable childhood. He was educated at Claremont College where he was taught the classics. This is where he became acquainted with classical theatre, that theatre on which Aristotle based the theory of his *Poetics*.

However, his father had always meant for him to eventually join the business of upholstery and continue the family trade. The son, however, had already developed another interest - that of theatre! His father's shop was very close to two theatre halls and young Jean-Baptiste was often found at either of the two theatres watching plays. Although his father tried his best to get the young man to join the family business, Jean-Baptiste decided in 1643 that theatre would be his means of livelihood. His decision was due to the fact that he had fallen in love with an actress and had decided to form a theatre group with her and her brother, her sister and some others. He also decided to

change his name to Molière, perhaps to spare his father the embarrassment of having a son who is an actor. He named the group l' Illustre Théâtre or The Illustrious Theatre. They hired a tennis court in Paris and converted it into a theatre. But their plays were not a success. So, the troupe decided to go on a tour of the provinces.

Molière started to write his own plays as opposed to the plays of such classical tragedians as Racine and Corneille which the troupe had been performing so long. In 1658, they learnt that the brother of King Louis XIV - Duc d' Anjou (or Duke of Anjou) - was looking for a theatre group to patronize. The members of the Illustrious Theatre tried their luck in the presence of the King on 24 October. They made the mistake of performing a poor tragedy by Corneille (*Nicomède*). The royal court was not impressed. Realizing their mistake they asked for permission to perform another play. They performed *The Love-Sick Doctor*. It was a success. They were accepted by the Court and given their own theatre house.

The first play Molière wrote, after getting his own theatre, was *The Pretentious Ladies* and it immediately plunged him into trouble. In the play he makes fun of one Madame de Rambouillet, a member of the royal court who had appointed herself as the final arbiter in matters of taste. But when the influential lady tried to make the young playwright leave the city, in 1660 the King gave Molière the theatre of the Royal Palace. His troubles continued. His 1662 play *The School for Wives* - written a year after he wrote *The School for Husbands* (which is referred to in *The Misanthrope*) - turned out to be controversial, with him being accused of impiety and incest! Two years later *Tartuffe* (one of his best known plays now) was banned and would not be performed till 5 February 1669. In 1665, his company was re-named “Troupe du Roy” (The King’s Players). In 1666, Molière wrote *Le Misanthrope* amid failing health and difficulties in his marriage of four years to Armande. Their marriage ended two years later, but Armande continued to be a part of Molière’s troupe. During the next few years although Molière continued to write plays, he was dogged by accusations of plagiarism and by scurrilous accounts of the reasons behind the failure of his marriage. As for the accusations of plagiarism, it needs to be mentioned that he did borrow plotlines from sources as diverse as Plautus and Boccaccio. He continued to invite animosity for his satires against particular sections of French society. There was hardly a section that escaped the barbs from his pen. Be it physicians, astrologers, pedants, or society ladies.

In 1665, Moliere renamed his company “Troupe du Roy” In his later life Moliere was dogged by accusations of playiarism. He borrowed plot liness from sources as diverse as Plantus and Boccacio. He suffered From the bereavements of his sister-in-law and of his own son. After his death, he was buried at the cemetery of St. Joseph on the 21st February of 1672.

On 10 February 1673 his new play *The Imaginary Invalid* was first performed. Molière was doing very poorly at the time. He had suffered two bereavements - one of his sister-in-law Madeleine in February 1672 and the other of his son in October. However, he continued to play the lead role in the new play, inspite of other members of the troupe begging him not to. On 17 February, after playing the part of someone who was pretending to be ill, Molière, who was severely ill, broke into a bad cough and burst a blood vessel. He died soon after. There was big controversy about what was to be done to his body because in those days actors were not regarded as respectable people and could not be given the dignity of a proper funeral or a marked grave. It was only after the King insisted that Molière’s body was buried at the cemetery of St. Joseph on the 21st that same year.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Why did Moliere decide that theatre would be his means of livelihood ? What was the name of his theatre group ?
2. Name the plays written by Moliere.
3. Discuss how Moliere was accepted by the court of King Louis XIV ?
4. Why was Moliere accused of plagiarism ?

Unit 14(b): BRIEF NOTE ON THE PLAY

The *Misanthrope* was first licensed under the full name of *Le Misanthrope ou L'Atrabilaire Amoureux* (*The Misanthrope or The Angry Lover*). The play was performed not at the court but at the theatre of the Royal Palace on 4 June 1666 because the royal family was still mourning the death of the Queen Mother, Anne of Austria. Although the play was not a success with the public, it was a success with the critics. Some referred to it as “a new style of comedy.” There are reasons why they would say so. Although it borrows from the traditional farce, from the Italian style of *commedia dell'arte* and from courtly life, it manages to transcend the narrow definition of a comedy and becomes something more serious and thought-provoking. It comes close to tragedy but is rescued from a tragic outcome at the very last moment. The audience reaction Molière clearly seeks is not one of loud laughter (though there are some moments that always make the audience guffaw) but what Donneau de Visé calls “rire dans l'âme” or rather “inner laughter.” Nicholas Boileau (1636-1711), poet, dramatist and critic, who translated Longinus's “On the sublime” and wrote *The Art of Poetry* in 1674 and who had great influence on Addison and Pope, thought the play to be among Molière's best. Unlike in comedies of that time and indeed comedy in general, the characters in this play are not all types or flat in nature. Some confront conflicts and experience a certain complexity which lifts the play above the level of mere farce. The laughter is sometimes so bitter that Robert J. Nelson calls the play, “Molière's supreme achievement in the satiric mode.”

Unit 14(c): OUTLINE OF THE STORY

In Act One, Alceste (pronounced “Alsest”), a nobleman, is visiting the house of a young widow named Célimène (pronounced “Selimen”) with whom he is in love. Alceste prides himself on his honesty and deplors the hypocrisy and dishonesty all around him. When the play begins he is upset with Philinte (pronounced “Philant”), who is his friend. He is upset because Philinte has just been very cordial to some gentleman, but cannot tell Alceste the gentleman's name when asked, because he hardly knew the man! Oronte (pronounced “O-ront”), a nobleman enters and after praising Alceste lavishly asks him to give his judgement on a poem the former has written. On hearing the poem, Alceste tries to be polite and criticises Oronte indirectly, talking about other people who write bad poetry, but when pressed, becomes blunt and angers the nobleman, who leaves.

In Act Two Alceste and Célimène meet and he expresses his displeasure at her indulgence towards all nobleman. When she tells him that she has to be nice to them because they can be of practical help to him, he protests. We see the entry of two noblemen, Clitandre (pronounced “Klee-tawndr”) and Acaste (pronounced “Acast”) who regularly supply Célimène with all the court gossip. In this Act, we also get to see Célimène's cousin Éliante (pronounced “Ay-lee-awnt”), who Philinte

thinks is a better match for Alceste than Célimène. The Act ends when Alceste is summoned to appear before the Marshals of France (the court of law) because Oronte has complained against Alceste because of the latter's blunt criticism of the former's poetry.

Act Three opens with Acaste and Clitandre alone, trying to find out an amicable way to solve the problem of their both courting the same woman - Célimène. They agree that if one of them can produce proof of her preference for him, the other will stop courting her. In this act we have the introduction of a new character: Arsinoé, a lady past her prime but without a lover and therefore envious of Célimène. She is visiting Célimène ostensibly to inform her about the criticism she has been hearing about the young widow. Célimène sees through her and replies that there are people doubting Arsinoé's piety, thinking her instead to be a prudish hypocrite. Before tempers rise any further, Célimène decides to go away to write some urgent letter, leaving Arsinoé in the company of Alceste who has just come in again. Finding him alone Arsinoé now tries to sow seeds of suspicion against Célimène in Alceste's heart by telling him that he is being cheated. He says that he will only believe this if he is given the proof which promises to provide.

In Act Four Philinte, who has secretly been in love with Éliante, declares his affections to her. When she says that she has decided to give her hand to Alceste if he is eventually rejected by Célimène, Philinte says he will wait for her to reciprocate his emotions should Alceste and Célimène decide to get married. Alceste storms into the stage, in his pocket a letter that supposedly proves that Célimène has indeed been disloyal to Alceste. In a fit of anger he proposes to Éliante, who advises calm and does not accept his proposal. At this moment Célimène walks in and Alceste unleashes his anger at her, calling her a traitress, even though the letter that he produces is unsigned. She threatens to be truly unfaithful and thus give him something real to complain about. The quarrel is abruptly stopped by Du Bois (pronounced "Doo Bwa"), Alceste's manservant, who asks his master to leave the place, because he is about to be arrested in connection with a lawsuit.

The Fifth and final act finds Alceste telling Philinte that he has decided to withdraw from all contact with society. We learn that his decision stems from the fact that a case he was fighting has now been decided against him. He has lost the case. When Philinte asks him to appeal against the judgement Alceste says that he accepts the verdict because the case will go down in history as proof of the wickedness of contemporary French society. While Philinte goes in search of Éliante, Célimène enters, followed by Oronte. The nobleman insists that she chooses between him and Alceste as her lover. Soon Clitandra, Acaste, Oronte and Arsinoé also gather there. Clitandre and Acaste each have a letter supposedly written to the other by Célimène. In the letters, she seems to ridicule all the men present on stage. Cornered thus, Célimène admits that the letters are indeed written by her. She turns to Alceste and says that he is fully justified in hating her. Alceste says he still loves her and asks her to cut off all contact with society and go away with him to a place far away from civilisation. She

refuses to, but offers her hand in marriage, which Alceste refuses. He now offers himself to Éliante again. She turns him down but instead offers her hand to Philinte, who gladly accepts. Alceste leaves the stage ready to go to a place where he can live in honour and honesty. Philinte runs after him, hoping to make him change his mind.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Narrate the story of 'Misanthrope' in brief.

Unit 15(a): CHARACTERS OF THE PLAY

Alceste:

There is enough evidence to prove that Molière had written the part of Alceste for himself. Boileau is known to have often regaled his friends by showing how the actor-playwright used to enjoy playing Alceste particularly towards the end of Act Two of the play. The influence of the Italian style of theatre known as *commedia dell'arte* is evident in Alceste. His name, as pointed out by the critic Gustave Lanson, is conventional, abstract, moral. According to Lanson, Alceste is like a mask of humanity, like the masks that were used in the *commedia dell'arte* form. There is nothing particularized about the name and the type of character Alceste is in the play. But, as Alfred Simon notes, "Alceste has set out to tear off all the masks in the world, believing that everyone has chosen the mask which would permit him to dodge himself and others. He does not understand that in the end the face itself, assuming all the tics of the soul, stiffens, hardens, and becomes in turn a mask. Touching and ridiculous, his maniacal passion creates the mask of truth for him."

Alceste means what he says. As Will G. Moore points out, when Alceste uses the clichés of his day, he does not use them for what they are. Instead he makes them actually meaningful. It is his seriousness and sincerity that makes his use of clichés comical, because everybody else uses them meaninglessly.

The influence of the Italian commedia dell' arte form is evident in Alceste. He has set out to tear off all the masks in the world not knowing that the face itself assuming all the tics of the soul, stiffens, hardens, and becomes in turn a mask. It is his seriousness and sincerity that makes his use of cliches comical. He is otherwise a tragic character, save that he never undergoes the Aristotelian process of 'anagnosis'. He effectively condones hypocrisy and encourages Célimène to put up an appearance of fidelity if not to be actually faithful. The parallels between Alceste and Molière work at both levels : personal and professional.

Although Molière gives Alceste some moments of comedy and plenty of scope to indulge in exaggerated physical gestures to provoke laughter in the audience, the fact remains that he could almost be a tragic character, particularly, in his isolation and in his loneliness. The reason why he does not become a tragic character is that he never undergoes the Aristotelian process of 'anagnosis' or the recognition of the foolhardy nature of his rigidity. If he is rigid and stubborn in the beginning,

his rigidity intensifies, if anything, at the end. He becomes even more of a mask than he is when the play starts. According to Alfred Simon, “Alceste’s misfortunes multiply to a tempo of burlesque that mocks his seriousness.” His rigidity intensifies to the point of becoming ridiculous. Alfred Simon believes that Alceste’s “inability to remain impassive and unconcerned is the root of his trouble.” What however makes Alceste rise above the level of the usual mask-character is to the conflicts and complexity that the playwright puts into his character. Alceste is torn apart by his zeal for honesty and his love for Célimène. It is indeed even more interesting that his love is not selfless, but is there only because he thinks that it is reciprocated. Moreover, it should be noticed that he does not acknowledge the fact that Célimène is not a dishonest, hypocritical prude like Arsinoé and therefore is basically honest. And yet, Alceste breaks off his relationship with her. So, we may say that their relationship ends not because of anything she may have done but because her behaviour is an affront to Alceste’s extremely sensitive ego. It is a problem that he pleads with Célimène to understand, “Try to appear faithful, and I will try to believe that you are.” So, he effectively condones hypocrisy and encourages her to put up an appearance of fidelity if not to be actually faithful. Because he makes an exception, and that too for someone who he loves because he believes he is loved in return, Alceste’s character becomes more complex than what one would expect from a mask character, which is what he was obviously conceived as.

There are a lot of reasons to suppose that Alceste may have been written to serve as the dramatist’s mask. The parallels between Alceste and Molière work at both levels: personal and professional. On the personal, the end of Alceste’s relationship with Célimène may be seen as a reflection of the troubles the playwright himself was having in his own marriage with Armande. The way Alceste is plagued by a mysterious law suit, may be a composite of all the troubles Molière had with the various members of the royal court.

In spite of the temptation to read Alceste as the mouthpiece of the dramatist, one should be cautious because there are enough reasons for us to say that Molière puts sufficient distance between himself and his character.

Philinte:

Philinte is a foil to Alceste. His “lucid acceptance of reality” (Alfred Simon) is meant to throw Alceste’s attitude to reality into sharper focus. He accepts that fact that society’s concern for appearances has falsified every word and gesture. Commenting on Philinte and Éliante, Robert J. Nelson says that they are “but relatively innocent, set apart by the ‘virtue’ of their tolerance from the rigid Alceste.” The speeches of Philinte contrast to those of Alceste in that the former’s words always advise moderation, calm and tact whereas the latter’s speak of all that is contrary to moderation, calm and tact. While some critics argue that Philinte represents the ideal to which Molière aspired, he does not have sufficient complexity to ever emerge as a credible character, he also ultimately remains a type. Even for him, three words can suffice as a description: man of moderation.

Éliante:

Lionel Gossman cites her as an example of that kind of character that preserves their innocence “through an enigmatic absence or abnegation of desire which places them outside the world.” She and Philinte occupy the calm centre of the play while all the other characters supply all the excitement and drama and, indeed, even the histrionics. Therefore, when the play ends with Éliante and Philinte becoming a couple, it looks like the conventional happy ending. However, it would be unwise to see Éliante’s acceptance of Philinte’s proposal as a happy ending, because as far as she is concerned Philinte will always be the second best, she had set her heart on Alceste.

Célimène:

About Célimène, Robert J. Nelson says, “She is an artificial character. . . she plays a role, but . . . she plays it everywhere.” François Mauriac calls her the “brilliant insect that destroys a man’s life.” According to Alfred Simon, although she is bored and bewildered, signs of her inner vacuity, she, at least never pretends. “She is exactly what she appears to be. . . . She is devoid of fatuousness and has no illusions. The only one to fall into her trap is someone who willingly covers his eyes and plays blindman’s buff.” We also sympathise with her when she refuses to accompany Alceste into self-imposed exile by saying that solitude does not suit the soul of a twenty-year old. There will, however, always be those who will regard her as a thoughtless flirt and others who will regard her a wise young woman who knows that for her to function in society, without the support of a husband, requires her to indulge men so that her position of eminence remains intact and she can depend on the men to be of practical help to her when such a need arises, as with settling of legal disputes.

Les Fâcheux:

Les Fâcheux (pronounced “Lay Fah-sho”) may be translated as “The Annoyances.” In the play the annoyances are Oronte, Acaste, Clitandre and Arsinoé. They are so called because they provide the annoying element in the play. They are type characters, one virtually from the other. Each can be described in one or two words. They are either a failed poet, a gossip or an envious lady. Their presence in the play is crucial, however, because it is primarily through them that the playwright criticises contemporary French society.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. Comment on the characters of
(i) Alceste, (ii) Philinte, (iii) Célimène, (iv) Les Facheun

UNIT 16(a): THE PLAY AS COMEDY OF MANNERS

The Comedy of Manners is a style of comedy that developed in the latter half of the seventeenth century in Europe. Plays written as comedies of manners have some distinguishing features. They are mostly set in the town, in the city and never in the country. The plot consists mostly of romantic intrigue and petty conspiracies. The dialogue is marked by an abundance of wit and repartee. The characters tend to be uni-dimensional or flat, mask-like. The Comedy of Manners was being written in France at a time when theatre-going and amusements in general had achieved huge popularity and royal patronage. So, it was only to be expected that the nobility would want to see flattering reflections of itself on stage, and that if there is any criticism it would be palliated by the notion that the character in question is not representative of the nobility in general but is an anomaly, an exception, an oddity. That is how *Alceste* gets away with criticising the nobility. He is shown to be an oddity.

Let Us Check Our Progress

1. What do you know about the comedy of manners? Consider Moliere's 'The Misanthrope' as a comedy of manners.

UNIT 16(b): CONTEMPORARY FRENCH SOCIETY

Molière lived in France at time when the country was ruled by an absolute monarch - King Louis XIV. Not only was he the longest ruling European monarch of that time - seventy-two years - but he had more powers than any other monarch ruling in any other country at that time. He is famous for having once said, "L'état, c'est moi" or "I am the state." He was also known as Le Roi Soleil or the Sun King because he had once played the role of the sun in a court ballet. He came to the throne aged five in 1643, began to rule in his own right from the age of twenty-four and died in 1715. He ran a court of extreme splendour and was a great patron of the arts. He commissioned extravagant extensions to grand palaces such as the palace of Versailles (pronounced "Vairsae"), bestowed his patronage on Jean-Baptiste Lully (pronounced "Loollee"), the great composer of Italian origin who, as court composer, also provided music for Moliere's plays and even composed an opera called *Alceste* (1674) although that was based on a play by Euripides!

However, since the King was a generous patron of the arts, the members of his court started to appoint themselves as art critics as well. There was, for instance, the practice of some members of the nobility sitting on the stage itself, during a performance. Their laughter or jeers dictated the way the others in the audience below were to react. Molière was always annoyed by the fact that people who were sitting in judgement on his work had neither his education, nor his experience, nor his talent to do so. He uses *Alceste* to voice some of his complaints about the royal court, continuing an

exercise he started in *The Pretentious Ladies* where he notoriously aimed thinly disguised barbs of criticism towards Madame de Rambouillet. In *The Misanthrope* he creates characters such as Oronte to show that the nobility should not dabble in matters it does not have any knowledge of. It is a testimony of Molière's ability to walk the tightrope that the nobility enjoyed the play and found little to object in it.

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. *Le Misanthrope*, ed. Gustave Rudler (Oxford: Blackwell, 1947)
2. *The Misanthrope*, trans. Richard Wilbur (New York: Harcourt, Brace, 1955)
3. *The Misanthrope and Other Plays*, trans. John Wood (Penguin Books, 1959)
4. *Men and Masks: A Study of Molière*, Lionel Gossman (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins, 1963)
5. *Molière and the Comedy of Intellect*, Judd D. Hubert (Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1962)
6. *Molière: A New Criticism*, Will G. Moore (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1949)
7. *Molière: A Collection of Critical Essays*, ed. Jacques Guicharnaud (New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1964)
8. *From Gesture to Idea*, Nathan Gross (New York: Columbia University Press, 1982)
9. *The Happy End of Comedy: Shakespeare, Jonson, Moliere*, Zvi Jagendorf (Delaware: Univ of Delaware, 1984)
10. *Intruders in the Play World: The Dynamics of Gender in Molière's Comedies*, Roxanne Decker Lalande (Fairleigh Dickinson Univ P, 1996)
11. *Molière (Twayne's World Authors Series, No 176)*, Hallam Walker (Twayne Pub: 1990)
12. *Molière and Plurality: Decomposition of the Classicist Self (Sociocriticism: Literature, Society and History, Vol. 1)*, Larry W. Riggs (Peter Lang Publishing: 1990)
13. *Molière (Modern Critical Views)*, ed. Harold Bloom (Chelsea House Pub, 2001)

In addition to books, there are many websites that you might want to go to for more information about Molière. Some of them are:

<http://www.2020site.org/moliere/>

<http://www.bibliomania.com/0/6/4/frameset.html>

<http://www.imagi-nation.com/moonstruck/clsc35.html>

http://www.theatredatabase.com/17th_century/moliere_001.html

<http://www.discoverfrance.net/France/Theatre/Moliere/moliere.shtml>

<http://www.theatrehistory.com/french/moliere003.html>
http://www.theatrelinks.com/plays_playwrights/moliere.htm
<http://www.boomerangtheatre.org/archives/misanthrope.html>
<http://honors.montana.edu/~oelks/TC/MoliereBio.html>

ASSIGNMENTS

Essay-type

1. Discuss *The Misanthrope* as a Comedy of Manners.
2. Would you say that Alceste is the mouthpiece of Molière? Why?
3. How would you read the play as a critique of contemporary French society?
4. Discuss the character of Philinte.
5. Analyse the character of Célimène.

Short-Answer type

1. Why is Alceste angry at the beginning of the play?
2. What kind of gossip do the men and women coming to Célimène's house indulge in?
3. How do we know that Arsinoé is envious of Célimène?
4. What role do letters play in unmasking Célimène?
5. Why does Alceste refuse to appeal against the court's verdict?